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I. Definitions and properties of the Riemann tensor

1. Covariant derivative. Ricci identities. Bianchi identities. – The context will be that of general relativity: a Riemann space $V_4$ of hyperbolic normal signature $+, -, -, -$, and subject to the differentiability conditions of A. Lichnerowicz [1] that in local coordinates:

\[ ds^2 = g_{ab} \, dx^a \, dx^b \quad (a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3) \]

and $V_4$ has the structure of a differentiable manifold of class $C_2$ and the functions $\tilde{g}_{ab}^2 g_{ab}$ are piecewise $C_2$.

The covariant derivative – or Riemannian connection – is classically defined by the operator $D [2]$:

\[ DT_b^c = dT_b^a + (T_b^f \Gamma^{ac}_{fc} - T_f^a \Gamma^{fc}_{bc}) \, dx^c, \]
(1.3) \[ \Gamma^a_{bc} = \begin{cases} a \\ bc \end{cases} = g^{ad} \Gamma^a_{dbc}, \]

with:

(1.4) \[ \Gamma_{dbc} = [bc, d] = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_b g_{cd} + \partial_c g_{bd} - \partial_d g_{bc}). \]

The Riemann tensor then appears in the commutation rule for the covariant derivative. One has:

(1.5) \[ A_{i, jk} - A_{i, kj} = R^l_{i, jk} A_1. \]

Hence:

(1.6) \[ R_{hijk} = \partial_j \Gamma_{hik} - \partial_k \Gamma_{hij} + \Gamma_{h1j} \Gamma^k_{ik} - \Gamma_{h1k} \Gamma^i_{ij}, \]

or [2]:

(1.7) \[ R_{hijk} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial^2 g_{hk} + \partial^2 g_{ij} - \partial^2 g_{ij} - \partial^2 g_{ik}) + \Gamma_{h1j} \Gamma^k_{ik} - \Gamma_{h1k} \Gamma^i_{ij}. \]

It results from the definitions that the Riemann tensor possesses the following symmetry properties:

(1.8) \[ R_{hijk} = -R_{ihjk} = -R_{hikj} = R_{jkhi}, \]

(1.9) \[ R_{hijk} + R_{hjk1} + R_{hkij} = 0. \]

The tensor \( R_{hijk} \) thus has 20 components.

Finally, one may verify the Bianchi identities:

(1.10) \[ R_{hijk;l} + R_{hikl;j} + R_{hilj;k} = 0. \]

We introduce the following notations:

(1.11) \[ R_{ij} = R_{aijb} g^{ab}, \quad R = R_{ij} g^{ij}. \]

\( R_{ij} \) is the Ricci tensor, and \( R \) is the scalar curvature.

2. Geodesic deviation [3]. – A curve \( x^\alpha = x^\alpha(s) \) is a geodesic curve if the unit tangent vector to the curve:

(2.1) \[ u^\alpha = dx^\alpha / ds \]

has null covariant derivative, namely:

(2.2) \[ \frac{Du^a}{ds} = \frac{du^a}{ds} + u^c \Gamma^a_{cd} u^d = 0. \]

Let:

(2.3) \[ x^\alpha = x^\alpha(s, v) \]
be a family of curves; the curves \( v = \text{const.} \) are geodesics, and one supposes that the curves \( s = \text{const.} \) are orthogonal trajectories. If:

\[
\eta^a = (\partial x^a/\partial v) \, dv , \quad u^a = \partial x^a/\partial s
\]

then one has:

\[
\eta^a u_a = 0 .
\]

The geodesic deviation is defined by:

\[
D^2 \eta^a/ ds^2 .
\]

For two neighboring geodesics it is a measure of the relative acceleration. One has:

\[
D \eta^a/ ds = (Du^a/ dv) \, dv .
\]

Recall that:

\[
A^i_{\ jk} = A^i_{\ kj} - A^i_{\ 1} R^i_{\ 1, \ jk} .
\]

One thus has:

\[
D^2 \eta^a/ ds^2 = D \left( \frac{Du^a}{dv} \right) dv
\]

and:

\[
D \left( \frac{Du^a}{dv} \right) = D \left( \frac{Du^a}{ds} \right) - u^l R^a_{\ l, \ cd} \frac{\partial x^c}{\partial v} \frac{\partial x^d}{\partial s}
\]

or:

\[
D \left( \frac{Du^a}{ds} \right) + u^l R^a_{\ l, \ cd} \frac{\partial x^c}{\partial v} \frac{\partial x^d}{\partial s} = D \left( \frac{Du^a}{dv} \right) + R^a_{\ l, \ cd} u^l \frac{\partial x^d}{\partial v} .
\]

One thus has:

\[
(D^2 \eta^a/ ds^2) + R^a_{\ b, \ cd} u^b u^d \eta^c = 0 .
\]

This formula shows that the gravitational field manifests itself thanks to the Riemann tensor, and it translates into the existence of a relative acceleration between two neighboring observers (i.e., geodesics) [4].

3. **Connection forms** [5]. – The covariant derivative permits us to establish a correspondence (viz., a parallelism) between neighboring tangent spaces.
Let $T_x$ and $T_{x+dx}$ be two neighboring tangent spaces, and let $A$ and $A + dA$ be the following elements of $T_x$ and $T_{x+dx}$:

\[(3.1)\quad A \in T_x, \quad A + dA \in T_{x+dx},\]

respectively. We define the linear map:

\[(3.2)\quad \omega : T_{x+dx} \rightarrow T_x, \quad \omega(A + dA) = A + DA,\]

in which:

\[(3.3)\quad (DA)^2 = (dA)^2 + A^b \partial^b;\]

in local coordinates:

\[(3.4)\quad \partial^b = \Gamma^c_{bc} dx^c.\]

We denote the matrix (3.4) by $\omega$.

The map $\omega$ is an infinitesimal transformation, and the components $\partial^b$ are nothing but the components of the images of the basis vectors under the map (3.2).

One knows that the tangent vector spaces are Minkowski spaces, that the map $\omega$ preserves the metric structure on the tangent spaces, and that the covariant derivative preserves angles.

$\omega$ is therefore an infinitesimal transformation of the homogeneous Lorentz group (or Minkowskian rotations), or furthermore an element of the Lie algebra of this group.

The connection therefore defined by the given of a 1-form with values in the Lie algebra of the Lorentz group.

Indeed, the definition above is incomplete, and, in particular, in an essential way: Indeed, one must specify the transformation law of $\omega$ under a change of basis in $T_x$ (cf., the techniques of É Cartan that are understood today thanks to the notion of a principal fiber bundle).

For example, let:

\[(3.5)\quad h^{(a)}_{b}\]

be a coframe in $T_x$, so it is determined by a basis of four covariant vectors.

The four 1-forms:

\[(3.6)\quad \theta^a = h^{(a)}_{b} dx^b\]

are their components relative to the tangent vector $dx^a$, and one will have:

\[\partial^b = \Gamma^c_{bc} \theta^c.\]

Let:

\[(3.7)\quad \theta' = T \theta\]

be a change of basis:

\[(3.8)\quad \theta'^a = T^a_{a'} \theta^a.\]

$\omega$ satisfies the transformation law:
\[ \omega' = T d T^{-1} + T \omega T^{-1}, \]

or:
\[ \omega' = -d T T^{-1} + T \omega T^{-1}, \]

namely:
\[ \omega^i_{\ j} = T^{c}_{\ j} d T^{c}_{\ i} + T^{d}_{\ j} \omega^d_{\ i}, \]
in which:
\[ (T^{-1})^b_{\ a} = T^b_{\ a}. \]

It is this law that assures the tensorial character of covariant derivation.

If \( \mathbf{A} \) is a contravariant vector then:
\[ \mathbf{A}' = T \mathbf{A}, \]
\[ (3.14) \]
\[ \mathbf{A}' = d(T \mathbf{A}) + \omega T \mathbf{A} = dT \mathbf{A} + T d \mathbf{A} + T \omega \mathbf{A}. \]

An analogous calculation shows that the 2-form:
\[ S = D \theta = d \theta + \omega \wedge \theta, \]
or:
\[ S = d \theta^a + \omega^a_{\ b} \wedge \theta^b, \]

which called the torsion 2-form, is also a tensorial form with vector values; one has:
\[ S' = T S. \]

4. **Riemannian connection in an arbitrary coframe.** – In an arbitrary coframe, let:
\[ \omega^b_{\ a} = \gamma^b_{\ ac} \theta^c \]
and:
\[ ds^2 = g_{ab} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b. \]

The condition that expresses the fact that \( \omega^b_{\ a} \) defines an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation is written:
\[ g_{ac} \omega^c_{\ b} + g_{bc} \omega^c_{\ a} = d g_{ab}, \]
or:
\[ \gamma_{abc} + \gamma_{bac} = \partial_c g_{ab}. \]

One will note that \( \partial_c g_{ab} \) defines a Pfaffian derivative, \( d g_{ab} = \partial_c g_{ab} \theta^c \). Let:
\[ d \theta^a = \frac{1}{2} b^a_{\ bc} \theta^b \wedge \theta^c. \]
The Riemannian connection is determined by the supplementary condition that the torsion be annulled:

(4.6) \[ d\theta^a + \omega^a_b \wedge \theta^b = 0. \]

(4.5) and (4.6) allow us to write:

(4.7) \[ b^a_{bc} + \gamma^a_{cb} - \gamma^a_{bc} = 0, \]

or, furthermore:

(4.8) \[ b_{abc} + \gamma_{acb} - \gamma_{abc} = 0. \]

(4.8) and (4.4) give:

(4.9) \[ \gamma_{acb} + \gamma_{bac} = \partial_c g_{ab} - b_{abc}, \]

or, furthermore:

(4.10) \[ \gamma_{bac} + \gamma_{cba} = \partial_a g_{bc} - b_{bca}. \]

(4.9) – (4.10) + (4.11) gives:

(4.12) \[ \gamma_{acb} = (\partial_b g_{ac} + \partial_c g_{ab} - \partial_a g_{bc}) - (b_{abc} + b_{cab} - b_{bca}); \]

in a natural frame:

(4.13) \[ b_{abc} = 0. \]

One thus recovers the formulas of section 1.1.

*Orthonormal frames* have the properties that:

(4.14) \[ ds^2 = (\omega^0)^2 - (\omega^1)^2 - (\omega^2)^2 - (\omega^3)^2 \]

and:

(4.15) \[ \omega_{ab} + \omega_{ba} = 0. \]

We also introduce *normal isotropic frames*, which have the property that:

(4.16) \[ ds^2 = 2 \theta^0 \theta^3 - 2 \theta^1 \theta^2. \]

They correspond to a choice of four covariant vectors \( h^{(a)} \) such that:

(4.17) \[ h^{(0)} \cdot h^{(3)} = - h^{(1)} \cdot h^{(2)} = 1, \]

the other scalar products being null.

In Minkowski space, if:

(4.18) \[ ds^2 = (dx^0)^2 - (dx^1)^2 - (dx^2)^2 - (dx^3)^2 \]

then one sets:

(4.19) \[ \sqrt{2} \theta^0 = dx^0 - dx^3, \quad \sqrt{2} \theta^3 = dx^0 + dx^3, \]

\[ \sqrt{2} \theta^1 = dx^1 + i dx^2, \quad \sqrt{2} \theta^2 = dx^1 - i dx^2. \]
The antisymmetric tensor $\eta_{abcd} - \text{viz.},$ the volume element with null covariant derivative – is defined by:

\[(4.20)\]

$$\eta_{abcd} = \sqrt{-g} \varepsilon_{abcd}, \quad \eta^{abcd} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \varepsilon^{abcd},$$

in which $\varepsilon_{abcd}$ and $\varepsilon^{abcd},$ the permutation tensors, equal $\pm 1$ whenever $abcd$ is an even or odd permutation of the sequence $0, 1, 2, 3,$ respectively.

In an isotropic frame:

\[(4.21)\]

$$\eta_{abcd} = i \varepsilon_{abcd}, \quad \eta^{abcd} = i \varepsilon^{abcd}.$$

5. Riemannian curvature. – The 2-form:

\[(5.1)\]

$$\Omega = D\omega = d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega$$

is a tensorial 2-form with values in the Lie algebra of the Lorentz group. Indeed, one has:

\[(5.2)\]

$$\Omega' = d\omega' + \omega' \wedge \omega'$$

\[= (dT \wedge dT^{-1} + dT \omega T^{-1} + T d\omega T^{-1} - T \omega \wedge dT^{-1}) + (-dT T^{-1} + T \omega T^{-1}) \wedge (T dT^{-1} + T \omega T^{-1}),$$

hence:

\[(5.3)\]

$$\Omega' = T \Omega T^{-1},$$

\[(5.4)\]

$$\Omega^a_b = d\omega^a_b + \omega^a_c \wedge \omega_b^c,$$

\[(5.5)\]

$$\Omega^a_b = \frac{1}{2} R^a_{bcd} \theta^c \wedge \theta^d,$$

so:

\[(5.6)\]

$$R^d_{bcd} = \partial_d \Gamma^a_{bd} - \partial_b \Gamma^a_{bd} + \Gamma^a_{lc} \Gamma^l_{bd} - \Gamma^a_{ld} \Gamma^l_{bc}.$$

The $\Omega^a_b$ are the components of an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation that is associated with the direction plane $\theta^c \wedge \theta^d.$ One has, moreover:

\[(5.7)\]

$$\Omega_{ab} + \Omega_{ab} = 0.$$

The symmetry properties of the index pairs in the Riemann tensor follow immediately.

The symmetry properties of the index triples follow from the identity:

\[(5.8)\]

$$\Omega^a_b \wedge \theta^b = 0,$$

which is a consequence of the vanishing of torsion; in order to find (5.8), it suffices to take the covariant differential of (5.4), while taking (5.6) into account.

Finally, the Bianchi identities express that the tensorial form $\Omega$ has null covariant derivative:
(5.9) \[ D\Omega = d\Omega - \Omega^\wedge \omega + \omega^\wedge \Omega = 0, \]
or:
(5.10) \[ D\Omega_a^b = d\Omega_a^b - \Omega_a^b \wedge \delta_b^c + \delta_b^c \wedge \Omega_a^c = 0 ; \]

relations (5.9) and (5.10) may be established by exterior differentiation of (5.1).

6. Curvature trivector. Einstein equations. – The antisymmetric tensor that is the volume element permits us to define adjoint tensors.

If \( A \) is a vector then \( \hat{A} \) is a trivector: \( \hat{A}^{abc} = \eta^{abcd} A^d \).

If \( A \) is a bivector then \( \hat{A} \) is also a bivector: \( \hat{A}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{abcd} A^{cd} \). Finally, if \( A \) is a trivector then \( \hat{A} \) is a vector:

\[ \hat{A}_a = \frac{1}{3!} \eta_{abcd} A^{bcd} . \]

Let \( \Theta = -\hat{\Omega}^\wedge \theta \). A 3-form, it is a tensorial form, or curvature trivector [6], such that:

\[ \Theta' = -\hat{\Omega}^\wedge \theta' = -T^{-1} \hat{\Omega} T^{-1} \wedge T \theta = T^{-1} \hat{\Theta} . \]

Moreover, it has null covariant derivative because, since the tensor \( \eta_{abcd} \) has null covariant derivative, one also has \( D\hat{\Omega} = 0 \). One has:

\[ \Theta_a = -\hat{\Omega}_{ab} \wedge \theta^b , \]
\[ \Theta_a = -\frac{1}{2} \eta_{abcd} \Omega^{cd} \wedge \theta^b = -\frac{1}{4} \eta_{abcd} R_{rs}^{cd} \theta^r \wedge \theta^s \wedge \theta^b . \]

Set \( \theta' \wedge \theta' \wedge \theta^b = \eta^{frsb} \hat{\theta}_f ; \)

\[ \Theta_a = -\frac{1}{4} \eta_{abcd} \eta^{frsb} R_{rs}^{cd} \hat{\theta}_f = \frac{1}{4} \delta_{rs}^{fr} R_{rs}^{cd} \hat{\theta}_f = \frac{1}{4} ( \delta_{rs}^{fr} + \delta_{rf}^{sr} + \delta_{sf}^{rs} + \delta_{sr}^{fs} ) R_{rs}^{cd} \hat{\theta}_f = (R_a^f - \frac{1}{2} R \delta_a^f ) \hat{\theta}_f = G_a^f \hat{\theta}_f . \]

The tensor \( G_a^f \) is precisely the Einstein tensor that appears in the left-hand side of the gravitational field equations:

\[ G_a^b = R_a^b - \frac{1}{2} \delta_a^b R = \kappa T_a^b . \]

The fact that the curvature trivector has null covariant derivative is written \( \nabla_b G_a^b = 0 \), which corresponds to the conservative character of this tensor.
7. **A theorem of Élie Cartan** [6]. – The invariant functions that are defined on $V_4$, or the *scalar invariants* of $V_4$, are functions of $R_{abcd}$ and their covariant derivatives.

