

“Sur les invariants intégraux de l’optique,” Bull. Soc. math. France **42** (1914), 91-95.

ON THE INTEGRAL INVARIANTS OF OPTICS

By TH. DE DONDER.

Translated by D. H. Delphenich

The very interesting proof of Straubel’s theorem that Dontot gave ⁽¹⁾ can be simplified, thanks to two theorems that I published in 1913 ⁽²⁾, and for which I have pointed out various applications to mathematical physics.

THEOREM I. – If ρ is an invariant and if:

$$(1) \quad I_m = \int M \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \dots \, dx_m$$

is an m -tuple integral invariant of the equations:

$$\frac{dx_1}{X_1} = \dots = \frac{dx_m}{X_m} = dt$$

(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m are continuous and uniform functions of x_1, \dots, x_m , and t) then the quantity:

$$(2) \quad A_{m-1} = \int \frac{\frac{M}{\partial \rho}}{\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_1}} \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \dots \, dx_m$$

is an $(m - 1)$ -tuple invariant on the manifold $\rho = \rho_0$.

THEOREM II. – If ρ and X_1, \dots, X_m do not refer to t explicitly then one can deduce the $(m - 2)$ -uple integral invariant:

$$(3) \quad A_{m-1} \equiv \frac{M}{\partial \rho} \sum_k (-1)^k X_k \, dx_1 \dots \, dx_{k-1}, \, dx_{k+1}, \dots \, dx_m \quad (k = 2, \dots, m).$$

⁽¹⁾ Bull. Soc. math. de France **42** (1914), fasc. 1.

⁽²⁾ “Sur un théorème de Jacobi,” C. R. Acad. Sci., 10 February 1913; “Sur la répartition ergodique,” Bull. Acad. royale de Belgique: Cl. des Sciences **3** (1913), 211-221.

on the invariant manifold $\rho = \rho_0$ from the invariant (2).

Proof. – If one multiplies A_{m-2} by $\delta\rho$ symbolically ⁽¹⁾ then one will find the $(m - 1)$ -tuple integral form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{M}{\partial\rho} \left[X_2 \delta x_3 \delta x_4 \cdots \delta x_m \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_1} \delta x_1 + X_2 \delta x_3 \delta x_4 \cdots \delta x_m \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_2} \delta x_2 \right. \\ & - X_3 \delta x_2 \delta x_4 \cdots \delta x_m \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_1} \delta x_1 - X_3 \delta x_2 \delta x_4 \cdots \delta x_m \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_3} \delta x_3 \\ & + \dots \\ & \left. + (-1)^m X_m \delta x_2 \delta x_3 \cdots \delta x_{m-1} \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_1} \delta x_1 + (-1)^m X_m \delta x_2 \cdots \delta x_{m-1} \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_m} \delta x_m \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now:

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_1} X_1 + \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_2} X_2 + \cdots + \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_m} X_m = 0,$$

so:

$$X_1 = - \frac{1}{\partial\rho} \left[\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_2} X_2 + \cdots + \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_m} X_m \right].$$

Upon substituting this in the preceding integral form, it reduces (up to sign) to:

$$\sum_i (-1)^i M X_i \delta x_1 \cdots \delta x_{i-1} \delta x_{i+1} \cdots \delta x_m \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

Now, the latter provides an integral invariant of equations (1).

Q. E. D.

Now consider, with Dontot, the extremals of:

$$\delta \int n \sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2} dt = 0,$$

where $x' = dx / dt$, $y' = dy / dt$, $z' = dz / dt$. The study of these extremals amounts to that of the differential equations:

⁽¹⁾ “Introduction à la théorie des invariants intégraux,” Bull. Acad. royale de Belgique: Cl. des Sciences **12** (1913).

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}, & \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial v}, & \frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial w}, \\ \frac{du}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, & \frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}, & \frac{dw}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial z} \end{cases}$$

on the invariant manifold:

$$(5) \quad H = \frac{1}{2n^2} (u^2 + v^2 + w^2) = \frac{1}{2} .$$

One has set:

$$u = \frac{\partial n \sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2}}{\partial x'} = \frac{nx'}{\sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2}},$$

and similarly for v and w .

