

Applications of dual quaternions to kinematics

By: W. Blaschke

Translated by: D. H. Delphenich

In the following, we shall speak of a classical situation that goes back to L. EULER in 1748 for its basic ideas, namely, the application of quaternions to the kinematics of rigid bodies, a situation that is only loosely connected with the theory of functions, and which was further developed by W. K. CLIFFORD, J. HJELMSLEV, and E. STUDY.

§ 1. Representation of rotations by quaternions.

Quaternions are expressions of the form:

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{Q} = q_0 e_0 + q_1 e_1 + q_2 e_2 + q_3 e_3 ,$$

whose sums and products will be described by:

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}' = \sum (q_j + q'_j) e_j , \quad \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}' = \sum q_j q'_k e_j e_k ,$$

with the *product rules* for the units e :

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} e_0 e_j &= e_j e_0 = e_j , \\ e_k e_k &= -e_0 ; & k &= 1, 2, 3, \\ e_j e_k &= -e_k e_j = e_l ; & j, k, l &= 1, 2, 3; 2, 3, 1; 3, 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

such that we can also set:

$$(4) \quad e_0 = 1.$$

First, let the q_j be real numbers. One then has the associativity law:

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{Q}' \mathbf{Q}) = (\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}') \mathbf{Q}''.$$

The *conjugate quaternion* to (1) will be defined by:

$$(6) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = q_0 e_0 - q_1 e_1 - q_2 e_2 - q_3 e_3 ,$$

and one has:

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{Q}\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 = \langle \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q} \rangle$$

and

$$(8) \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}'} = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}' \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}.$$

A quaternion with $q_0 = 0$ – so $\mathbf{q} = q_1 e_1 + q_2 e_2 + q_3 e_3$ – is called a *vector*, and the product rule is given in vector notation as:

$$(9) \quad (q_0 + \mathbf{q})(q'_0 + \mathbf{q}') = q_0 q'_0 + q_0 \mathbf{q}' + q'_0 \mathbf{q} - \langle \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}' \rangle + (\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{q}'),$$

in which:

$$(10) \quad \langle \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}' \rangle = q_1 q'_1 + q_2 q'_2 + q_3 q'_3$$

is the *scalar product* and:

$$(11) \quad \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{q}' = (q_2 q'_3 - q_3 q'_2) e_1 + (q_3 q'_1 - q_1 q'_3) e_2 + (q_1 q'_2 - q_2 q'_1) e_3$$

means the *vector product*, with:

$$(12) \quad 2(\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{q}') = \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}' - \mathbf{q}' \mathbf{q}.$$

Now, if:

$$(13) \quad \mathbf{x} = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3, \quad \mathbf{x}' = x'_1 e_1 + x'_2 e_2 + x'_3 e_3,$$

and if \mathbf{Q} means a *normed* quaternion then, from EULER:

$$(15) \quad \mathbf{x} = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{Q}$$

represents a ternary orthogonal substitution $\mathbf{x}' \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$, and therefore, a *rotation* of the space of x_k around its origin. We set:

$$(16) \quad \mathbf{Q} = \cos \omega + \mathbf{a} \sin \omega \quad \langle \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} \rangle = 1,$$

then \mathbf{a} means the rotational axis and 2ω is the rotation angle, whose sign is linked with the latter object.

If we interpret the q_j as homogeneous pointers in a projective space P_3 and we normalize the q_j by the requirement:

$$(17) \quad \mathbf{Q} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = q_0 q_0 + q_1 q_1 + q_2 q_2 + q_3 q_3 = 1,$$

then we can introduce the *separation* of two points \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}' in P_3 by way of:

$$(18) \quad \cos \varphi = q_0 q'_0 + q_1 q'_1 + q_2 q'_2 + q_3 q'_3,$$

or:

$$(19) \quad \cos \varphi = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{Q}\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}' + \mathbf{Q}'\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}) = \langle \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}' \rangle.$$

This metric makes our P_3 into an *elliptic space* E_3 . The particular points for which:

$$(20) \quad \varphi = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \langle \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}' \rangle = 0$$

are called *conjugate in E_3* . E_3 is the *group space* for the rotation $\pm \mathbf{Q}$.