In particular, the *curvature invariants*, which, by definition, depend on at most the second order derivatives of the fundamental tensor $g_{ab}$ are functions of $R_{abcd}$ alone.

8. **Statement of a problem.** – The tangent space at a point of $V_4$ is a Minkowski space; from this, it results that any type of tensor field on $V_4$ defines a representation of the group of Minkowskian rotations at each point.

We shall study the structure of the 20-dimensional vector space of Riemann tensors.

II. **The space of bivectors**

9. **Space of bivectors.** – Let:

\[ F_{ab} = - F_{ba} \]

be a twice-covariant antisymmetric tensor in Minkowski space $M_4$; more generally, $F_{ab}$ will be a tensor field on $V_4$. Let:

\[ g_{ab} \]

be the metric tensor on $M_4$.

The space of bivectors on $M_4$ is a 6-dimensional vector space $M_6$. $M_6$ is a metric space and the norm is defined by:

\[ F \cdot F = F^2 = \frac{1}{4} g_{ab, cd} F^{ab} F^{cd} = \frac{1}{4} g^{ab, cd} F_{ab} F_{cd} = \frac{1}{2} F_{ab} F^{ab}, \]

in which:

\[ g_{ab, cd} = g_{ab} g_{cd} - g_{ad} g_{bc}. \]

Moreover, *in an oriented* $M_6$ the quadratic form:

\[ F \cdot F = \frac{1}{4} \eta^{abcd} F_{ab} F_{cd} = \frac{1}{2} F^{ab} F_{ab} \]

is invariant.

The existence of two quadratic forms in $M_6$ that are invariant under Minkowskian rotations (det = + 1) is the basis for a representation of the Lorentz group that we specify at the end of the chapter.

Let $\chi^{(a)}$ be a basis of covectors in $M_4$ and let $A$ be a vector of $M_4$. Then:

\[ A = A_a \chi^{(a)}, \quad \text{that is,} \quad A_b = A_a \chi^{(a)}_b, \]

\[ A \cdot A = A_a A_b \chi^{(a)} \cdot \chi^{(b)} = A_a A_b g^{ab}. \]

Let:

\[ \chi^{(ab)} = \chi^a \wedge \chi^b \]

be a basis of bivectors; let it be a basis for $M_6$. A bivector of $M_6$ is written:
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\( F = \frac{1}{2} F_{ab} \chi^{(ab)} \), that is, \( F_{cd} = \frac{1}{2} F_{ab} \chi^{(ab)cd} \).

The metric of \( M_6 \) is such that:

\( \chi^{(ab)} \cdot \chi^{(cd)} = g^{ab, cd} = g^{ab} g^{cd} - g^{ad} g^{bc} \).

Let:

\( \chi^{(ab)} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{cdab} g_{cdrs} \chi^{(rs)} \).

One calls bivectors \( B \) such that:

\( B = i B \), or \( B = -i B \),

self-adjoint or anti-self-adjoint, respectively.

To any bivector \( F \) one can associate the bivectors:

\( F^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (F - i F) \), \( \bar{F} = \frac{1}{2} (F + i F) \),

which are self-adjoint and anti-self-adjoint, respectively. Indeed:

\( F = -\bar{F} \).

We further note that:

\( F = F + \bar{F} \).

We note that:

\( F_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{cd} F_{cd} \),

\( \Delta_{cd} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{cdab} g_{cdrs} \).

One has:

\( \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{cd} \Delta_{fg} = -\delta_{ab} \),

\( \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{cd} \Delta_{fg} = \frac{1}{8} \eta_{rsab} g^{rs} \eta_{avcd} g^{uvfg} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{rsab} \eta_{avcd} g^{re} g^{sd} g^{uf} g^{vg} = -\frac{1}{2} \eta_{rsab} \delta_{fg} = -\delta_{ab} \).

Furthermore, let:

\( F_{ab}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{cd}_{ab} F_{cd} \),

\( F^{\pm} = \Gamma F \),

\( \Gamma = \frac{1}{2} (I - i \Delta) \), \( \Gamma = \frac{1}{2} (I + i \Delta) \).
One has:
\begin{equation}
\Gamma^+ \Gamma^+ = \Gamma, \quad \Gamma \Gamma^+ = \Gamma, \quad \Gamma^+ \Gamma^+ = 0,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\Gamma + \Gamma^+ = 1.
\end{equation}

Theorem. –
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} (F^2 \pm F \cdot F)
\end{equation}
are two quadratic forms of rank 3.

\textit{a) Orthonormal frames.} – Let:
\begin{equation}
\chi^a \cdot \chi^b = \eta^{ab}, \quad \eta^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\eta_{abcd} = \varepsilon_{abcd}, \quad \eta_{abcd} = - \varepsilon_{abcd} \quad (a, b, c, d = 0, 1, 2, 3).
\end{equation}

One has:
\begin{equation}
F^2 = (F_{23})^2 + (F_{31})^2 + (F_{12})^2 - (F_{01})^2 - (F_{02})^2 - (F_{03})^2,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
F_{23} = F^{01} = -F_{01}, \quad F_{01} = F^{23} = -F_{23},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
F_{31} = F^{02} = -F_{02}, \quad F_{02} = F^{31} = -F_{31},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
F_{12} = F^{03} = -F_{03}, \quad F_{03} = F^{12} = -F_{12},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
F \cdot F = -2 F_{23} F_{01} - 2 F_{31} F_{02} - 2 F_{12} F_{03},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
F_{23} = \frac{1}{2} (F_{23} + i F_{01}), \quad F_{01} = \frac{1}{2} (F_{01} - i F_{23}) = -i F_{23},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
F_{31} = \frac{1}{2} (F_{31} + i F_{02}), \quad F_{02} = \frac{1}{2} (F_{02} - i F_{31}) = -i F_{31},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
F_{12} = \frac{1}{2} (F_{12} + i F_{03}), \quad F_{03} = \frac{1}{2} (F_{03} - i F_{12}) = -i F_{12}.
\end{equation}

One has:
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} (F^2 - F \cdot F) = [(F_{23})^2 - (F_{01})^2 + 2i F_{23} F_{01}] + \ldots
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= 2 \left[ (F_{23})^2 + (F_{31})^2 + (F_{12})^2 \right].
\end{equation}

One may form the following basis of self-adjoint bivectors:
\begin{equation}
Z^1 = \frac{1}{2} (\chi^{23} - i \chi^{01}), \quad Z^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\chi^{31} - i \chi^{02}), \quad Z^3 = \frac{1}{2} (\chi^{12} - i \chi^{03}),
\end{equation}
Any bivector may be written:

\[(9.34)\quad F = F_\alpha Z^\alpha + \overline{F}_a \overline{Z}^a, \quad F_{\lambda\mu} = F_\alpha Z_\lambda^\alpha + \overline{F}_a \overline{Z}_\lambda^a,\]
in which:

\[F_\alpha = F_\beta + i F_0\alpha, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma = (1, 2, 3).\]

The metric of space \(Z^\alpha\) is:

\[(9.35)\quad Z^\alpha \cdot Z^\beta = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\alpha\beta}.\]

We note that:

\[(9.36)\quad A \cdot A = \frac{1}{2} [(A_1)^2 + (A_2)^2 + (A_3)^2].\]

b) Normal isotropic frames. — All of the foregoing that relates to the bivector \(F_{ab}\) may also be transcribed in terms of differential 1- and 2-forms. We shall do this in a normal isotropic frame.

A normal isotropic frame has the property that:

\[(9.37)\quad \chi^a \cdot \chi^b = (0 0 0 1)
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \iota^{ab}.\]

It is defined by four vectors \(h^{(0)}, h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}, h^{(3)}\) such that:

\[(9.38)\quad h^{(0)} \cdot h^{(3)} = - h^{(1)} \cdot h^{(2)} = 1,\]

the other scalar products being null. One has:

\[(9.39)\quad g_{ab} = h^{(e)}_a h^{(d)}_b \iota_{cd}.\]

In local coordinates, we denote:

\[(9.40)\quad \theta^a = h^{(a)}_b d\chi^b\]
and:

\[(9.41)\quad h^{(a)}_b h^{(d)}_a = \delta^c_b,\]

\[(9.42)\quad \eta_{abcd} = i \varepsilon_{abcd}, \quad \eta^{abcd} = i \varepsilon^{abcd}, \quad (a, b, c, d = 0, 1, 2, 3).\]

One has:
We take the following basis of self-adjoint 2-forms:

\[(9.44) \quad Z^1 = \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3, \quad Z^2 = \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1, \quad Z^3 = \frac{1}{2}(\theta^0 \wedge \theta^3 - \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2).\]

Now, the space-time metric is:

\[(9.45) \quad \gamma^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.\]

One has:

\[(9.46) \quad Z^\alpha \cdot Z^\beta = \gamma^{\alpha\beta}, \quad Z^\alpha \cdot \overline{Z}^\beta = 0, \quad \overline{Z}^\alpha \cdot \overline{Z}^\beta = \gamma^{\alpha\beta}.\]

One has, moreover:

\[(9.47) \quad Z^\alpha \wedge Z^\beta = \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \, dv, \quad Z^\alpha \wedge \overline{Z}^\beta = 0, \quad \overline{Z}^\alpha \wedge \overline{Z}^\beta = -\gamma^{\alpha\beta} \, dv.\]

**CONCLUSION.** – The space of self-adjoint bivectors $Z^\alpha$ is a complex Euclidian space, which we denote in the sequel by $E_3$. If:

\[(9.48) \quad \frac{1}{2} F_{ab} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b = F_\alpha Z^\alpha + \text{conj.},\]

then:

\[(9.49) \quad F_1 = F_{23}, \quad F_2 = F_{01}, \quad F_3 = F_{03} - F_{12}, \quad \overline{F}_1 = F_{13}, \quad \overline{F}_2 = F_{02}, \quad \overline{F}_3 = F_{03} + F_{12}.\]

10. **Classification of bivectors. Characteristic isotropic vectors. Invariants.** – The bivectors are classified into two categories, according to whether the invariant:

\[(10.1) \quad I = F_\alpha F^\alpha \gamma^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \{ F \cdot \overline{F} \}^+ \]

is different from 0 or not. $I$ is an invariant of the Lorentz group, and tensorially it is:

\[(10.2) \quad I = \frac{1}{2} (F_{ab} F^{ab} - i F^{ab} F_{ab}) \].
If \( I \neq 0 \) then the bivector is *nonsingular*. If \( I = 0 \) then the bivector is *singular*, and one has:

\[
F_{ab} F^{ab} = F^{ab} F^{\ast ab} = 0 .
\]

**THEOREM.** – With any nonsingular bivector there is associated a pair of characteristic (real) isotropic vector such that:

\[
F_{ab} k^{(A) b} = I \ k^{(A) a} \quad [A = 1, 2; \quad \text{type (1, 1)}].
\]

To any singular bivector there is associated an isotropic vector – or a pair of isotropic vectors that coincide – such that:

\[
F_{ab} k^b = 0 \quad [\text{type (2)}].
\]

One also has:

\[
F_{ab} k^{(A) b} = I^* k_{b(A)}
\]

in the first case, and:

\[
F_{ab} k^b = 0
\]

in the second case.

The proof is particularly simple in an isotropic frame. Any singular bivector:

\[
F = F_a Z^a + \text{conj.}
\]

is equivalent to:

\[
F = Z^2 + \text{conj.}
\]

The associated 2-form is therefore written:

\[
F = \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 + \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 = \theta^0 \wedge (\theta^1 + \theta^2),
\]

in which:

\[
\theta^0 = k_a \ dx^a, \quad \theta^1 + \theta^2 = v^{(1)}_a \ dx^a,
\]

\[
F_{ab} = k_a v^{(1)}_b - k_b v^{(1)}_a,
\]

with:

\[
k_a \ k^a = 0 , \quad k^a v^{(1)}_a = 0 .
\]

One has, moreover:

\[
F = i \ \theta^0 \wedge (\theta^1 - \theta^2)
\]

and:

\[
i (\theta^1 - \theta^2) = v^{(2)}_a \ dx^a ,
\]

with:

\[
k^a \ v^{(2)}_a = v^{(2)}_a = v^{(1)}_a = 0 , \quad k_a = h^0_a, \quad v^{(1)}_a = h^{(1)}_a + h^{(2)}_a, \quad v^{(2)}_a = i (h^{(1)}_a - h^{(2)}_a) ,
\]
hence:
\[(10.17) \quad F_{ab}^* = k_a v_b^{(2)} - k_b v_a^{(2)}.\]

One has, at the same time:
\[(10.18) \quad F_{ab} k^b = F_{ab}^* k^b = 0.\]

If $F$ is nonsingular then $F$ is equivalent to:
\[(10.19) \quad \dot{F} = J \cdot Z^3, \quad J^2 = -2I.\]

One thus has (†):
\[(10.20) \quad F = \frac{J}{2} (\theta^0 \wedge \theta^3 - \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2) + \text{conj.}\]
\[= \frac{J + \overline{J}}{2} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^3 + \frac{J - \overline{J}}{2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 = \frac{J + \overline{J}}{2} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^3 + i \frac{J - \overline{J}}{2} * (\theta^0 \wedge \theta^3).\]

\[(10.21) \quad J = E + i H, \quad F = E \theta^0 \wedge \theta^3 + H * (\theta^0 \wedge \theta^3)\]
\[(10.22) \quad E^2 - H^2 = -\frac{1}{2} F_{ab} F^{ab}, \quad 2 E \cdot H = \frac{1}{2} F_{ab} F^{*ab}.\]

Hence:
\[(10.23) \quad \theta^0 = k_a^{(1)} dx^a, \quad \theta^3 = k_a^{(2)} dx^a;\]
\[(10.24) \quad F_{ab} = E a_{ab} + H a_{ab}^*, \quad a_{ab} = (k_a^{(1)} k_b^{(2)} - k_a^{(2)} k_b^{(1)})/(k^{(1)} \cdot k^{(2)}).\]

If $F_{ab}$ is a non-singular electromagnetic field and $u^a$ is a vector of timelike type that is associated with an observer then:
\[(10.26) \quad E_a = F_{ab} u^b, \quad H_a = -F_{ab}^* u^b\]

are the electric field and magnetic field for the observer $u^a$, respectively.

If $u^a$ is a vector in the Minkowskian plane of $k$ and $k$ then one has:
\[(10.27) \quad u_a = \alpha k^{(1)} + \beta k^{(2)}, \quad E_a = E (\alpha k_a^{(1)} - \beta k_a^{(2)}) = E e_a, \quad H_a = -H e_a,\]

† Trans. note: The notation was changed slightly in the last expression of (10.20) for the sake of clarity.
in which $e_a$ is a vector of the same type as $u_a$ that is contained in the plane of $k^{(1)}$ and $k^{(2)}$ and orthogonal to $u^a$. The Minkowskian plane of $k$ and $k$ may be characterized by the property that it contains the observers for which the vectors $E_a, H_a$ have the same line of action.