Equations (4) admit the integral invariant:

$$I_4 \equiv \int \delta x \delta y \delta z \delta u \delta v \delta w.$$

Applying Theorem I gives the 5-tuple integral invariant:

$$A_5 \equiv \int \frac{n^2}{u} \delta x \delta y \delta z \delta v \delta w$$

in the manifold (5).

Applying Theorem II gives the 4-tuple integral invariant ⁽¹⁾:

$$A_4 \equiv \int \frac{n^2}{u} \left[\frac{u}{n^2} \delta y \delta z \delta v \delta w - \frac{v}{n^2} \delta x \delta z \delta v \delta w + \frac{w}{n^2} \delta x \delta y \delta v \delta w \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \delta x \delta y \delta z \delta w - \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} \delta x \delta y \delta z \delta v \right].$$

If one considers two arbitrary surfaces σ and σ' in ordinary space and joins the various points of σ to the various points of σ' , respectively, by light rays then one will have $\delta x \delta y \delta z = 0$, and A_4 will reduce to:

$$A_4 \equiv \int (u \delta y \delta z + v \delta z \delta x + w \delta x \delta y) \frac{\delta v \delta w}{u}.$$

Set, with Dointot:

$$m = \frac{u}{n} = \frac{x'}{\sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2}},$$

⁽¹⁾ The simplification that is introduced seems important to me – here, especially.

$$p = \frac{v}{n},$$

$$q = \frac{w}{n}.$$

Therefore, m, p, q are the direction cosines of the semi-tangent to the light ray in the sense of the propagation of light. A_4 becomes:

$$A_4 \equiv \int n^2 [m \delta y \delta z + p \delta z \delta x + q \delta x \delta y] \frac{\delta p \delta q}{m}.$$

Now, $\frac{\delta p \delta q}{m} = \delta\omega$ (i.e., the solid angle or elementary surface area of a sphere of radius *one*), so if we let θ be the angle of the semi-normal to a surface element $\delta\sigma$ then:

$$n^2 \cos \theta \delta\sigma \delta\omega = \text{const.}$$

Q. E. D.

The latter result can be obtained more rapidly by starting with the relative invariant ⁽¹⁾:

$$\dot{J}_1 \equiv \int \frac{\partial n \sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2}}{\partial x'} \delta x + \frac{\partial n \sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2}}{\partial y'} \delta y + \frac{\partial n \sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2}}{\partial z'} \delta z.$$

Upon representing the direction cosines by m, p, q , one can rewrite:

$$\dot{J}_1 \equiv \int n (m \delta x + p \delta y + q \delta z).$$

By symbolic differentiation, one introduces the absolute (2-tuple) integral invariant:

$$\dot{I}_2 \equiv \int \delta n (m \delta x + p \delta y + q \delta z) + n (\delta m \delta x + \delta p \delta y + \delta q \delta z).$$

Upon multiplying \dot{I}_2 by \dot{I}_2 symbolically and taking into account the fact that $\delta x \delta y \delta z$ is zero in this optical theorem, one will obtain:

$$\dot{I}_4^* \equiv \int n^2 (\delta x \delta y \delta n \delta p + \delta y \delta z \delta p \delta q + \delta z \delta x \delta q \delta n)$$

⁽¹⁾ "Étude sur les invariants intégraux," Rendiconti Circolo matematico di Palermo, v. XVI, 1902 (Chap. XIII).

$$\equiv \int n^2 \left(q \delta x \delta y \frac{\delta m \delta p}{q} + m \delta y \delta z \frac{\delta p \delta q}{m} + p \delta z \delta x \frac{\delta q \delta m}{p} \right)$$

$$\equiv \int n^2 \cos \theta \delta \sigma \delta \omega$$

Q. E. D.