§ 2. Line map of E_3 .

We can establish a (directed) line in E_3 by two of its conjugate points \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}' , such that one has:

$$(1) \quad \langle \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q} \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}' \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \mathbf{Q}' \mathbf{Q}' \rangle = 1.$$

For this, we compute the unit vectors:

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{r} = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}', \quad \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{Q}' \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$$

with:

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{r} + \tilde{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}' + \tilde{\mathbf{r}}' = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}' \mathbf{r}' = -1.$$

If we replace \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}' with another pair of the same kind of lines:

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{Q}^* = \mathbf{Q} \cos \omega - \mathbf{Q}' \sin \omega, \quad \mathbf{Q}'^* = \mathbf{Q} \sin \omega + \mathbf{Q}' \cos \omega$$

then \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r}' remain the same:

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}^*, \quad \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r}'^*.$$

Under a *motion of E_3* – i.e., under a quaternary orthogonal substitution $\mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^*$ – namely:

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{Q}^* = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}' \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}; \quad \mathbf{R} \tilde{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}' \tilde{\mathbf{R}}' = 1,$$

the image vectors \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' of our lines behave like:

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{r}^* = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}' \mathbf{r} \mathbf{R}, \quad \mathbf{r}'^* = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}' \mathbf{r}' \mathbf{R},$$

so the two *image spheres* (3) will be rotated. From (2):

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' \mathbf{Q} = 0$$

is the condition for the point \mathbf{Q} to be *united* with our line.

If the points $\mathbf{Q}_j; j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ satisfy the conditions:

$$(9) \quad \langle \mathbf{Q}_j \mathbf{Q}_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}$$

with positive determinant:

$$(10) \quad | \mathbf{Q}_0 \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{Q}_2 \mathbf{Q}_3 | = +1$$

then we find that the image vectors \mathbf{r}_{jk} , \mathbf{r}'_{jk} of the six edges of the *polar tetrahedron* of \mathbf{Q}_j , namely:

$$(11) \quad \mathbf{r}_{jk} = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_j \mathbf{Q}_k = -\mathbf{Q}_k \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_j, \quad \mathbf{r}'_{jk} = \mathbf{Q}_k \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_j = -\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_j \mathbf{Q}_k,$$

satisfy the relations:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_{01} + \mathbf{r}_{23} &= 0, & \mathbf{r}_{02} + \mathbf{r}_{31} &= 0, & \mathbf{r}_{03} + \mathbf{r}_{12} &= 0, \\ \mathbf{r}'_{01} - \mathbf{r}'_{23} &= 0, & \mathbf{r}'_{02} - \mathbf{r}'_{31} &= 0, & \mathbf{r}'_{03} - \mathbf{r}'_{12} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

with:

$$(13) \quad \langle \mathbf{r}_j \mathbf{r}_k \rangle = \langle \mathbf{r}'_j \mathbf{r}'_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}, \quad [\mathbf{r}_{01} \mathbf{r}_{02} \mathbf{r}_{03}] = [\mathbf{r}'_{01} \mathbf{r}'_{02} \mathbf{r}'_{03}] = +1.$$

Once again, the square brackets in these equations mean the determinant. One can confirm (12) and (13) in the special case $\mathbf{Q}_j = e_j$, which is attainable by means of a motion (6) without altering these relations. The map (2) of the lines of E_3 onto the point-pairs of two spheres goes back to CLIFFORD, and was examined more closely around 1900 by HJELMSLEV and STUDY.

§ 3. Continual rotational processes.