**COROLLARY.** – *Bivectors associated with a given isotropic vector.* Let $k_a$ be an isotropic vector; it results from the preceding that the set of bivectors that admit $k_a$ for a characteristic vector is given by:

$$F_{ab} = A_1 (k_a u_b - k_b u_a) + A_2 a_{ab} + A_3 a_{ab}^* .$$

In a normal isotropic frame, such as:

$$k_a = h_a^{(0)} ,$$

$h^{(0)}$ is characteristic if:

$$F_{ab}^+ k^b = I k_a .$$

Note that:

$$k^b = h_a^{(3)} ,$$

$$Z_{ab}^{(1)} \cdot h_a^{(3)} = h_a^{(0)} ,$$

$$Z_{ab}^{(2)} \cdot h_a^{(3)} = 0 ,$$

$$Z_{ab}^{(3)} \cdot h_a^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} h_a^{(0)} .$$

The condition (10.30) may be written:

$$A_1 = 0 .$$

To the vector $h^{(0)}$, one can associate the pencil:

$$F = A_2 Z^2 + A_3 Z^3$$

that is generated by $Z^2$ and $Z^3$.

**11. The energy-momentum tensor.** – To any bivector $F_{ab}$, one may associate the symmetric tensor:

$$T_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} F_{cd} F^{cd} - F_{ac} F^c_b .$$

It enjoys the following properties:

a) Symmetry:

$$T_{ab} = T_{ba} .$$

b) Null trace:

$$T_{ab} g^{ab} = 0 .$$

c) Involutive character:
(11.4) \[ T^c_a T_{cb} = \frac{1}{4} g^{ab} \tilde{I}^2. \]

d) Characteristic isotropic vectors:
(11.5) \[ T_{ab} k^b_{(A)} = I k_{(A)a}. \]

e) Conservative character:
(11.6) \[ \nabla_a T^a_b = 0 \]
if:
(11.7) \[ \nabla_b F^a_{\ast} = 0. \]

\( T_{ab} \) is the energy-momentum tensor that is associated with the electromagnetic field \( F_{ab} \), and equations (11.7) are nothing but the vacuum Maxwell equations. Note that:

(11.8) \[ F_{ac} F^{bc} = F_{ab} F^{bc} = \frac{1}{2} \delta^b_a F_{rs} F^{rs}. \]

Indeed:
(11.9) \[ F_{ac}^* \eta_t^{ab} F_{rs} F^{tu} = - \frac{1}{4} \delta^{abh}_{rsa} F_{rs} F^{rs}, \]

in which \( \delta^{abh}_{rsa} = 3! \delta^t_r \delta^b_s \delta^a_\mu \). One thus has:

(11.10) \[ T^b_a = \frac{1}{4} (F_{ab} F^{x:b} + F_{ac} F^{x:c}). \]

Note, moreover, that:
(11.11) \[ T^b_a = 2 F^c_a \tilde{F}^b_c = 2 \tilde{F}^c_a \cdot F^b_c. \]

In the case of a non-singular \( F \), one has, moreover (cf. § 10):

(11.12) \[ T_{ab} = - \frac{I}{2} \left( g_{ab} - 2 k^{(1)}_a k^{(2)}_b + k^{(2)}_a k^{(1)}_b \right), \]

in which:
(11.13) \[ \tilde{I}^2 = (E^2 + H^2)^2 = (F \cdot F)^2 + (F \cdot \tilde{F})^2; \]

(10.4) and (10.5) result immediately.

In the singular case:
(11.14) \[ T_{ab} = k_a k_b. \]

12. Geometric interpretations. – 1. Cayley space \( P_3 \). – In \( M_4 \), a hyperplane section is a projective space \( P_3 \) that is the Cayley space for the oval quadric \( Q \):
(12.1) \[ g_{ab} \chi^a \chi^b = 0. \]

The covariant vectors have the planes of \( P_3 \) for images, and the contravariant vectors, the points of \( P_3 \). The points of \( Q \) and the planes tangent to \( Q \) are the images of isotropic vectors. The interior points are the images of (contravariant) vectors of timelike type, and the exterior points are the vectors of spacelike type; the same is true for the (covariant vector) planes that, when they are not secant, correspond to the hyperplanes of spacelike type, and the secant planes correspond to the hyperplanes of timelike type.

An **orthonormal coframe** is composed of planes that form an auto-polar tetrahedron for \( Q \).

An **isotropic frame** is composed of two real isotropic planes \( h_a^{(0)}, h_a^{(3)} \), which are tangent to the points \( h_a^{(3)}, h_a^{(0)} \) (isotropic vectors), and the two planes \( h_a^{(0)}, h_a^{(3)} \) determine a bivector that has the line of intersection (viz., the bivector \( Z^{(2)} \)) for its image. The polar line \( Z^{(1)} \) is completely determined, as well as the two (complex conjugate) isotropic planes \( h_a^{(1)}, h_a^{(2)} \) that have it for their intersection (Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1.](image1)
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One will note that the isotropic frame is determined, up to the normalization conditions (9.38), by the two real isotropic vectors \( h_a^{(0)}, h_a^{(3)} \).

A **non-singular electromagnetic field**:

(12.2) \[ F_{ab} = E a_{ab} + H a_{ab}^*, \]

is associated with a definite isotropic frame that is determined by its characteristic vectors \( k^{(1)}, k^{(2)} \). The observer \( u^a \) (a vector of timelike type), which is in the Minkowskian plane \( k^{(1)}, k^{(2)} \):

(12.3) \[ u^a = \lambda k_a^{(1)} + \mu k_a^{(2)} \]

has the property that the electric and magnetic field vectors that it defines have the same line of action (Fig. 2). One has:

(12.4) \[ E_a = F_{ab} u^b = E (\lambda k_a^{(2)} + \mu k_a^{(1)}), \]

(12.5) \[ H_a = F_{ab} u^b = E (\lambda k_a^{(1)} + \mu k_a^{(2)}). \]
Since the planes $E_a, H_a$ coincide they are of spacelike type and are determined by the point $u^a$ and the line $a_{ab}$. The fields $E^a$ and $H^a$ coincide with the conjugate point on the line $a_{ab}$, which joins $k^a_{(1)}, k^a_{(2)}$.

In the case of a singular electromagnetic field there is degeneracy – viz., $k^a_{(1)} = k^a_{(2)}$ – so $a$ and $a^*$ are two lines tangent to the absolute.

\[ F_{ab} = k_a v^{(1)}_b - k_b v^{(1)}_a. \]

An observer situated on the intersection of the planes $v^{(1)}$ and $v^{(2)}$, $v^{(1)}_a u^a = v^{(2)}_a u^a = 0$, is again such that $E_v, H_v$ are orthogonal (Fig. 3).

\[ E_a F_{ab} u^b = - v^{(1)}_a k_b u^b, \]
\[ H_a = - F_{ab} u^b v^{(2)}_a k_b u^b, \]

One has:

\[ E_a H^a = 0, \quad E_a E^a = H_a H^a = (k_b u^b)^2. \]

**Energy-momentum tensor.** – The given of the absolute and the two isotropic vectors $k^{(1)}, k^{(2)}$ determine a pencil of quadrics or symmetric tensors:

\[ \tau_{ab} = \tau_{ba} = \lambda g_{ab} + \mu (k^{(1)}_a k^{(2)}_b + k^{(2)}_a k^{(1)}_b). \]

In this pencil there exists a quadric that is determined by the condition (called apolarity):

\[ g^{ab} \tau_{ab} = 0 \]

so:

\[ 4 \lambda + 2 \mu (k^{(1)} \cdot k^{(2)} = 0. \]

One has:

\[ \tau_{ab} = \lambda \left( g_{ab} - 2 \frac{k^{(1)}_a k^{(2)}_b + k^{(2)}_a k^{(1)}_b}{k^{(1)} \cdot k^{(2)}} \right). \]

This tensor is involutive and:

\[ \tau_{ab} \tau^{bc} = \delta_a^c \lambda^2. \]

This energy-momentum tensor corresponds to the case for which $\lambda = -l/2$.

2. **Cayley space $P_2$.** – The plane sections of $E_3$ [2] are Cayley projective planes $P_2$ for the absolute conic $\gamma$. 
(12.15) \[ \gamma_{\alpha\beta} Z^\alpha Z^\beta = 0 . \]

An orthonormal coframe has a triangle that is autopolar for \( \gamma \) as its image in \( P_2 \).

An isotropic frame is associated with a triangle (Fig. 4) that is formed from two tangents to the absolute \( (Z^1 = Z^2 = 0) \) and the contact chord \( (Z^3 = 0) \). The points of the absolute have the rectilinear generators of the absolute \( Q \) for their images. Example: to \( Z^3 = 0 \) is associated the pair of planes whose equations are:

(12.16) \[ h_a^{(0)} \chi^a = h_{a}^{(1)} \chi^a = 0 , \]

and whose intersection belongs to:

(12.17) \[ g_{ab} \chi^a \chi^b = (h_a^{(0)} h_b^{(3)} + h_a^{(0)} h_b^{(3)} - h_a^{(1)} h_b^{(2)} - h_a^{(1)} h_b^{(2)}) \chi^a \chi^b = 0 . \]

The points \( P_1, P_2 \) determine two generators \( \overline{P}_1, \overline{P}_2 \) of the same mode of \( Q \), namely, the conjugate generators (of the contrary modes), hence, two real points \( h^{(0)}, h^{(3)} \) of the absolute and two complex conjugate points \( h^{(1)}, h^{(2)} \).

A non-singular electromagnetic field has a line for its image:

(12.18) \[ A_\alpha Z^\alpha = 0 , \]

which is secant to the absolute – for example, \( Z^3 = 0 \) – and a singular electromagnetic field has a tangent to the absolute for its image – for example, \( Z^2 \) (Fig. 5).

\[ \text{Fig. 4.} \quad \text{Fig. 5.} \]

**Singular electromagnetic field and isotropic vectors.** In an isotropic frame, if one lets:

(12.19) \[ l = l_a \theta^a \]

be an isotropic vector then one has:

(12.20) \[ l_0 l_3 = l_1 l_2 . \]

The singular bivectors that admit \( l \) for a characteristic vector may be written:
Gravitational radiation

\[ F = (l_a \theta^a) \wedge (u_b \theta^b) , \]

in which \( u_a \) is an arbitrary vector that is not proportional to \( l \). One has:

\[ F^+ = (l_2 u_3 - l_3 u_2) Z^1 + (l_0 u_1 - l_1 u_0) Z^2 + (l_0 u_3 - l_3 u_0 - l_1 u_2 + l_2 u_1) Z^3 . \]

Let:

\[ \frac{l_0}{l_2} = \frac{l_1}{l_3} = k , \]

One has:

\[ F^+ = (l_2 u_3 - l_3 u_2) (Z^1 + k Z^3) + (l_0 u_1 - l_1 u_0) (Z^2 + k^{-1} Z^3) . \]

In \( P_2 \), the image of the family \( F \) is composed of the pencil of lines that pass through \( P \) (Fig. 6), where \( P \), a point of \( \gamma \) is defined by:

\[ Z^1 + k Z^3 = Z^2 + k^{-1} Z^3 = 0 . \]

If:

\[ Z^1 = \lambda^2, \quad Z^2 = \mu^2, \quad Z^3 = \lambda \mu , \]

are the parametric equations of (12.15) then one has:

\[ \lambda / \mu = k . \]

Conversely, if the value of \( k \) is known, or furthermore, if one is given a point of \( g \) then the associated real isotropic vector \( l \) may be written:

\[ l = (\rho, e^{-i\psi}, e^{i\psi}, 1/\rho) \]

if:

\[ k = \rho e^{i\psi} . \]

If \( l = h^{(0)}, l_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0), k = \infty \) then the point \( P \) corresponds to nothing but:

\[ Z^2 = Z^3 = 0 \quad (\lambda = 1, \mu = 0) ; \]

\( h^{(3)} \) corresponds to the point:

\[ Z^1 = Z^3 = 0 \quad (\lambda = 0, \mu = 1) . \]

13. **The Lorentz groups and their bivectorial representations.** – We say “Lorentz transformations” or “Minkowski transformations” when we are referring to the linear transformations \( \chi^a = L^a_b \chi^b \) that preserve the quadratic form \( (\chi^0)^2 - (\chi^1)^2 - (\chi^2)^2 - (\chi^3)^2 \).
One calls the transformations with positive determinant *Minkowskian rotations* and denotes them \( L^+ \): \( \det L^+_a = 1 \); one calls the transformations with negative determinants *Minkowskian reversals* and denote them by \( L^- \): \( \det L^-_a = -1 \).

The rotations and reversals are of two types, \( L^+_+, L^+_\downarrow \) and \( L^-_+, L^-_\downarrow \), according to whether they do or do not preserve the time direction.

The four types of Minkowski transformations give rise to five groups:

1. The complete Lorentz group,
2. The restricted Lorentz group \( L^+_+ \),
3. The group of rotations \( L^+_+ \) and reversals \( L^-_+ \), which are transformations that preserve the time direction,
4. The group of rotations \( L^+_+ \) and reversals \( L^-_\downarrow \), which are transformations that preserve the spatial orientation,
5. The group of rotations \( L^+_+ \) and rotations \( L^+_\uparrow \) that respect or permute both the time direction and the space orientation.

Under the bivectorial representation \( M_4 \rightarrow M_6 \), the Minkowskian rotations have the rotations \( Z^\alpha = \theta^\alpha_\beta Z^\beta , \bar{Z}^\alpha = \bar{\theta}^\alpha_\beta \bar{Z}^\beta \) for their images; the reversals permute \( Z \) and \( \bar{Z} \):

\[
Z^\alpha = \theta^\alpha_\beta \bar{Z}^\beta , \quad \bar{Z}^\alpha = \bar{\theta}^\alpha_\beta Z^\beta .
\]

The group \( L^+_+ \) is isomorphic to the group of rotations \( O_3(\mathbb{C}) \).

### III. The Riemann tensor

14. **Connection and curvature in bivectorial variables** \(^1\). – The representation \( K: L^+_+ \rightarrow O_3(\mathbb{C}) \) associates \( \omega \) with an infinitesimal rotation of \( O_3(\mathbb{C}) \) that we denote by \( \sigma (\sigma^\alpha_\beta) \).

\( \sigma \) is a 1-form with values in the Lie algebra of \( O_3(\mathbb{C}) \). To formula (4.6):

\[
(14.1) \quad d\theta + \omega ^\wedge \theta = 0 ,
\]

which expresses the absence of torsion, one associates the formulas:

\[
(14.2) \quad dZ + \sigma ^\wedge Z = 0 ,
\]

and:

\[
(14.3) \quad dZ^\alpha + \sigma^\alpha_\beta ^\wedge Z^\beta = 0 \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3) .
\]

\(^1\) The method presented here is unedited. It has been the object of research done in collaboration with Mr. M. Cahen and Miss L. Defrise. The 1-forms \( \sigma \) of the infinitesimal rotation correspond to the spinorial coefficients of Newman and Penrose [8].
To the formula:

\[(14.4)\quad \Omega = D\omega = d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega,\]

which defines the curvature 2-form, there corresponds the expression:

\[(14.5)\quad \Sigma = D\sigma = d\sigma + \sigma \wedge \sigma,\]

and:

\[(14.6)\quad \Sigma^\alpha_\beta = d\sigma^\alpha_\beta + \sigma^\alpha_\gamma \wedge \sigma^\gamma_\beta,\]

To \(\sigma^\alpha_\beta (\Sigma^\alpha_\beta, \text{resp.})\), we associate the infinitesimal rotation vector \(\sigma^\alpha (\Sigma^\alpha, \text{resp.})\), thanks to:

\[(14.7)\quad \sigma^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \sigma^\beta_\gamma, \quad \Sigma^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \Sigma^\beta_\gamma.\]

We set:

\[(14.8)\quad \Sigma_\alpha = C_{\alpha\beta} + E_{\alpha\beta} Z^\beta.\]

Here, we give analytical development in an isotropic coframe; the details of this development are given in the Appendix. If:

\[(14.9)\quad d\theta^\alpha + \omega^\alpha_\beta \wedge \theta^\beta = 0,\]

then one has:

\[(14.10)\quad \begin{cases}
    dZ^1 = \sigma^3 \wedge Z^1 - \sigma^1 \wedge Z^3, \\
    dZ^2 = -\sigma^3 \wedge Z^2 + \sigma^2 \wedge Z^3, \\
    dZ^3 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \wedge Z^1 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^1 \wedge Z^2,
\end{cases}\]

\[(14.11)\quad \sigma^\alpha_\beta = \begin{pmatrix}
    -\sigma^3 & 0 & \sigma^1 \\
    0 & \sigma^3 & -\sigma^2 \\
    -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 & \frac{1}{2} \sigma^1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.\]

If one refers to the definition of \(Z^\alpha\):

\[(14.11)\quad Z^1 = \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3, \quad Z^2 = \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3, \quad Z^3 = \frac{1}{2} (\theta^0 \wedge \theta^3 - \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2)\]

then one verifies that one has:

\[(14.12)\quad \omega^\alpha_\beta = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
    \sigma^3 + \sigma^1 & -\sigma^2 & -\sigma^2 & 0 \\
    \sigma^3 - \sigma^1 & 0 & -\sigma^2 & 0 \\
    \sigma^3 & \sigma^3 - \sigma^1 & 0 & -\sigma^2 \\
    0 & \sigma^3 & \sigma^1 & -\sigma^2
\end{pmatrix},\]
Gravitational radiation

\[
\left\{
\begin{align*}
\Sigma^2 &= \Sigma_1 = 2\Omega_{23} = d\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 \wedge \sigma^3, \\
\Sigma^1 &= \Sigma_2 = 2\Omega_{01} = d\sigma^1 + \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^3, \\
\Sigma^3 &= -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_3 = \Omega_{12} = d\sigma^3 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2,
\end{align*}
\right.
\]

(14.13)

\[
\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
\Sigma^3 + \Sigma^1 & -\Sigma^2 & -\Sigma^2 & 0 \\
\Sigma^3 & \Sigma^3 - \Sigma^1 & 0 & -\Sigma^2 \\
\Sigma^1 & 0 & \Sigma^3 & -\Sigma^2 \\
0 & \Sigma^1 & \Sigma^1 & - (\Sigma^3 + \Sigma^1)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(14.14)

If one differentiates formulas (14.3) then one finds, in general, that:

(14.15)

\[\Sigma^\alpha_\beta \wedge Z^3 = 0,\]

namely, in an isotropic frame:

(14.16)

\[-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_3 \wedge Z^1 = \Sigma_2 \wedge Z^3, \quad -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_3 \wedge Z^2 = \Sigma_1 \wedge Z^3, \quad \Sigma_1 \wedge Z^1 = \Sigma_2 \wedge Z^2.\]

If one refers to formula (9.48) then one finds that:

(14.17)

\[C'_{\alpha\beta} = C'_{\beta\alpha}.\]

From the reality conditions, it results, moreover, that \(\bar{E}_{\alpha\beta}\) is a Hermitian form:

(14.18)

\[\bar{E}_{\alpha\beta} = E_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}.\]

One may further set:

(14.19)

\[\Sigma_\alpha = C_{\alpha\beta} Z^\beta + \frac{\lambda}{3} \gamma_{\alpha\beta} Z^\beta + E_{\alpha\beta} \bar{Z}^\beta,\]

in which:

(14.20)

\[C_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^{\beta\gamma} = 0, \quad \lambda = \gamma^{\beta\gamma} C'_{\alpha\beta}.\]

One has:

(14.21)

\[C_{33} = 4 C_{12},\]

(14.22)

\[C'_{33} = C_{33} - \frac{3}{2} \lambda, \quad C'_{12} = C_{12} + \frac{1}{3} \lambda.\]

**Example 1** ([9], pp. 379).

\[ds^2 = -\exp(k x^1)\{\cos \alpha [(dx^0)^2 - (dx^3)^2] + 2 \sin \alpha dx^0 \, dx^3\} - (dx^1)^2 - \exp(-2k x^1) (dx^2)^2, \quad \alpha = \sqrt{5} k x^1.\]

One sets:
\[ \sqrt{2} \theta^0 = \exp\left( \frac{kx^1}{2} \right) \left[ \left( \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} + \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) dx^0 - \left( \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) dx^3 \right] \]

\[ \sqrt{2} \theta^3 = \exp\left( \frac{kx^1}{2} \right) \left[ \left( \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) dx^0 + \left( \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} + \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) dx^3 \right] \]

\[ \sqrt{2} \theta^1 = dx^1 + i \exp(-kx^1) dx^2, \quad \sqrt{2} \theta^2 = dx^1 - i \exp(-kx^1) dx^2; \]

then:

\[ ds^2 = 2 \theta^0 \theta^3 - 2 \theta^1 \theta^2, \]

\[ \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 = -i \exp(-kx^1) dx^1 \wedge dx^2, \quad dx^1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (\theta^1 + \theta^3), \]

\[ \sqrt{2} d\theta^0 = \frac{k}{2} dx^1 \wedge \exp\left( \frac{kx^1}{2} \right) [1] + \exp\left( \frac{kx^1}{2} \right) d[1], \]

\[ d[1] = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} k dx^1 \wedge [2]. \]

One also has:

\[ d[2] = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} k dx^1 \wedge [1], \]

\[ \sqrt{2} d\theta^0 = \frac{k}{2} (\theta^1 + \theta^2) \wedge \theta^0 + \frac{\sqrt{3}k}{2} (\theta^1 + \theta^2) \wedge \theta^3 = \frac{k}{2} (\theta^1 + \theta^2) \wedge (\theta^0 + \sqrt{3} \theta^3), \]

\[ \sqrt{2} d\theta^3 = \frac{k}{2} (\theta^1 + \theta^2) \wedge (\theta^0 - \sqrt{3} \theta^3), \]

\[ \sqrt{2} d\theta^1 = k \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2, \quad \sqrt{2} d\theta^2 = -k \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2, \]

\[ dZ^1 = d\theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 - d\theta^3 \wedge \theta^2 = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ -\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 + \frac{1}{2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \right], \]

\[ dZ^2 = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 - \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \right], \]

\[ dZ^3 = \frac{k}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( -\theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 - \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 \right), \]

hence:

\[ \sigma^1 = -\frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} (\sqrt{3} \theta^0 - \theta^3), \quad \sigma^2 = -\frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} (\theta^0 + \sqrt{3} \theta^3), \quad \sigma^3 = -\frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} (\theta^1 - \theta^2), \]

\[ \Sigma_1 = d\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 \wedge \sigma^3 = -k^2 \left( \sqrt{3} Z^1 + Z^3 \right). \]
\[
\Sigma_2 = d\sigma^1 + \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^3 = -k^2 (Z^1 - \sqrt{3} Z^3),
\]
\[
\Sigma_3 = -2 d\sigma^3 + \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2 = -4 k^2 Z^3.
\]

**Example 2** (type D – Schwarzschild).

\[ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dr^2 - \frac{1}{1-(2m/r)} dr^2 - r^2 \left[(d\theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\Phi^2\right],\]

\[
\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1/2} dt - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1/2} dr = \sqrt{2} \theta^0,
\]
\[
\sqrt{2} \theta^1 = r (d\theta + i \sin \theta d\Phi)
\]
\[
\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1/2} dt + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1/2} dr = \sqrt{2} \theta^3.
\]

\[
\sqrt{2} \theta^0 = \sqrt{2} \theta^3 = \frac{m}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1/2} \theta^3 \wedge \theta^0,
\]
\[
\sqrt{2} \theta^1 = \frac{m}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1/2} (\theta^3 - \theta^0) \wedge \theta^1 - \frac{\cot \theta}{r} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2.
\]

\[
\sqrt{2} dZ^1 = -\left[\frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{m}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1/2}\right] \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 + \frac{\cot \theta}{r} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3,
\]
\[
\sqrt{2} dZ^2 = +\left[\frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{m}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1/2}\right] \theta^3 \wedge \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 + \frac{\cot \theta}{r} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^1,
\]
\[
\sqrt{2} dZ^3 = -\frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1/2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 + \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1/2} \theta^2 \wedge \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1.
\]

\[
\sigma^1 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1/2} \theta^2,
\]
\[
\sigma^2 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1/2} \theta^1,
\]
\[
\sigma^3 = -\frac{m}{r^2 \sqrt{2}} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1/2} (\theta^0 + \theta^3) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cot \theta \left(\theta^1 - \theta^2\right).
\]

\[
\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 2m/r^3 & 0 \\
2m/r^3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 8m/r^3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

**REMARKS.**

a) The congruences \(h_u^{(0)}, h_u^{(3)}\) are integrable. One has:

\[
\theta^0 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1/2} d\Phi_1, \quad \Phi_1 = t - r - 2m \log (r - 2m),
\]
\[ \theta^3 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1/2} d\Phi_2, \quad \Phi_2 = t - r - 2m \log (r - 2m); \]

\( \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \) are the Kruskal variables [10].

\[ b) \text{ In an orthonormal frame } r, t, \theta, \Phi \text{:} \]

\[ \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 2m/r^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -m/r^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -m/r^3 \end{pmatrix}. \]

The geodesic deviation (2.12) for an observer \( u^a = (1, 0, 0, 0) \) may be written:

\[ (D^2 \eta^a / Ds^2) + R^{a}_{\ 00b} \eta^b = 0, \]

namely:

\[ \frac{D^2 \eta^r}{Ds^2} - \frac{2m}{r^3} \eta^r = 0, \quad \frac{D^2 \eta^\theta}{Ds^2} + \frac{2m}{r^3} \eta^\theta = 0, \quad \frac{D^2 \eta^\phi}{Ds^2} + \frac{2m}{r^3} \eta^\phi = 0. \]

**Example 3** (NUT space) [11].

\[ ds^2 = f^2 \left(dt + 4l \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} d\Phi\right)^2 - \frac{1}{f^2} dr^2 - (r^2 + l^2) \left[d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \, d\Phi^2\right], \]

\[ f^2 = 1 - 2 \frac{r+l^2}{r^2 + l^2}. \]

One finds that:

\[ \sqrt{2} \theta^0 = f(r) \left(dt + 4l \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} d\Phi\right)^2 - \frac{1}{f} dr \]

\[ \sqrt{2} \theta^3 = f(r) \left(dt + 4l \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} d\Phi\right)^2 + \frac{1}{f} dr \]

\[ \sqrt{2} \theta^2 = \sqrt{r^2 + l^2} \left(d\theta + i \sin \theta \, d\Phi\right). \]

One also finds that:

\[ \sigma_0^1 = \sigma_3^2 = -\sqrt{2} f(r-l) / (r^2 + l^2), \]

\[ \sigma_0^3 = \sigma_3^1 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(f' + \frac{il f}{r^2 + l^2}\right), \quad \sigma_1^3 = -\sigma_2^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\cot \theta}{\sqrt{r^2 + l^2}}. \]

The other components \( \sigma_\alpha^\mu \) are null. One further finds that:

\[ \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C_{12} & 0 \\ C_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4C_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \]
with:

\[ C_{12} = 2 \left( m \, r^2 + 2 \, \hat{l} \, r - m \, \hat{l}^2 \right) \left( r - i \, l \right) / \left( r^2 + \hat{l}^2 \right)^3. \]

This space contains the preceding one as a particular case if \( l = 0 \).

**Example 4** (spaces with plane waves and parallel rays) [12].

\[ ds^2 = -2 \, dw \, d\bar{w} + 2 \, du \left[ dv + H(w, \, d\bar{w}, \, u) \, du \right]. \]

If \( \theta^0 = du \), \( \theta^3 = dv + H \, du \), \( \theta^3 = dw \) then one finds that:

\[ \sigma^1 = H(w) \, \theta^0, \quad \sigma^2 = \sigma^3 = 0. \]

If \( H_{ww} = 0 \) then one finds that:

\[ \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]

with \( C_{22} = -2 \, H_{ww} \, Z^2 \).

15. **Introduction to the decomposition of the Riemann tensor.** – To a bivector \( F_{ab} \), one may associate a form that is linear in \( Z^\alpha, \, \bar{Z}^\alpha \):

\[ F = F_\alpha Z^\alpha + \bar{F}_\alpha \bar{Z}^\alpha. \]

To a tensor \( R_{ab,cd} \), in view of its symmetry properties, there will be associated a form that is quadratic in \( Z^\alpha, \, \bar{Z}^\alpha \). We set:

\[ R = C'_{\alpha\beta} Z^\alpha Z^\beta + E_{\alpha\beta} Z^\alpha \bar{Z}^\beta + \bar{C}'_{\alpha\beta} \bar{Z}^\alpha \bar{Z}^\beta + E_{\alpha\beta} \bar{Z}^\alpha Z^\beta, \]

in which:

\[ C'_{\alpha\beta} = C'_{\beta\alpha}, \quad E_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{E}_{\beta\alpha}. \]

\( C'_{\alpha\beta} \) is a symmetric quadratic form and \( E_{\alpha\beta} \) is a Hermitian form. We further set:

\[ C_{\alpha\beta} = C_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda \, \gamma_{\alpha\beta}, \]

in which:

\[ C_{\alpha\beta} \, \gamma_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \lambda = \gamma_{\alpha\beta} C_{\alpha\beta}. \]

One immediately sees that the 20-dimensional space of tensors \( R \) decomposes into a direct sum of spaces of dimensions 1 for \( \lambda \), 5 for \( C_{\alpha\beta} \) and its conjugate, and 9 for the tensor \( E_{\alpha\beta} \). One easily verifies that one has:
Gravitational radiation

\begin{align}
(15.6) \quad C' &= C^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} Z^\alpha Z^\beta + \text{conj.} = \frac{i}{2} (R - *R^*), \\
(15.7) \quad E' &= E^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} Z^\alpha Z^\beta + \text{conj.} = \frac{i}{2} (R + *R^*), \\
(15.8) \quad C^{*'} &= C^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} Z^\alpha Z^\beta = \frac{i}{2} (C' - *C'), \\
(15.9) \quad *C'^* &= -C', \quad *E'^* = E.
\end{align}

16. **Tensorial decomposition.** – Let us calculate:

\begin{align}
(16.1) \quad E_{abcd} &= \frac{1}{2} (R_{abcd} + R_{\text{bcd}}) .
\end{align}

Note that:

\begin{align}
(16.2) \quad \eta_{abcd} \eta_{rstu} &= \begin{vmatrix}
g_{ar} & g_{as} & g_{at} & g_{au} \\
g_{br} & g_{bs} & g_{bt} & g_{bu} \\
g_{cr} & g_{cs} & g_{ct} & g_{cu} \\
g_{dr} & g_{ds} & g_{dt} & g_{du}
\end{vmatrix} .
\end{align}

We obtain:

\begin{align}
(16.3) \quad R_{abcd} &= \frac{1}{4} \eta_{abcd} R_{rstu} \eta_{rstu} \\
&= - (R_{abcd} + g_{ac} R_{bd} + g_{bd} R_{ac} - g_{ad} R_{bc} - g_{bc} R_{ad} - \frac{1}{2} g_{abcd}) \\
&= - R_{abcd} - (g_{ac} S_{bd} + \ldots),
\end{align}

in which:

\begin{align}
(16.4) \quad S_{ab} &= R_{ab} - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} R , \\
(16.5) \quad E_{abcd} &= -\frac{1}{2} (g_{ac} S_{bd} + g_{bd} S_{ac} - g_{ad} S_{bc} - g_{bc} S_{ad}) .
\end{align}

Note that:

\begin{align}
(16.6) \quad E_{ab} &= g_{bc} E_{bc} = S_{ad} , \\
(16.7) \quad S_{ab} g_{ab} &= 0 .
\end{align}

The spaces \( S_{ab} = 0 \) are the *Einstein spaces* of the “geometers.”