In our formula (1.15), the normalized quaternion \mathbf{Q} may now depend upon a real parameter – viz., time t – so what arises is a *one-parameter* or *continual rotational process*:

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{x}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}(t) \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{Q}(t); \quad \mathbf{Q} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = 1.$$

The point $\mathbf{Q}(t) = \mathbf{Q}_0(t)$ of the elliptic space E_3 correspondingly describes a line C . In order to see this, we introduce a *comoving polar tetrahedron* such that the derived equations are valid:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} d\mathbf{Q}_0 &= * + \mathbf{Q}_0 \rho & * & * \\ d\mathbf{Q}_1 &= -\mathbf{Q}_0 \rho & * & + \mathbf{Q}_2 \sigma & * \\ d\mathbf{Q}_2 &= * & -\mathbf{Q}_1 \sigma & * & + \mathbf{Q}_3 \tau \\ d\mathbf{Q}_3 &= * & * & -\mathbf{Q}_2 \tau & * \end{aligned}$$

with:

$$(3) \quad \langle \mathbf{Q}_j \mathbf{Q}_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}, \quad | \mathbf{Q}_0 \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{Q}_2 \mathbf{Q}_3 | = +1.$$

Furthermore, as in (2.11), we define the two right-angled *canonical axis crosses*:

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{r}_{0j} = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_0 \mathbf{Q}_j, \quad \mathbf{r}'_j = \mathbf{r}'_{0j} = \mathbf{Q}_j \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_0.$$

One again has for the derived equations:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} d\mathbf{r}_1 &= * +\mathbf{r}_2\lambda * & d\mathbf{r}'_1 &= * +\mathbf{r}'_2\lambda * \\ d\mathbf{r}_2 &= -\mathbf{r}_1\lambda * +\mathbf{r}_3\mu & d\mathbf{r}'_2 &= -\mathbf{r}'_1\lambda' * +\mathbf{r}'_3\mu' \\ d\mathbf{r}_3 &= * -\mathbf{r}_2\mu * & d\mathbf{r}'_3 &= * -\mathbf{r}'_2\mu' * \end{aligned}$$

as one finds by differentiating (1), (4), and the relations:

$$(6) \quad \lambda = \lambda' = \sigma, \quad \mu = \tau - \rho, \quad \mu' = \tau + \rho$$

exist between the differentials $\rho, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \mu; \lambda', \mu'$.

The geometric interpretation for the canonical axes is the following: $\mathbf{r}_1(t)$ is the momentary rotational axis, $\mathbf{r}_3(t)$ is the common normal to the neighboring rotational axes \mathbf{r}_1 and $\mathbf{r}_1 + d\mathbf{r}_1$ in the moving body (e.g., a top). \mathbf{r}'_1 and \mathbf{r}'_3 have the corresponding meaning in the rest system. The relation $\lambda = \lambda'$ then means that the “moving cone” $\mathbf{r}_1(t)$ rolls without slipping on the “rest cone” $\mathbf{r}'_1(t)$.

If we denote a point \mathbf{x} on the canonical axis by the Ansatz:

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{x} = x_1 \mathbf{r}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{r}_2 + x_3 \mathbf{r}_3$$

this yields the *guiding condition*, as one says, that \mathbf{x} is established in the moving system (i.e., the top) by:

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} dx_1 &= * +x_2\lambda * \\ dx_2 &= -x_1\lambda * +x_3\mu' \\ dx_3 &= * -x_2\mu' * \end{aligned}$$

Correspondingly, one finds the *rest conditions* for the point:

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{x}' = x'_1\mathbf{r}'_1 + x'_2\mathbf{r}'_2 + x'_3\mathbf{r}'_3$$

which indicate that \mathbf{x}' is at rest:

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} dx'_1 &= * +x'_2\lambda * \\ dx'_2 &= -x'_1\lambda * +x'_3\mu' \\ dx'_3 &= * -x'_2\mu' * \end{aligned}$$

The kinematics of tops is included in these equations (8), (10). For the simplest rotational process, namely, rotation around an axis at rest, the associated line C is a line in E_3 , and that is the kinematic interpretation of the contents of § 2.