One immediately finds that:

\begin{align}
(16.8) \quad C'_{abcd} &= \frac{1}{4} (R_{abcd} - *R^*_{abcd}) = R_{abcd} + \frac{1}{2} (g_{ac} S_{bd} + \ldots),
\end{align}

and if:

\begin{align}
(16.9) \quad C'_{abcd} &= C_{abcd} + \frac{\lambda}{3} g_{abcd}
\end{align}

then:

\begin{align}
(16.10) \quad C_{ab} &= 0 , \\
(16.11) \quad C'_{ad} &= R_{ad} - S_{ad} = - \lambda g_{ad} , \\
(16.12) \quad \lambda &= - R/4 ,
\end{align}

and one finally has:
\[ C_{abcd} = R_{abcd} + \frac{1}{2} (g_{ac} S_{bd} + \ldots) + \frac{R}{12} g_{abcd}. \]

The tensor \( C_{abcd} \) is the \textit{conformal curvature tensor} of Hermann Weyl. The spaces:

\[ C_{abcd} = 0 \]

are conformally Euclidian, and have a \( ds^2 \) of the form:

\[ ds^2 = e^{2\psi} [(dx^0)^2 - (dx^1)^2 - (dx^2)^2 - (dx^3)^2]. \]

One finally has:

\[ R_{abcd} = C_{abcd} + E_{abcd} + G_{abcd}, \]

in which:

\[ G = - \frac{R}{12} g_{abcd}. \]

\( R_{ab,cd} \) may be represented by a 6×6 matrix. Hence, thanks to (16.13), it may be represented in the form of a sum of 6×6 matrices:

\[ R = C + E + G. \]

After passing to the self-adjoint bivectorial variables \( Z^\alpha, \bar{Z}^\beta \) one has [cf. (15.6) and (15.7)]:

\[ R = \begin{bmatrix} C_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{C}_{\alpha\beta} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & E_{\alpha\beta} \\ E_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{R}{12} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} \end{bmatrix}, \]

thus:

\[ \Omega = R \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ \bar{Z} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \\ \bar{\Sigma} \end{bmatrix}, \]

in which:

\[ \Sigma_{ab} = C_{ab} Z^a \bar{Z}^b + E_{ab} \bar{Z}^a Z^b - \frac{R}{6} \gamma_{ab} Z^{a\beta} \bar{Z}^{\beta}. \]

It results from paragraph 13 that under the effect of a proper homogeneous Lorentz transformation the components of \( C_{\alpha\beta} \) are transformed by the rotations of \( O_3(\mathbb{C}) \). They are the \textit{irreducible components of the Riemann tensor}.

17. \textbf{Petrov classification}. – The Petrov classification finds its origin in the fact that the two forms:

\[ \gamma_{ab} Z^a Z^b, \quad C_{ab} Z^a \bar{Z}^b, \]

are invariant under \( O_3(\mathbb{C}) \).

Operating in the 6-dimensional space of pairs of forms:
Petrov was led ([9], pp. 371) to distinguish three types of Einstein spaces according to the number of elementary divisors of the pair (17.2). It is simpler, as J. Géhéniau [14] has pointed out, to operate in the space $E_3$ with the pairs (17.1).

If one considers the characteristic matrix:

\begin{equation}
D_a^\beta = C_a^\beta - \delta_a^\beta \lambda
\end{equation}

then the classification may be effected by starting with the elementary divisors that exhibit the proper values and certain numerical invariants.

Let $\lambda_1$ be a proper value and let $l_0$ be its multiplicity; $(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{l_0}$ is then a factor of $\det(D_\alpha^\beta)$. Let $(\lambda - \lambda_1)^{l_1}$ be the common factor of the minors of order $n - 1$, $(\lambda - \lambda_2)^{l_2}$, the common factor of the minors of order $n - 2$, etc.; the elementary divisors are $(\lambda - \lambda_1)^{e_0}$, $(\lambda - \lambda_2)^{e_1}$, ..., with the exponents $e_0 = l_0 - l_1$, $e_1 = l_1 - l_2$, ... Two equivalent pairs have the same elementary divisors, and conversely.

The three cases $T_1$, $T_2$, $T_3$ correspond to the existence of 3, 2, 1 elementary divisors, resp.

Indeed, one distinguishes the different cases by their Segre characteristics, from which one finds the exponents of the elementary divisors and the multiplicities of the roots.

An equivalent classification is based on the behavior of the common roots of $\gamma_{ab} Z_a^\alpha Z_b^\beta = 0$.

Geometrically, the problem under discussion is that of the relative positions of a pair of conics.

Table I summarizes the possible cases and their properties.

18. **Characteristic isotropic vectors.** – If one refers to the corollary in section 10 then to each pair $Z_{ab}^\alpha$, $\bar{Z}_{ab}^\alpha$ of singular bivectors there is associated a real isotropic vector.

The four points of intersection of:

\begin{equation}
\gamma_{ab} Z_a^\alpha Z_b^\beta = C_{ab} Z_a^\alpha Z_b^\beta = 0
\end{equation}

and thus:

\begin{equation}
\bar{\gamma}_{ab} \bar{Z}_a^\alpha \bar{Z}_b^\beta = \bar{C}_{ab} \bar{Z}_a^\alpha \bar{Z}_b^\beta = 0
\end{equation}

define four *characteristic isotropic vectors* that are associated with the Weyl tensor. They therefore have a conformal significance.

These vectors have already been considered by Ruse [15] and Penrose [17] in the spinorial formalism, and by Debever [15] in tensorial form.

We use a normal isotropic frame to establish the fundamental formulas that relate to characteristic vectors. Therefore, let:
\begin{align}
(18.2) \quad \dot{C} &= C_{11} Z^1 Z^1 + 2 C_{13} Z^1 Z^3 + 2 C_{12} [Z^1 Z^2 + 2 (Z^3)^2] + 2 C_{23} Z^3 Z^3 + C_{22} (Z^3)^2, \\
\gamma &= 2 Z^1 Z^2 - 2 (Z^3)^2.
\end{align}

\begin{table}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\# & \# & \# & \# & \\
\hline
[1, 1, 1] & [1, 1, 1] & I & & \\
(\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_1), (\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_2), (\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_3) & & & & \\
\hline
[1, 1, 1] & [2, 2] & D & & \\
(\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_1), (\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_1), (\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_2) & & & & \\
\hline
[1, 1, 1] & (\rightarrow) & C_0 & T_1 & \\
(\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_1), (\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_1), (\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_1) & & & & \\
\hline
[2, 1] & [2, 1, 1] & II & & \\
(\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_1)^2, (\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_3) & & & & \\
\hline
[2, 1] & [2, 1, 1] & IV & & \\
(\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_1)^3, (\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_3) & & & & \\
\hline
(\dot{\lambda} - \lambda_1)^3 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

In order to determine the common solutions of (18.1) and (18.2), set:

\begin{align}
(18.3) \quad Z^1 &= \dot{\lambda}^2, \quad Z^2 = \mu^2, \quad Z^3 = \dot{\lambda} \mu.
\end{align}

One will obtain the biquadratic equation:

\begin{align}
(18.4) \quad C_{11} \dot{\lambda}^4 + 2 C_{13} \dot{\lambda}^3 \mu + 6 C_{12} \dot{\lambda}^2 \mu^2 + 2 C_{23} \dot{\lambda} \mu^3 + C_{22} \mu^4 &= 0.
\end{align}
To the isotropic vector \( h^{(0)} \), to which we have associated the pencil \( A_2 Z^2 + A_3 Z^3 \), we associate the point:

\[
\lambda = 1, \quad \mu = 0.
\]

\( h^{(0)} \) is simply characteristic if:

\[
C_{11} = 0, \quad C_{13} \neq 0 \quad \text{(type I)};
\]

\( h^{(0)} \) is doubly characteristic if:

\[
C_{11} = C_{13} = 0, \quad C_{12} \neq 0 \quad \text{(type II or D)};
\]

\( h^{(0)} \) is triply characteristic if:

\[
C_{11} = C_{13} = C_{12} = 0, \quad C_{23} \neq 0 \quad \text{(type III)};
\]

\( h^{(0)} \) is quadruply characteristic if:

\[
C_{11} = C_{13} = C_{12} = C_{23} = 0, \quad C_{22} \neq 0 \quad \text{(type N)};
\]

If:

\[
C_{ij} = 0
\]

then the space is \( C_0 \) or conformally Euclidian. \( h^{(0)} \) and \( h^{(3)} \) are doubly characteristic if:

\[
C_{11} = C_{13} = C_{12} = C_{23} = 0, \quad C_{12} \neq 0 \quad \text{(type D)}.
\]

Tensorially [cf. (10.32)], one thus has:

\[
2 C_{abcd} h^{b}_{(3)} h^{c}_{(3)} = -C_{11} h^{(2)}_{a} h^{(2)}_{d} - C_{13} (h^{(2)}_{a} h^{(0)}_{d} + h^{(2)}_{d} h^{(0)}_{a}) + C_{12} h^{(0)}_{a} h^{(0)}_{d}.
\]

If \( h^{(0)}_{a} \) is simply characteristic then one therefore has, upon setting \( h^{(0)}_{a} = k_{a}, h^{(3)}_{a} = k^{a} \):

\[
C_{abcd} k^{b} k^{c} = k_{a} p_{d} + k_{d} p_{a},
\]

\[
C_{abcd} k^{b} k^{c} = k_{a} p^{d} + k_{d} p^{a};
\]

one has:

\[
p_{a} p^{a} = -p^{a} p^{a} = -2 C_{13} C_{13}, \quad p_{a} p^{a} = 0.
\]

If \( k^{a} \) is doubly characteristic then:

\[
C_{abcd} k^{b} k^{c} = \frac{1}{2} (C_{11} + C_{12}) k_{a} k_{d},
\]

\[
C_{abcd} k^{b} k^{c} = \frac{1}{2} (C_{11} - C_{12}) k_{a} k_{d}.
\]
If \( k^d \) is triply characteristic then:

\[
\begin{align*}
(18.18) \quad & C_{abcd} k^b k^c = 0, \\
(18.19) \quad & C_{abcd} k^d = \frac{1}{2} C_{23} Z_{ab}^{(2)} k_c, \\
(18.20) \quad & C_{abcd} k^d = a_{ab} k_c, \\
(18.21) \quad & C_{abcd} k^d = a_{ab} k_c, \\
(18.22) \quad & \frac{1}{2} a_{ab} a^{ab} = - a_{ab} a^{ab} = - (C_{23})^2 + (\bar{C}_{23})^2.
\end{align*}
\]

If \( k^d \) is quadruply characteristic then:

\[
(18.23) \quad C_{abcd} k^d = C_{abcd} k^d = 0.
\]

In summary, one has the following tensorial conditions (\( ^2 \)):

\[
\begin{align*}
(18.24) \quad & N_{abcd} k^d = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad ^* N_{abcd} k^d = 0 \\
(18.25) \quad & III_{abc[d} k^e k_{e]} = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad ^* III_{abc[d} k^e k_{e]} = 0 \\
(18.26) \quad & D_{abc[d} m^b k^c k_{e]} = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad ^* D_{abc[d} m^b k^c k_{e]} = 0, \\
(18.27) \quad & II_{abc[d} k_{e]} k^b k^e = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad ^* II_{abc[d} k_{e]} k^b k^e = 0, \\
(18.28) \quad & k_{[e} I_{a]} b_{[d} k_{f]} k^b k^c = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad ^* k_{[e} I_{a]} b_{[d} k_{f]} k^b k^c = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

\( N, III, \ldots \) indicate the \( C \) tensors of the corresponding type.

\( \leftrightarrow \) indicates equivalent conditions; conditions (18.24) to (18.28) each imply the following one. Finally, here is a schema (Fig. 7) that indicates the degeneracies [17].

\[\text{Fig. 7.}\]

\text{Image of the Petrov classification in } P_3. \text{ – The characteristic isotropic vectors determine four real points of the absolute and four pairs of conjugate rectilinear generators (Fig. 8).}

\[\text{2 Here, we have reverted to the very suggestive notations of Sachs [16].}\]
If one recalls that a twice-covariant tensor $T_{ab}$ (12.10) is associated with every pair of isotropic vectors then one may predict that one may associate a completely symmetric tensor $T_{abcd}$ with four isotropic vectors; this is the tensor of L. Bel [18]:

$$T_{abcd} = 2(C_{arcs} C_{b}^{r} C_{d}^{s} + C_{arcs} C_{b}^{r} C_{d}^{s}).$$

19. **Particular frames. Reduced forms** [19]. – Type I. – We introduce a canonical frame $S$ that is qualified by the following symmetry:

$$C_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4C_{12} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Geometrically, in the plane $Z^\alpha$ the frame is uniquely determined if one associates an involution by means of pairs of characteristic vectors. The frame $S$ is such, that the lines that join the pairs $l_{(1)}$, $l_{(2)}$, and $l_{(3)}$, $l_{(4)}$ of the characteristic vectors belong to the pencil $\lambda Z^1 + \mu Z^2$ (Fig. 9). In the space $P_3$ the characteristic vectors are four distinct points of the absolute oval quadric, and the line $h^{(0)}$, $h^{(3)}$ of the frame is an axis of (left) involution that permutes $l_{(1)}$, $l_{(2)}$, and $l_{(3)}$, $l_{(4)}$, respectively.
The rest of the frame is indeterminate up to a transformation of the group $G_2$:

\begin{align}
Z' &= e^{-\mu} Z^1, \\
Z' &= e^{\mu} Z^2, \\
Z' &= Z^3,
\end{align}

for which one has:

\begin{align}
C'_{12} &= C_{12}, \\
C'_{11}C'_{22} &= C_{11}C_{22}.
\end{align}

One determines the frame by the condition that:

\begin{align}
C_{11} + C_{22} &= 0,
\end{align}

hence:

\begin{align}
C_{\alpha\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix}
A & B & 0 \\
B & -A & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4B
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align}

The four characteristic vectors are determined by starting with the biquadratic equation:

\begin{align}
\lambda^4 - \mu^4 + 6(\frac{B}{A})\lambda^2\mu^2 &= 0.
\end{align}

If:

\begin{align}
3\frac{B}{A} &= -\sinh \gamma
\end{align}

then the roots are such, that:

\begin{align}
(\frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_1})^2 &= e^{\gamma}, \\
(\frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_2})^2 &= e^{-\gamma};
\end{align}

the four characteristic isotropic vectors may then be expressed as follows:

\begin{align}
I^{(\omega)}_b &= \begin{pmatrix}
\rho & e^{-i\Phi} & e^{i\Phi} & 1/\rho \\
\rho & -e^{-i\Phi} & -e^{i\Phi} & 1/\rho \\
1/\rho & -ie^{i\Phi} & i e^{-i\Phi} & \rho \\
1/\rho & i e^{i\Phi} & -ie^{-i\Phi} & \rho
\end{pmatrix},
\end{align}

in which $\rho e^{i\Phi} = e^{\gamma/2}$. The characteristic vectors have an invariant bi-ratio:

\begin{align}
b &= (\sinh \gamma - i) / (\sinh \gamma + i).
\end{align}

They form a harmonic group if $b^2 = 1$, 4, or $\frac{1}{4}$, namely:

\begin{align}
\gamma &= 0, \\
B &= 0 \\
\text{or} \\
B &= \pm i A.
\end{align}

They form an equi-anharmonic group if $b\overline{b} = 1$, $b + \overline{b} = 1$:

\begin{align}
\sinh^2 \gamma &= 3, \\
A^2 &= 3 B^2.
\end{align}

Example I of section 14 corresponds to one such type of vacuum space.
Finally, the four isotropic vectors are coplanar if:

\[(19.13) \quad (B/A + \overline{B}/\overline{A}) = 0.\]

The characteristic roots of \(C_{\alpha\beta}\) in the frame \(S\) have the property that:

\[(19.14) \quad \begin{vmatrix} A & B - \lambda & 0 \\ B - \lambda & -A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4B + 2\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 ,\]

hence:

\[(19.15) \quad \lambda_1 = -2B, \quad \lambda_2 = B - iA, \quad \lambda_3 = B + iA.\]

One has:

\[(19.16) \quad \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0.\]

The harmonic spaces therefore correspond to the case in which one of the characteristic roots is null.

The spaces for which the vectors \(l_b^{(a)}\) are coplanar correspond to the case in which the proper values have the same modulus.

**Singular spaces.** – We use the term “singular spaces” to refer to the ones that are not in class I. In the sequel, we specify the formulas in a normal isotropic frame.

If we exclude case \(C_0\) then there exists at least one characteristic isotropic vector at each point of \(V_4\), namely, \(h^{(0)}\).

The local frame is determined in the subgroup \(G_4\) of \(L^+\) that preserves the direction of \(h^{(0)}\), namely:

\[(19.17) \quad \begin{aligned} 
\theta^\prime &= e^a\theta^0 \quad (a > 0), \\
\theta^1 &= e^{ib}(\theta^1 + \overline{\theta}^0), \\
\theta^2 &= e^{-ib}(\theta^2 + \overline{\theta}^0), \\
\theta^3 &= e^{-a}(\theta^3 + \gamma\theta^1 + \overline{\theta}^2 + \gamma\overline{\theta}^0), 
\end{aligned}\]

or furthermore:

\[(19.18) \quad \begin{aligned} 
Z^1 &= e^{-w}(Z^1 + \gamma^2 Z^2 + 2\gamma Z^3) \quad (w = a + ib), \\
Z^2 &= e^w Z^2, \\
Z^3 &= \gamma Z^2 + Z^3.
\end{aligned}\]

These transformations induce the following transformations on the connection form:

\[(19.19) \quad \begin{aligned} 
\sigma^\prime &= e^{-w}(\sigma^1 + \gamma^2 \sigma^2 + 2\gamma \sigma^3 - 2d\gamma), \\
\sigma^1 &= e^{-w}\sigma^1, \\
\sigma^2 &= \sigma^2 + d\gamma, \\
\sigma^3 &= \sigma^3 + \gamma \sigma^2 - dw,
\end{aligned}\]

and on the curvature form:
{C_{11} = e^{-2w}C_1',
C_{13} = 2\gamma e^{-2w}C_1' + e^{-w}C_3',
C_{12} = \gamma' e^{-2w}C_1' + \gamma e^{-w}C_1' + C_{12}',
C_{23} = 2\gamma' e^{-2w}C_1' + 3\gamma e^{-w}C_3' + 6\gamma C_{12'} + e^wC_{23}',
C_{22} = \gamma' e^{-2w}C_1' + 2\gamma' e^{-w}C_1' + 6\gamma' C_2' + 2\gamma e^wC_{23}' + e^{2w}C_{22}'
\}
\tag{19.20}

One will note the invariant character of conditions (18.5) and (18.19).

**Type D.** – If \( h^{(0)} \) and \( h^{(3)} \) are doubly characteristic vectors then one may construct:

\[
C_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & B & 0 \\
B & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4B
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\tag{19.21}

This frame is further determined up to a transformation from the group \( G_2 \), since \( C_{12} \) is invariant. The complete determination of the frame results indirectly from work of Kerr [20]. Examples 2 and 3 of section 14 correspond to vacuum solution of type D.

**Type II.** Since \( h^{(0)} \) is doubly characteristic and \( C_{12} \) is non-null, one may construct:

\[
C_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & C_{12} & 0 \\
C_{12} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4C_{12}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\tag{19.22}

The frame is determined up to a transformation of the group \( G_2 \), and one has:

\[
C_{12}' = C_{12}, \quad C_{22}' = e^{-2w}C_{22}.
\]
\tag{19.23}

Since \( C_{22} \) is not null, one may determine the frame by the condition:

\[
C_{22}' = 1.
\]
\tag{19.24}

Other choices may be imposed by the consideration of geodesic congruences.

**Type III.** Since \( h^{(2)} \) is a triply characteristic vector one has:

\[
C_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & C_{22} & C_{23} \\
0 & C_{23} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\tag{19.25}

The frame is determined up to a transformation of \( G_4 \), and one has:
Gravitational radiation

\[ C_{23}^\prime = e^{-w} C_{23} \, , \quad C_{22}^\prime = 2\gamma e^{-w} C_{23} + e^{2w} C_{22} \, . \]

One may determine the frame by conditions such as \( C_{22} = 0, C_{23} = 1 \).

Type N. Since \( h^{(0)} \) is quadruply characteristic, one may construct:

\[
C_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

and one may restrict oneself to the group \( G'_2 \).

\[
Z' = Z^1 + \gamma Z^2 + 2 \, \gamma Z^3, \quad Z' = Z^2, \quad Z' = Z^3 + \gamma Z^2,
\]

thanks to the condition \( C_{22} = 1 \). The complete determination of the frame is related to the work of Kerr [20]. Example 4 of section corresponds to the vacuum spaces of type N.

Remark. Other particular choices of frames may be imposed by the demands of particular problems.


Indeed, once the frame is chosen, other than \( R \), the coefficients \( E_{\alpha\beta} \) – hence, 9 real functions – are invariant. Moreover, \( C_{\alpha\beta} \) possesses two complex invariants:

\[
(20.1) \quad C^{\alpha\beta} C_{\alpha\beta} \, , \quad C^{\alpha\beta} C_{\alpha\gamma} C_{\gamma\delta},
\]

respectively.

The pure invariants relate to the irreducible components of \( R_{abcd} \):

\( a) \) 4 invariants associated with the conformal curvature:

\[
(20.2) \quad C^{(1)} = C_{ab}^{\quad cd} C_{cd}^{\quad ab}, \\
(20.3) \quad C^{(2)} = C_{ab}^{\quad cd} C_{cd}^{\quad ab}, \\
(20.4) \quad C^{(1)} = C_{ab}^{\quad cd} C_{cd}^{\quad rs} C_{rs}^{\quad ab}, \\
(20.5) \quad C^{(2)} = C_{ab}^{\quad cd} C_{cd}^{\quad rs} C_{rs}^{\quad ab},
\]

\( b) \) 3 invariants associated with the tensor \( S_{ab} \):

\[
(20.6) \quad E_{(1)} = S_{ab} S^{ab}, \quad E_{(2)} = S_{ab} S^{bc} S_c^a, \quad E_{(3)} = S_{ab} S^{bc} S_{cd} S^{da};
\]

\( c) \) The scalar curvature:
(20.7) \[ R. \]

The mixed invariants, which are 6 in number and fix the ratios of the irreducible components \( C_{abcd} \) and \( E_{abcd} \). Let:

(20.8) \[ D_{ab} = C_{arsb} S^{rs}, \]
(20.9) \[ D_{ab} = C_{arsb} S^{rs}. \]

One may consider the invariants:

(20.10) \[ D^{(3)} = D_{ab} S^{ab}, \quad D^{*}_{(3)} = D_{ab} S^{ab}, \]
(20.11) \[ D^{(4)} = D_{ab} S^{ab}, \quad D^{*}_{(4)} = D_{ab} D^{ab}, \]
(20.12) \[ D^{(5)} = D_{ab} D^{bc} S^{a}_c, \quad D^{*}_{(5)} = D_{ab} D^{bc} S^{a}_c. \]

**Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Pure Invariant</th>
<th>Mixed Invariants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( R )</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \text{Tr } C^2, \text{Tr } C^3, \text{Tr } S^2 )</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \text{Tr } C^3, \text{Tr } C^2 C, \text{Tr } S^3 )</td>
<td>( \text{Tr } DS, \text{Tr } *DS )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \text{Tr } S^4 )</td>
<td>( \text{Tr } D^2, \text{Tr } *D^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>( \text{Tr } DDS, \text{Tr } *DDS ).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One may show that the 14 invariants considered are indeed independent. It might nevertheless be interesting to consider the invariants of order higher than 5 or other invariant combinations of the ones above.

**Remarks. – a)** In a vacuum there are four invariants:

(20.14) \[ \text{Tr } C^2, \text{Tr } C^3, \text{Tr } C^2 C, \text{Tr } C^2 *C. \]

The space is equiharmonic if:

(20.15) \[ \text{Tr } C^2 = \text{Tr } *C^2, \quad \text{Tr } C C = 0, \]
or:

(20.16) \[ \text{Tr } C^2 = 0. \]
If the space is harmonic then:

\begin{equation}
\text{Tr} \, C^3 = 0 .
\end{equation}

If the space is harmonic then:

\begin{equation}
(20.17)
\end{equation}

\( b \) In the case for which there exists an electromagnetic field the mixed invariants are determined by the starting with:

\begin{equation}
D_{ab} = C_{abcd} \, k^b \, k^c \quad \text{(1)} \quad \text{(2)}
\end{equation}

\( b \) In the case for which there exists an electromagnetic field the mixed invariants are determined by the starting with:

\begin{equation}
(20.18)
\end{equation}

if the field is non-singular, and the characteristic vectors \( k \) and \( k' \), and:

\begin{equation}
(20.19)
\end{equation}

if the field is singular.

In an isotropic frame, an electromagnetic field schema must satisfy the following algebraic conditions:

\begin{equation}
(20.20)
\end{equation}

One painlessly verifies that it then results that:

\begin{equation}
(20.21)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(20.22)
\end{equation}

in which \( \hat{F} \) is the electromagnetic field bivector. The MAXWELL equations may be written:

\begin{equation}
(20.23)
\end{equation}

so one has:

\begin{equation}
(20.24)
\end{equation}

\( 21. \) **Isotropic congruences** [16]. – In an isotropic frame, the real vector fields \( h^{(0)} \), \( h^{(3)} \) defines a congruence of isotropic curves that are integrals of the field \( h^{(0)} \). In tensorial form, one has:

\begin{equation}
(21.1)
\end{equation}

in which:

\begin{equation}
(21.2)
\end{equation}
Recall that $h^{(b)}_{(a)}$ denotes the inverse matrix to $h_{(a)}^{(c)}$:

\[(21.3)\quad h^{a}_{(b)} h^{(b)}_{c} = \delta^{c}_{r} .\]

We fix our attention on the congruence $h^{(0)}$; one has:

\[(21.4)\quad \nabla_{a} h^{(0)}_{b} = - h^{(r)}_{b} h^{(s)}_{a} \Gamma^{0}_{rs} .\]

The coefficients $\Gamma^{0}_{rs}$ are directly related to the form of the connection for a given $h^{(0)}$, and are determined up to a transformation of the group $G_{4}$ that was considered in section 19. One may define a series of invariants of the congruence.

The congruence is geodesic if:

\[(21.5)\quad h^{(3)}_{(a)} \nabla_{a} h^{(0)}_{b} = \lambda h^{(0)}_{b} ;\]

now:

\[(21.6)\quad h^{(a)}_{(3)} \nabla_{a} h^{(0)}_{b} = - h^{(r)}_{b} \Gamma^{0}_{rs} \]

and:

\[(21.7)\quad \Gamma^{0}_{00} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{3}_{3} + \sigma^{3}_{1}) , \quad \Gamma^{0}_{12} = - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}_{3} , \quad \Gamma^{0}_{23} = - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}_{1} , \quad \Gamma^{0}_{33} = 0 .\]

We remark that we have set:

\[(21.8)\quad \sigma^{a} = \sigma^{a}_{1} \theta^{b} , \quad \sigma^{a} = \sigma^{a}_{0} \theta^{0} + \sigma^{a}_{3} \theta^{3} + \sigma^{a}_{2} \theta^{1} + \sigma^{a}_{0} \theta^{2} .\]

The congruence is geodesic if:

\[(21.9)\quad \sigma^{2}_{3} = 0 .\]

This condition is equivalent to:

\[(21.10)\quad \theta^{0} \wedge dZ^{2} = 0 .\]

This being the case, if:

\[(21.11)\quad \nabla_{a} h^{(0)}_{b} = - h^{(1)}_{b} h^{(1)}_{a} \Gamma^{0}_{11} - h^{(2)}_{a} h^{(2)}_{a} \Gamma^{0}_{22} - h^{(1)}_{b} h^{(1)}_{a} \Gamma^{0}_{12} - h^{(2)}_{a} h^{(2)}_{a} \Gamma^{0}_{21} + \ldots\]

then one has:

\[(21.12)\quad \Gamma^{0}_{11} = - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}_{3} = \sigma , \quad \Gamma^{0}_{12} = - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}_{2} = \hat{\sigma} , \]

\[(21.13)\quad \Gamma^{0}_{12} = - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}_{3} = \tilde{\sigma} , \quad \Gamma^{0}_{21} = - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}_{1} = \zeta .\]

In the group $G_{4}$, under the hypothesis that the congruence is geodesic, one has [cf. (19.3)]:

\[(21.14)\quad \sigma^{2}_{1} = e^{a} \sigma^{2}_{1} , \quad \sigma^{2}_{2} = e^{a+2b} \sigma^{2}_{2} .\]

One may further choose $a$ in such a fashion that the geodesic congruence $h^{(0)}$ is such that:
\begin{equation}
(21.15)
\quad h^{(0)}_a = h^{a}_{(3)} \nabla^a h^{(0)}_b = 0 .
\end{equation}

The parameter \( a \) is then \textit{well-defined}, with the reservation that:

\begin{equation}
(21.16)
\dot{a} = h^a_{(3)} \partial_a a = a_{13} = 0 .
\end{equation}

Condition (21.15) amounts to a choice of affine parameter \( \nu \) on the isotropic geodesics, a parameter that may be written:

\begin{equation}
(21.17)
\frac{dh^a_{(3)}}{d\nu} + \Gamma^a_{bc} h^b_{(3)} h^c_{(3)} = 0 ;
\end{equation}

\( \nu \) is determined up to a linear transformation with constant coefficients with respect to \( \nu \) [16].