In order to now transplant the formulas of the kinematics of tops up to now in the general kinematics of rigid bodies in space, we employ a “conversion principle.”

§ 4. Conversion principle.

Now, let a line $\underline{\mathbf{r}}$ of Euclidian R_3 be given by a unit vector \mathbf{r} that lies on it and a point \mathbf{x} that lies on it. If \mathbf{x} then means the vector that points from the origin \mathbf{o} to the point \mathbf{x} then we define the vector product:

$$(1) \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{r},$$

and remark that $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$ does not depend upon the choice of \mathbf{x} on $\underline{\mathbf{r}}$, since:

$$(2) \quad (\mathbf{x} + h\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{r}.$$

(1) is then the condition for the point \mathbf{x} to be united with the line $\underline{\mathbf{r}}$ that is given by the vector pair $\mathbf{r}, \bar{\mathbf{r}}$. This pair fulfills the conditions:

$$(3) \quad \langle \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \mathbf{r} \bar{\mathbf{r}} \rangle = 0.$$

One can formally combine them into a single entity by introducing the *dual vectors*:

$$(4) \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r} + \varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{r}},$$

in which the ε obeys the rule of computation:

$$(5) \quad \varepsilon^2 = 0.$$

Thus, the calculations with such “dual numbers:”

$$(6) \quad \underline{a} = a + \varepsilon \bar{a}$$

are afflicted with exceptions, since the division by null components of the form $\varepsilon \bar{a}$ is inadmissible. In the sequel, dual numbers and vectors are always emphasized by underlines. From (4), eq. (5) gives an expression that is equivalent to (3):

$$(7) \quad \langle \underline{\mathbf{r}} \underline{\mathbf{r}}' \rangle = 0,$$

or, more precisely:

$$(9) \quad \langle \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}' \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \mathbf{r} \bar{\mathbf{r}}' \rangle + \langle \mathbf{r}' \bar{\mathbf{r}} \rangle = 0,$$

which imply the perpendicular intersection of the lines $\underline{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{r}}'$.

If the function f has the derivative f' then we set:

$$(10) \quad f(\varphi + \varepsilon \bar{\varphi}) = f(\varphi) + \varepsilon \bar{\varphi} f'(\varphi).$$

One then has for two lines $\underline{\mathbf{r}}, \underline{\mathbf{r}}'$:

$$(11) \quad \langle \underline{\mathbf{r}} \underline{\mathbf{r}}' \rangle = \cos(\varphi + \varepsilon \bar{\varphi}),$$

if φ means the angle and $\bar{\varphi}$ is the shortest distance from $\underline{\mathbf{r}}$ to $\underline{\mathbf{r}}'$. In more detail, it follows from (11) that, in fact:

$$(12) \quad \langle \underline{\mathbf{r}} \underline{\mathbf{r}}' \rangle = \cos \varphi, \quad \langle \underline{\mathbf{r}} \underline{\mathbf{r}}' \rangle + \langle \underline{\mathbf{r}}' \bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}} \rangle = -\varepsilon \bar{\varphi} \sin \varphi.$$

Thus, the signs of φ and $\bar{\varphi}$ are coupled to each other.