By starting with a non-isotropic initial hypersurface, one may then parallel transport the local frames along the geodesics \( h_{(3)} \). One then has:

\begin{equation}
(21.18)
\quad h^a_{(3)} \nabla_a h^{(0)}_b = h^a_{(3)} \nabla_a h^{(1)}_b = h^a_{(3)} \nabla_a h^{(2)}_b = 0 ,
\end{equation}

hence:

\begin{equation}
(21.19)
\quad \sigma^1_3 = \sigma^2_3 = \sigma^3_3 = 0 .
\end{equation}

Under these conditions, one may associate a Lie transport to the congruence \( h^{(0)} \); one has:

\begin{equation}
(21.20)
\quad L^h_{(3)} h^{(1)}_a = h^a_{(3)} \partial_a h^{(1)}_b + h^b_{(1)} \partial_a h^{b}_{(3)} = h^b_{(3)} (\partial_b h^{(1)}_a - \partial_a h^{(1)}_b) .
\end{equation}

If one refers to the expression for \( d\theta^1 \) (cf., Appendix) then one finds:

\begin{equation}
(21.21)
\quad L^h_{(3)} h^{(1)}_a = z h^{(1)}_a + \beta h^{(2)}_a .
\end{equation}

One verifies that \( \sigma^2_3 \) and \([\sigma^2_3] \) are then invariants [cf. (21.14)] of the congruence. We set:

\begin{equation}
(21.22)
\quad \dot{\sigma} = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_3 = - h^b_{(1)} h^a_{(1)} \nabla_a h^{(0)}_b ,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(21.23)
\quad z = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_3 = - h^b_{(2)} h^a_{(2)} \nabla_a h^{(0)}_b .
\end{equation}

If:

\begin{equation}
(21.24)
\quad z = \theta + i \omega ,
\end{equation}

then it follows that:

\begin{equation}
(21.25)
\quad \theta = \frac{1}{2} g^{ab} \nabla_a h^{(0)}_b = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_a h^a_{(3)} .
\end{equation}
The formula (21.20) defines a deformation in the Euclidian plane of $h^{(1)}$, $h^{(2)}$, with an instantaneous velocity of:

\begin{equation}
\dot{h}_a^{(1)} = L_{\alpha a}^{(3)} h_a^{(1)} = z h_a^{(1)} + \sigma h_a^{(2)} .
\end{equation}

One may assume that $\sigma$ is real, and if one introduces the Cartesian coordinates $x$, $y$ on the plane of $h^{(1)}$, $h^{(2)}$ then (21.26) may be written:

\begin{equation}
\dot{x} = (\theta + \sigma) x - \omega y , \quad \dot{y} = \omega x - (\theta - \sigma) y ,
\end{equation}

This deformation is the result of a dilatation (expansion, divergence):

\begin{equation}
\dot{x} = \theta x , \quad \dot{y} = \theta y ,
\end{equation}

a rotation (curl):

\begin{equation}
\dot{x} = - \omega y , \quad \dot{y} = - \sigma x ,
\end{equation}

and a distortion (shear):

\begin{equation}
\dot{x} = \sigma x , \quad \dot{y} = - \sigma y .
\end{equation}

The distortion is a transformation that preserves areas.

The congruence $h^{(0)}$ is shear-free geodesic (s.f.g.) if and only if $\theta^0 \wedge d\theta^0 = 0$:

\begin{equation}
\theta^0 \wedge d\theta^0 = \frac{1}{2} [ - \sigma_3^2 \theta^0 \theta^2 \theta^3 - \sigma_3^2 \theta^0 \theta^1 \theta^3 + (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2) \theta^0 \theta^1 \theta^2 ]
\end{equation}

\sigma_3^2 = 0 , \quad \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2 = 0 ;

It is therefore geodesic and rotationless.

The vector $h^{(0)}$ is a Killing vector if $\nabla_a h_b^{(0)} + \nabla_b h_a^{(0)} = 0$ or $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{ab} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{ba} = 0$ . It then results that $h^{(0)}$ is shear-free geodesic (s.f.g.), and that:

\begin{equation}
\sigma^3 + \sigma^1 = (\sigma_0^3 \theta^1 + \sigma_0^2 \theta^2) .
\end{equation}

Finally, the vector field $h^{(0)}$ is formed from parallel vectors if and only if $\sigma^2 = 0$ .

The field $h^{(3)}$ is geodesic if $\sigma_0^1 = 0$ , it is shear-free if $\sigma_1^1 = 0$ , and it is s.f.g. if $\sigma^1 \wedge Z^1 = 0$ .

**Robinson’s theorem** [23]. – To any singular electromagnetic field that is a solution to the source-free Maxwell equation one may associate an s.f.g. isotropic congruence.

Conversely, to any s.f.g. isotropic congruence one may associate an electromagnetic field that is a solution to the Maxwell equations.

To any field of singular bivectors is associated an isotropic vector field; the associated congruence is s.f.g.

Indeed, let $Z^2$ be a singular field, and let $h^{(a)}$ be the associated isotropic vector field. $Z^2$ is a solution to the Maxwell equations if:

\begin{equation}
dZ^2 = 0 .
\end{equation}
If one refers to (14.10) or (20.24) then it results that:

\[(21.32)\]
\[
\sigma_2^2 = \sigma_3^2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma^2 \mathbf{Z}^2 = 0 .
\]

Conversely, let \( h^{(0)} \) be s.f.g.; one has:

\[(21.33)\]
\[
d\mathbf{Z}^2 = \alpha^\wedge \mathbf{Z}^2,
\]
in which:

\[(21.34)\]
\[
\alpha = - \left\{ \left( \sigma_2^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^3 \right) \theta^2 + \left( \sigma_3^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^3 \right) \theta^3 \right\} = \alpha_2 \theta^2 + \alpha_3 \theta^3 .
\]

By differentiating (21.33), it follows that:

\[(21.34)\]
\[
d\alpha^\wedge \mathbf{Z}^2 = 0 ;
\]

hence (cf., Appendix), thanks to the hypotheses, one has:

\[(21.35)\]
\[
\alpha_{2,3} - \alpha_{3,2} = 2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_0^3 + \sigma_1^3 \right) \alpha_3 .
\]

This being the case:

\[(21.36)\]
\[
F = e^w \mathbf{Z}^2
\]
satisfies the Maxwell equations if:

\[(21.37)\]
\[
dw^\wedge \mathbf{Z}^2 + d\mathbf{Z}^2 = 0
\]
or:

\[(21.38)\]
\[
w_2 = - \alpha_2 , \quad w_3 = - \alpha_3 .
\]

The integrability conditions for (21.38) result immediately from (21.35).

**Remark.** – In examples 2 and 3 of section 14, the characteristic vector fields define s.f.g. congruences that are integrable in case 2 and non-integrable in case 3. In example 4, the characteristic vector field is formed from parallel vectors.

### IV. Problems related to the Bianchi equations. Propagation.

#### 22. The Bianchi identities. – If one refers to the definition of the curvature vector (§ 14):

\[(22.1)\]
\[
\Sigma = d\sigma + \sigma^\wedge \sigma
\]
then one has:

\[(22.2)\]
\[
D\Sigma = d\Sigma - \Sigma^\wedge \sigma + \sigma^\wedge \Sigma = 0 .
\]

Explicitly, one finds that:
Gravitational radiation

(22.3a) \[ d\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_1 \wedge \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_1 \wedge \sigma^2 = 0 , \]

(22.3b) \[ d\Sigma_2 - \Sigma_2 \wedge \sigma^3 - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_3 \wedge \sigma^1 = 0 , \]

(22.3c) \[ d\Sigma_3 - \Sigma_1 \wedge \sigma^1 + \Sigma_2 \wedge \sigma^2 = 0 . \]

The detailed formulas can be found in the Appendix.

33. “Singular” vacuum spaces. Goldberg-Sachs theorem [24]. – One calls spaces singular or algebraically special when they are not of type I. There always exists a doubly characteristic isotropic congruence, namely \( h(0) \), one thus has:

(23.1) \[ C_{11} = C_{13} = 0 . \]

THEOREM. – In the vacuum, the congruence \( h(0) \) is s.f.g., and conversely, if \( h(0) \) is s.f.g. and \( h(0) \) is doubly degenerate then the space is degenerate.

Therefore, let:

(23.2) \[ C_{11} = C_{13} = 0 , \quad C_{12} \neq 0 , \]

so we have to prove that \( h(0) \) is s.f.g. One has:

(23.3) \[ \Sigma_1 = C_{12} Z^2 \quad \text{and} \quad dZ^2 = \alpha \wedge Z^2 . \]

Thanks to (22.3a):

(23.4) \[ d\Sigma_1 = dC_{12} \wedge Z^2 + C_{12} \alpha \wedge Z^2 = - C_{12} Z^2 \wedge \sigma^3 - \frac{1}{2} C_{23} Z^2 \wedge \sigma^2 - 2 C_{12} Z^3 \wedge \sigma^2 . \]

One thus has:

(23.5) \[ \theta^0 \wedge d\Sigma_1 = 0 = 2 C_{12} \theta^0 \wedge Z^3 \wedge \sigma^2 = - C_{12} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 = - C_{12} \sigma_2^2 dv , \]

(23.6) \[ \theta^1 \wedge d\Sigma_1 = 0 = 2 C_{12} \theta^1 \wedge Z^3 \wedge \theta^2 = C_{12} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 = C_{12} \sigma_2^2 dv , \]

hence:

(23.7) \[ \sigma_2^2 = \sigma_3^2 = 0 . \]

If \( C_{12} = 0 \) then one is dealing with type III, and:

(23.8) \[ \Sigma_1 = 0 , \quad \Sigma_2 = C_{23} Z^3 , \quad \Sigma_3 = C_{23} Z^3 , \]

from which:

(23.9) \[ d\Sigma_3 = dC_{23} Z^3 = - C_{23} Z^3 \wedge \sigma^2 , \]

hence:

(23.10) \[ - C_{23} \theta^0 \wedge Z^3 \wedge \theta^2 = - C_{23} \sigma_3^2 dv = 0 , \]

\[ - C_{23} \theta^1 \wedge Z^3 \wedge \theta^2 = C_{23} \sigma_3^2 dv = 0 . \]
(23.7) then results.
Conversely, assume (23.7). If one refers to the expression for \( C_{11} \) (cf., Appendix) then it results from (23.7) that:

\[
C_{11} = 0 ;
\]

\( h^{(0)} \) is thus characteristic.

On the other hand, one finds (cf., Appendix) under the hypothesis (23.7) that:

\[
C_{13} = -\sigma_{10}^{2} + \sigma_{12}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{0}^{2} (\sigma_{3}^{1} - \sigma_{2}^{1} + \sigma_{1}^{1}) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}^{1} (\sigma_{3}^{1} + \sigma_{1}^{1} + \sigma_{2}^{1}) ,
\]

\[
C_{13} = 2(\sigma_{2,3}^{2} - \sigma_{3,2}^{2}) + \sigma_{2}^{1} (\sigma_{3}^{3} - \sigma_{3}^{3} + \sigma_{1}^{3}) - \sigma_{3}^{3} (\sigma_{3}^{3} + \sigma_{1}^{3} + \sigma_{2}^{3}) ,
\]

Then \( 4 \times (23.12) + (23.13) \) is:

\[
5 C_{13} = 2 (2 \sigma_{1}^{2} - \sigma_{3}^{2}) , 2 - (2 \sigma_{0}^{2} - \sigma_{2}^{2}) , 3 + (2 \sigma_{0}^{2} - \sigma_{2}^{2})(\sigma_{3}^{2} - \sigma_{3}^{2} + \sigma_{1}^{2}) + (2 \sigma_{1}^{2} - \sigma_{3}^{2})(\sigma_{1}^{1} + \sigma_{1}^{1} + \sigma_{2}^{1}) .
\]

On the other hand, the Bianchi identities (\( \Sigma_{1} \), components \( \theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{3} \), and \( \theta^{0}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{3} \)) give:

\[
C_{13, 3} = C_{13} (2 \sigma_{1}^{2} - \sigma_{3}^{2}) ,
\]

\[
C_{13, 2} = C_{12} (2 \sigma_{0}^{2} - \sigma_{2}^{2}) .
\]

One thus has:

\[
C_{13, 32} - C_{13, 23} = C_{13} [(2 \sigma_{1}^{2} - \sigma_{3}^{2}) , 2 - (2 \sigma_{0}^{2} - \sigma_{2}^{2}) , 3] ,
\]

and, thanks to the commutativity of the derivatives:

\[
C_{13, 32} - C_{13, 23} = -\frac{1}{2} C_{13, 2} [(\sigma_{3}^{3} - \sigma_{3}^{3} + \sigma_{1}^{3}) - \frac{1}{2} C_{13, 2} (\sigma_{3}^{3} + \sigma_{1}^{3} + \sigma_{2}^{3}) ,
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2} C_{13} [(2 \sigma_{0}^{2} - \sigma_{2}^{2})(\sigma_{3}^{1} - \sigma_{3}^{1} + \sigma_{1}^{1}) + (2 \sigma_{1}^{2} - \sigma_{3}^{2})(\sigma_{1}^{1} + \sigma_{1}^{1} + \sigma_{2}^{1})] .
\]

Upon substituting (23.18) in (23.17), one finds that:

\[
C_{13} [(2 \sigma_{1}^{2} - \sigma_{3}^{2}) , 2 - (2 \sigma_{0}^{2} - \sigma_{2}^{2}) , 3 + \frac{1}{2} (2 \sigma_{0}^{2} - \sigma_{2}^{2})(\sigma_{3}^{1} - \sigma_{3}^{1} + \sigma_{1}^{1})
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} (2 \sigma_{1}^{2} - \sigma_{3}^{2})(\sigma_{1}^{1} + \sigma_{1}^{1} + \sigma_{2}^{1})] = 0
\]
or:

\[
\frac{5}{2} (C_{13})^{2} = 0 .
\]

**Remark.** The hypotheses of s.f.g. isotropic congruences and characteristic isotropic vectors are conformal notions. One may envision weakening the hypothesis of empty space as a way of generalizing the theorem (on this subject, cf. Robinson and Schild [25]).
24. Singular vacuum spaces. Geodesic propagation. Sachs’s theorem [16]. – We consider a singular vacuum space in which $h^{(0)}$ denotes the doubly characteristic isotropic s.f.g. congruence. One thus has:

\[(24.1) \quad C_{11} = C_{13} = 0, \quad \sigma^2 \wedge Z^2 = 0.\]

We choose the parameter $v$ on the geodesics with the property that:

\[(24.2) \quad dh^{(0)}/dv = h^{3}_{(3)} \nabla_a h^{(0)} = 0,\]

and we assume that the local frames are parallel displaced along the characteristic geodesics; one has:

\[(24.3) \quad \sigma^1 = \sigma^2 = \sigma^3 = 0.\]

By virtue of the equation $E_{11} = 0$ and the hypotheses:

\[(24.4) \quad (dz/dv) + z^2 = 0,\]

namely, $(dz/dv) + \theta^2 - \omega^2 = 0$, $d\omega dv = \theta \omega$.

If $\theta = 0$ then one has:

\[(24.5) \quad \omega = 0, \quad \theta = 0 \rightarrow \omega = 0, \quad z = 0.\]

If $\theta \neq 0$, $\omega = 0$ then one may make:

\[(24.6) \quad z = 1/v,\]

and if $\theta \neq 0$, $\omega \neq 0$:

\[(24.7) \quad z = 1/(v + i a_0),\]

in which $a_0$ is a constant with respect to $v$.

It results from the Bianchi identities (in the order $\Sigma_1, \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2$, $\Sigma_2, \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3$, $\Sigma_3 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1$) that:

\[(24.8) \quad (dC_{12}/dv) + 3 z C_{12} = 0,\]
\[(24.9) \quad (dC_{23}/dv) + 2 z C_{23} = 2 C_{12,1},\]
\[(24.10) \quad (dC_{22}/dv) + z C_{22} = \frac{1}{2} C_{23,1} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^1 C_{23} - \frac{1}{2} C_{12} \sigma^1.\]

Hence, if $z \neq 0$:

\[(24.11) \quad \frac{dC_{12}}{dz} - \frac{3}{z} C_{12} = 0,\]
\[(24.12) \quad \frac{dC_{23}}{dz} - \frac{2}{z} C_{23} = -2 \frac{z}{z^2} C_{12,1},\]
\[(24.13) \quad \frac{dC_{22}}{dz} - \frac{1}{z} C_{23} = \frac{1}{2z^2} C_{23,1} + \frac{1}{2z^2} \sigma^1 C_{23} + \frac{3}{2z^2} C_{12} \sigma^1.\]

From (24.11), it immediately results that:
Gravitational radiation

(24.14) \[ C_{12} = z^3 C_{12}^0 \]

in which \( C_{12}^0 \) is a constant with respect to \( v \).