If we now take a *dual rotation* $\underline{\mathbf{r}}' \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{r}}$ of the unit sphere:

$$(13) \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}} = \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}} \underline{\mathbf{r}}' \underline{\mathbf{Q}},$$

with:

$$(14) \quad \underline{\mathbf{Q}} = \underline{\mathbf{Q}} + \varepsilon \underline{\mathbf{Q}}, \quad \underline{\mathbf{Q}} \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}} = \underline{\mathbf{Q}} \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}} + \varepsilon (\underline{\mathbf{Q}} \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}} + \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}} \underline{\mathbf{Q}}) = 1,$$

then the line $\underline{\mathbf{r}}$ obtains its interpretation in the space R_3 as a motion of R_3 . If we set:

$$(15) \quad \underline{\mathbf{Q}} = \cos \underline{\omega} + \underline{\mathbf{a}} \sin \underline{\omega},$$

with:

$$(16) \quad \underline{\omega} = \omega + \varepsilon \underline{\omega}$$

and

$$(17) \quad \underline{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a} + \varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \quad \langle \underline{\mathbf{a}} \underline{\mathbf{a}} \rangle = 1$$

then $\underline{\mathbf{a}}$ becomes the screw axis of the motion $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$, 2ω is its rotation angle around $\underline{\mathbf{a}}$, and $2\bar{\omega}$ is its displacement in the direction \mathbf{a} .

Therefore, it is possible to interpret the geometry of the sphere, when extended to dual space, in line space. The employment of dual numbers in geometry goes back to W. K. CLIFFORD (1845-70) and the conversion principle goes back to A. P. KOTJELNIKOFF (1865-1944) and E. STUDY (1862-1930). The contents of § 4 can also be obtained from those of § 2 by passing to the limit.

§ 5. Spatial motions in line space.

By means of the conversion principle of § 4, we can now apply the dual extensions of the formulas of § 3 to the kinematics of continual processes of motion when we let these motions act on lines. In place of (3.1), what enters in is:

$$(1) \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}(t) = \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}(t) \underline{\mathbf{r}}' \underline{\mathbf{Q}}(t), \quad \underline{\mathbf{Q}} \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}} = 1$$

with real t , and in place of (3.2), one has:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} d\underline{\mathbf{Q}}_0 &= * + \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_1 \rho * * \\ d\underline{\mathbf{Q}}_1 &= -\underline{\mathbf{Q}}_1 \rho * + \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_1 \sigma * \\ d\underline{\mathbf{Q}}_2 &= * - \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_1 \sigma * + \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_3 \tau \\ d\underline{\mathbf{Q}}_3 &= * * - \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_2 \tau * \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, along with (3.2), the following formulas appear:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} d\bar{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}_0 &= * + \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_1 \bar{\rho} * * * + \bar{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}_1 \rho * * \\ d\bar{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}_1 &= -\underline{\mathbf{Q}}_1 \bar{\rho} * + \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_2 \bar{\sigma} * + \bar{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}_0 \rho * + \bar{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}_2 \sigma * \\ d\bar{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}_2 &= * - \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_1 \bar{\sigma} * + \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_3 \bar{\tau} * - \bar{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}_1 \sigma * + \bar{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}_3 \tau \\ d\bar{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}_3 &= * * - \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_2 \bar{\tau} * * * * - \bar{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}_2 \tau * \end{aligned}$$

with:

$$(4) \quad \underline{\rho} = \rho + \varepsilon \bar{\rho}, \quad \underline{\sigma} = \sigma + \varepsilon \bar{\sigma}, \quad \underline{\tau} = \tau + \varepsilon \bar{\tau}.$$

Correspondingly, along with (3.5), one has the further formulas:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} d\bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}_1 &= * + \underline{\mathbf{r}}_2 \bar{\lambda} * * + \bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}_2 \lambda * \\ d\bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}_2 &= -\underline{\mathbf{r}}_1 \bar{\lambda} * + \underline{\mathbf{r}}_3 \bar{\mu} - \bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}_1 \lambda * + \bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}_3 \mu \\ d\bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}_3 &= * - \underline{\mathbf{r}}_2 \bar{\mu} * * - \bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}_3 \mu * \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} d\bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}'_1 &= * + \underline{\mathbf{r}}'_2 \bar{\lambda}' * * + \bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}'_2 \lambda' * \\ d\bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}'_2 &= -\underline{\mathbf{r}}'_1 \bar{\lambda}' * + \underline{\mathbf{r}}'_3 \bar{\mu}' - \bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}'_1 \lambda' * + \bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}'_3 \mu' \\ d\bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}'_3 &= * - \underline{\mathbf{r}}'_2 \bar{\mu}' * * - \bar{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}'_3 \mu' * \end{aligned}$$

for the canonical axes. Thus, along with (3.6), one gets the relations:

$$(7) \quad \bar{\lambda} = \bar{\sigma}, \quad \bar{\lambda}' = \bar{\sigma}', \quad \bar{\mu} = \bar{\tau} - \bar{\rho}, \quad \bar{\mu}' = \bar{\tau} + \bar{\rho}.$$

If we refer a line $\underline{\mathbf{r}}$ to the canonical axes by the Ansatz:

$$(8) \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}} = \underline{x}_1 \underline{\mathbf{E}}_1 + \underline{x}_2 \underline{\mathbf{E}}_2 + \underline{x}_3 \underline{\mathbf{E}}_3$$

then this yields the *guiding conditions*:

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} d\underline{x}_1 &= * + \underline{x}_2 \underline{\lambda} * \\ d\underline{x}_2 &= -\underline{x}_1 \underline{\lambda} * + \underline{x}_3 \underline{\mu}' \\ d\underline{x}_3 &= * - \underline{x}_2 \underline{\mu}' * \end{aligned}$$

from (3.8). Likewise, for the line:

$$(10) \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}' = \underline{x}'_1 \underline{\mathbf{r}}'_1 + \underline{x}'_2 \underline{\mathbf{r}}'_2 + \underline{x}'_3 \underline{\mathbf{r}}'_3$$

one obtains the *rest conditions*:

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} dx'_1 &= * + \underline{x}'_2 \lambda * \\ dx'_2 &= -\underline{x}'_1 \lambda * + \underline{x}'_3 \mu \\ dx'_3 &= * - \underline{x}'_2 \mu * \end{aligned}$$

from (3.10).

§ 6. Motion of points and planes.

In order to transfer the formulas of § 5 to the motion of points and planes, one proceeds as follows: For a dual quaternion:

$$(1) \quad \underline{\mathbf{Q}} = (q_0 + \varepsilon \bar{q}_0) + (\mathbf{q} + \varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{q}}),$$

the conjugate is:

$$(2) \quad \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}} = (q_0 + \varepsilon \bar{q}_0) - (\mathbf{q} + \varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{q}}).$$

We now also introduce the quaternion that arises from $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$ by changing the sign of ε :

$$(3) \quad \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_\varepsilon = (q_0 - \varepsilon \bar{q}_0) + (\mathbf{q} - \varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{q}}).$$

We would like to associate the point $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ with the Cartesian pointers x_j with not only the vector:

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{x} = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + x_3 \mathbf{e}_3,$$

but also the quaternion:

$$(5) \quad \underline{\mathbf{X}} = 1 + \varepsilon \mathbf{x},$$

such that one has:

$$(6) \quad \underline{\mathbf{X}}_\varepsilon - \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{X}}} = 0, \quad \underline{\mathbf{X}} \tilde{\underline{\mathbf{X}}} = 1.$$

A plane $\underline{\mathbf{U}}$ with the equation:

$$(7) \quad u_0 + u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3 = 0$$

or:

$$(8) \quad u_0 + \langle \mathbf{u} \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \rangle = 1$$

with the normal vector:

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{u} = u_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + u_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + u_3 \mathbf{e}_3,$$

might correspond to the quaternion:

$$(10) \quad \underline{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{u} + \varepsilon u_0,$$

with:

$$(11) \quad \underline{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon + \underline{\tilde{\mathbf{U}}} = 0, \quad \underline{\mathbf{U}}\underline{\tilde{\mathbf{U}}} = 1.$$

This yields the condition for the line to be united with the point:

$$(12) \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}\underline{\mathbf{X}} - \underline{\mathbf{X}}\underline{\mathbf{r}} = 0,$$

for a line to be united with a plane:

$$(13) \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}\underline{\mathbf{U}} + \underline{\mathbf{U}}\underline{\mathbf{r}} = 0,$$

and for a point to be united with a plane:

$$(14) \quad \underline{\mathbf{X}}\underline{\mathbf{U}} - \underline{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon\underline{\mathbf{X}}_\varepsilon = 0.$$

Thus, one confirms that the action of the same motion $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$ on lines, points, and planes can be written thus:

$$(15) \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}} = \underline{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}}\underline{\mathbf{r}}'\underline{\mathbf{Q}}, \quad \underline{\mathbf{X}} = \underline{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}}\underline{\mathbf{X}}'\underline{\mathbf{Q}}_\varepsilon, \quad \underline{\mathbf{U}} = \underline{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}}_\varepsilon\underline{\mathbf{U}}'\underline{\mathbf{Q}}, \quad \underline{\mathbf{Q}}\underline{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}} = 1.$$

Let \mathbf{k} be the origin of the canonical axis cross of the \mathbf{r}_j . If we then refer a point \mathbf{x} to the canonical cross by the Ansatz:

$$(16) \quad \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{r}_1 x_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 x_2 + \mathbf{r}_3 x_3$$

then this yields the *guiding condition*:

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} dx_1 &= -\bar{\mu}' \quad * \quad +x_2 \lambda \quad * \\ dx_2 &= \quad * \quad -x_1 \lambda \quad * \quad +x_3 \mu' \\ dx_3 &= -\lambda \quad * \quad -x_2 \mu' \quad * \end{aligned}$$

Correspondingly, for:

$$(18) \quad \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{r}'_1 x'_1 + \mathbf{r}'_2 x'_2 + \mathbf{r}'_3 x'_3$$

one has the *rest condition*:

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} dx'_1 &= -\bar{\mu} \quad * \quad +x'_2 \lambda \quad * \\ dx'_2 &= \quad * \quad -x'_1 \lambda \quad * \quad +x'_3 \mu \\ dx'_3 &= -\bar{\lambda} \quad * \quad -x'_2 \mu \quad * \end{aligned}$$

For the plane with the canonical equation:

$$(20) \quad u_0 + u_1 u_1 + u_2 u_2 + u_3 u_3 = 0,$$

we have the *guiding equations*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (21) \quad du_0 &= +u_1 \bar{\mu}' \quad * \quad +u_3 \bar{\lambda} \\
 du_1 &= \quad * \quad +u_2 \lambda \quad * \\
 du_2 &= -u_1 \lambda \quad * \quad +u_3 \mu' \\
 du_3 &= \quad * \quad -u_2 \mu' \quad *
 \end{aligned}$$

and for the plane with the canonical equation:

$$(22) \quad u'_0 + u'_1 x'_1 + u'_2 x'_2 + u'_3 x'_3 = 0$$

we have the *rest conditions*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (23) \quad du'_0 &= +u'_1 \bar{\mu} \quad * \quad +u'_3 \bar{\lambda} \\
 du'_1 &= \quad * \quad +u'_2 \lambda \quad * \\
 du'_2 &= -u'_1 \lambda \quad * \quad +u'_3 \mu \\
 du'_3 &= \quad * \quad -u'_2 \mu \quad *
 \end{aligned}$$

All of the formulas of spatial kinematics follow from this in a self-evident way.

I hope that, together with my colleague H. R. MÜLLER (Berlin), I can give a more thorough representation of this situation, in which multi-parameter processes of motion and integral formulas will be treated, as a continuation of our book “Ebene Kinematik” (Munich, 1956). A brief presentation of spatial kinematics shall appear in the publications of the University of Buenos Aires.

University of Hamburg
Germany