In order to integrate (24.12), observe that:

(24.15) \[ C_{12,13} = C_{12,31} - z C_{12,1} + C_{12,3}(\sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3) \]

\[ = -4 z C_{12,1} - 3 C_{12} z_1 - \frac{z}{4} z C_{12}(\sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3). \]

Hence:

(24.16) \[ (C_{12,1})_3 + 4 z C_{12,1} = -3 C_{12} A, \]

in which:

(24.17) \[ A = z_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3) z. \]

By virtue of the equations \( E_{11} = 0 \) and \( E_{12} = 0 \), one has:

(24.18) \[ \sigma_{1,3} + z \sigma_1^3 = 0, \quad \sigma_{2,3} + z \sigma_2^3 = 0. \]

Moreover:

(24.19) \[ z_{1,3} = -3 z z_1^3 - \frac{1}{2} z^2 (\sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3). \]

It results from these relations that:

(24.20) \[ A = -3 z A. \]

One thus has:

(24.21) \[ A = A_0 z^3. \]

Furthermore, it results from the relations \( \bar{\Sigma}_2 = d\bar{\sigma}^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2 \wedge \bar{\sigma}^3 \), which are terms in \( \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \) if \( z = \bar{z} \), that:

(24.22) \[ z_1 + \frac{1}{2} z(\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_1^2) = \frac{1}{4} \sigma_0^2 (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2). \]

Hence:

(24.23) \[ A = A_0 \]

and equation (24.16) may be written:

(24.24) \[ C_{12,1} = B_0 z^4 + 3 C_{12} A_0 z^5. \]

Substituting this solution into equation (24.12) gives:

(24.25) \[ C_{23} = D_0 z^2 - B_0 z^3 - \frac{3}{2} A_0 C_{12}^0 z^4. \]
INTEGRATION OF EQUATION (24.13). – We calculate:

\[ D = C_{23,1} - C_{23} \sigma_1^3 + 3 C_{12} \sigma_1^1. \]

\( a) \) Calculation of \( A_1 \). – One has:

\[ A_3 = -3 z A, \quad A_3 = -3 z_1 A - 3 z A_1, \]
\[ A_{1,3} + z A_1 - \frac{1}{3} A_3 (\sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3) = -3 z_1 A - 3 z A_1, \]

\[ A_{1,3} + 4 z A_1 = -3 A^2. \]

\( b) \) Calculation of \( S_1 \), where \( S = C_{12,1} \). – Equation (24.16) may be written:

\[ S_3 + 4 z S = -3 C_{11} A, \]

where:

\[ S_{3,1} + 4 z_1 S + 4 z S_1 = -3 S A - 3 C_{12} A_1, \]
\[ S_{1,3} + 5 z S_1 = -7 S A - 3 C_{12} [A_1 - \frac{1}{3} A (\sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3)]. \]

Hence, by virtue of (24.24), (24.21), (24.14), (24.30), and (24.18):

\[ S_{1,3} + 5 z S_1 = -z^7 M_0 - N_0 z^8, \]

in which \( M_0 = N_0 = 0 \) is \( z = \bar{z} \), from which it follows that:

\[ S_1 = z^5 (S_0^0 + M_0 z + \frac{1}{2} N_0 z^2). \]

\( c) \) Calculation of \( C_{23,1} \):

\[ C_{23,1} = C_{23,31} - z C_{23,1} + \frac{1}{3} C_{23,3} (\sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3), \]
\[ C_{23,13} - 3 z C_{23,1} = 2 S_1 - 3 C_{23} A + S (\sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3), \]
\[ C_{23,1} = -z^7 M_0 - N_0 z^8, \]

in which \( L_0 = P_0 = 0 \) if \( z = \bar{z} \), from which:

\[ C_{23,1} = z^3 (\alpha_0 + K_0 z + \frac{1}{2} L_0 z^2 + \frac{1}{3} P_0 z^3). \]

\( d) \) It results from the equation \( E_{27} = 0 \) that:
Gravitational radiation

(24.39) \[ \sigma_{i,3}^1 + z \sigma_i^1 = 0 , \]

hence:

(24.40) \[ \sigma_i^1 = z \sigma_i^{01} . \]

One thus has:

(24.41) \[ M = z^3 (U_0 + V_0 z + W_0 z^2 + Z_0 z^3 ) , \]
in which:

(24.42) \[ W_0 = Z_0 = 0 \text{ if } z = \bar{z} . \]

One may finally integrate equation (24.13), which is written:

(24.43) \[ \frac{dC_{22}}{dz} - \frac{1}{z} C_{22} = U_0 + V_0 z + W_0 z^2 + Z_0 z^3 , \]

namely:

(24.44) \[ C_{22} = z (T_0 + U_0 z + \frac{1}{3} V_0 z^2 + \frac{1}{4} W_0 z^3 + \frac{1}{4} Z_0 z^4) \]

Taking into account the results (24.14), (24.25), (24.44), on the integration of the Bianchi equations that regulate the propagation along characteristic isotropic geodesics in a degenerate vacuum space, one finds that:

(24.45) \[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & T_0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} + z^2 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & T_0 & D_0 \\
0 & D_0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} + z^3 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & C_{12}^0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} V_0 & -B_0 \\
0 & -B_0 & 4C_{12}^0
\end{pmatrix} \\
+ z^4 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{3} W_0 & -\frac{1}{2} A_0 C_{12}^0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} A_0 C_{12}^0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} + z^5 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{4} Z_0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} ;
\]

one may also express this result as:

(24.46) \[ C_{\alpha\beta}(z) = z N_0 + z^2 III_0 + z^3 II_0 + z^4 III'_0 + z^5 N'_0 , \]

in which N_0, etc., denote tensors C_{\alpha\beta} of the corresponding type that are constant along the geodesics. Moreover, if \( z = \bar{z} \) then it results from (24.6), (24.22), (24.42):

(24.47) \[
(C_{\alpha\beta}) = - \frac{1}{v} N_0 + \frac{1}{v^2} III_0 + \frac{1}{v^3} II_0 .
\]

Finally, if \( z = 0 \) then one painlessly finds that:

(24.48) \[
C_{12} = C_{12}^0 , \quad C_{23} = C_{23}^0 + C_{23}^{00} , \quad C_{22} = C_{22}^0 v^2 + C_{22}^{00} + C_{22}^{00} ,
\]
hence:
(24.49) \((C_{\alpha\beta}) = N_0 \nu^2 + III_0 \nu + I_0\).

V. **Spinorial representations** [26, 17]

25. **Vector and bivectors in the space of spinors.** – The representation:

(25.1) \(K: L^+ \rightarrow O_3(\mathbb{C})\)
may be prolonged to:
(25.2) \(O_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})\)

The transformations of \(SL_2(\mathbb{C})\) are representable by 2×2 unimodular matrices, namely, the special linear transformations of a complex two-dimensional vector space, or *spinor space* \(P_1\).

The vectors \(\psi\) of \(P_1\) will be denoted:

(25.3) \(\psi_A \quad (A = 1, 2)\).

Thanks to the anti-involutive matrix:

(25.4) \(\epsilon_{AB} = \epsilon^{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\),
(25.5) \(\epsilon^2 = -1, \quad \iota \epsilon \epsilon = 1\),

one may raise and lower indices; one will note that:

(25.6) \(\psi_A = \epsilon_{AB} \psi^B = \epsilon \psi\),
(25.7) \(\psi^A = \epsilon^{AB} \psi_B = \iota \epsilon \psi\).

In view of the complex structure of \(P_1\), one may also introduce:

(25.8) \(\varphi_A = \psi_A\).

Spinors will be tensors over \(P_1\), such as:

(25.9) \(\psi_{ABCDEF...}\).

The representation \(L^+_+ \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})\) appears to originate in the following remark: *To any vector* \(u_0\) *in Minkowski space, one may associate a Hermitian matrix* \(\psi_{BA}\) *in a canonical manner.* In an isotropic frame, one sets:
Gravitational radiation

\[ (25.10) \quad \psi_{BA} = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 & u_2 \\ u_1 & u_3 \end{bmatrix}. \]

One has:
\[ (25.11) \quad \psi_{BA} = \psi_{AB}. \]

Any unimodular transformation:
\[ (25.12) \quad A^A_B \]

in \( P_1 \) induces a transformation on \( \psi_{BA} : \)
\[ (25.13) \quad \psi_{BA} = \frac{\Lambda^C_B}{\Lambda^D_A} \psi_{CD} A^D_A \]

which translates into a Lorentz transformation of the \( u \)'s. One painlessly verifies that one has:
\[ (25.14) \]
\[
\begin{align*}
    u'_0 &= \bar{A}_1^1 A_1^1 u_0 + \bar{A}_1^2 A_1^2 u_1 + \bar{A}_1^3 A_1^3 u_2 + \bar{A}_1^4 A_1^4 u_3, \\
    u'_1 &= \bar{A}_2^1 A_1^1 u_0 + \bar{A}_2^2 A_1^2 u_1 + \bar{A}_2^3 A_1^3 u_2 + \bar{A}_2^4 A_1^4 u_3, \\
    u'_2 &= \bar{A}_3^1 A_2^1 u_0 + \bar{A}_3^2 A_2^2 u_1 + \bar{A}_3^3 A_2^3 u_2 + \bar{A}_3^4 A_2^4 u_3, \\
    u'_3 &= \bar{A}_4^1 A_2^1 u_0 + \bar{A}_4^2 A_2^2 u_1 + \bar{A}_4^3 A_2^3 u_2 + \bar{A}_4^4 A_2^4 u_3,
\end{align*}
\]

and:
\[ (25.15) \quad u'_0 u'_3 - u'_1 u'_2 = (A_1^1 A_2^1 - A_1^2 A_2^2) (A_1^3 A_2^3 - A_1^4 A_2^4) (u_0 u_3 - u_1 u_2). \]

The unimodular transformations \( A^B_A \) therefore induce a Lorentz transformation. The representation (25.2) is \( 1 \to 2 \), in the sense that the matrices:
\[ (25.16) \quad A \quad \text{and} \quad -A \]

determine the same Lorentz transformation. To a vector \( u_\sigma \), one further associates the matrices:
\[ (25.17) \quad \psi^B_A = \epsilon^{AB} \psi_{DA}, \quad \psi^{BA} = \psi^B_C \epsilon^{CA}, \]

namely, upon starting with (25.10), the matrices:
\[ (25.18) \quad \psi_{BA} = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 & u_2 \\ u_1 & u_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \psi^B_A = \begin{bmatrix} -u_1 & -u_3 \\ u_0 & u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \psi^{BA} = \begin{bmatrix} u_3 & -u_1 \\ -u_2 & u_0 \end{bmatrix}. \]

One will note that, in general:
\[ (25.19) \quad u_\sigma = \sigma_\sigma^{AB} \psi_{AB}, \]
\[ (25.20) \quad \psi_{BA} = \sigma_{AB} u_\sigma, \]
in which $\sigma^A_{\alpha \beta}$, $\sigma^a_{\alpha \beta}$ are tensor-spinors. In an isotropic frame, one has:

\begin{align*}
(25.21) & \quad \sigma^0_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^1_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
(25.22) & \quad \sigma^0_{B \alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^1_{B \alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2_{B \alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3_{B \alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}

**Spinors associated with a bivector.** Let $u_a$ and $v_a$ be two vectors, and let $\psi^1$ and $\psi^2$ be the associated matrices; in an isotropic frame one has:

\begin{align*}
(25.23) & \quad \psi^1_{BA} = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 & u_2 \\ u_1 & u_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi^2_{BA} = \begin{pmatrix} v_0 & v_2 \\ v_1 & v_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}

To any bivector:

\begin{align*}
(25.24) & \quad u_{ab} = u_a v_b - u_b v_a,
\end{align*}

we associate the matrix:

\begin{align*}
(25.25) & \quad \Phi_{DA} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \psi^C_{D \alpha} \psi^2_{CA} - \psi^2_{D \alpha} \psi^C_{CA} \right), \\
(25.26) & \quad \Phi_{DA} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} \left( u_{0}v_{1}v_{0} - v_{0}v_{1} \right) & \frac{1}{2} \left( (u_{0}v_{3} - u_{3}v_{0}) - (u_{1}v_{2} - u_{2}v_{1}) \right) \\
\frac{1}{2} \left( (u_{0}v_{1} - u_{1}v_{0}) - (u_{1}v_{2} - u_{2}v_{1}) \right) & u_{0}v_{3} - u_{3}v_{0}
\end{bmatrix}.
\end{align*}

In general, if:

\begin{align*}
(25.27) & \quad F_{ab} = F_{a}Z^{\alpha} + \text{conj.}
\end{align*}

is an arbitrary bivector then one may associate the matrix:

\begin{align*}
(25.28) & \quad \Phi_{DA} = \begin{bmatrix}
F_{01} & \frac{1}{2} \left( F_{03} - F_{31} \right) \\
\frac{1}{2} \left( F_{01} - F_{13} \right) & F_{23}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
F_2 & \frac{1}{2} F_3 \\
\frac{1}{2} F_3 & F_1
\end{bmatrix};
\end{align*}

$\Phi_{DA}$ is a symmetric spinor:

\begin{align*}
(25.29) & \quad \Phi_{DA} = \Phi_{AD}.
\end{align*}

We set:

\begin{align*}
(25.30) & \quad \Phi_{DA} = s_{DA} \sigma^a F_{a}, \\
(25.31) & \quad F_{a} = s^{DA} \sigma^a \Phi_{DA}.
\end{align*}

In an isotropic frame:

\begin{align*}
(25.32) & \quad s_{DA}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_{DA}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_{DA}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}

Parallel to this, one has:

\begin{align*}
(25.33) & \quad \overline{\Phi}_{DA} = \Phi_{DA} = s_{DA} \sigma^a \overline{F}_{a},
\end{align*}

and:
\[ \Phi_{DA} = \begin{bmatrix} F_2 & \frac{1}{2} F_3 \\ \frac{1}{2} F_3 & F_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{02} & \frac{1}{2} (F_{03} + F_{12}) \\ \frac{1}{2} (F_{03} + F_{12}) & F_{13} \end{bmatrix} ; \]

one has:
\[ S_{DA}^a = \overline{s}_{DA}^a . \]

One may further set:
\[ \Phi_{DA} = \frac{1}{2} S_{DA}^{ab} F_{ab} , \]
\[ F_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} S_{DA}^{ab} \Phi_D . \]

In an isotropic frame:
\[ \begin{cases} S_{AD}^{23} = s_{AD}^1 = S_{AD}^{T3} , \\ S_{AD}^{03} = s_{AD}^3 = S_{AD}^{03} = -S_{AD}^{12} = S_{AD}^{T2} , \\ S_{AD}^{13} = 0 = S_{AD}^{23} , \\ S_{AD}^{02} = 0 = S_{AD}^{0T} . \end{cases} \]

In general:
\[ S_{DA}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_d^{ac} \sigma_c^{ab} - \sigma_d^{bc} \sigma_c^{ab} \right) , \]
\[ S_{DA}^{ab} = i S_{DA}^{ab} , \]
\[ \frac{1}{2} S_{DA}^{ab} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b = s_{DA}^{ab} \theta^a Z^b . \]

We further note the identity:
\[ S_{AD}^{ab} \epsilon_{AD} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_d^{ac} \sigma_c^{ab} + \sigma_d^{bc} \sigma_c^{ab} \right) . \]

**Remark.** – If \( u_a \) is an isotropic vector then the matrix \( \psi_{BA} \) is singular:
\[ \det \psi_{BA} = 0 . \]

If \( F_{ab} \) is a singular bivector then the matrix \( \Phi_{BA} \) is singular:
\[ \det \Phi_{BA} = 0 . \]

26. **Geometric interpretation.** – If one regards the space \( P_1 \) as a one-dimensional complex projective space then if one considers the one-index spinors \( \xi^a \) to be defined up to a factor then \( P_1 \) is identified with the conic \( \gamma (\S 12) \). The use of the spinor \( \epsilon_{AB} \) corresponds to the duality on \( P_1 \). The spinors that are associated to vectors and bivectors are then introduced in a natural fashion. First, let:
\[ F_{ab} = F_a Z^i + \text{conj.} \]

be a real bivector. In the projective plane \( P_2 \) the line:
(26.2) \[ F_\alpha Z^\alpha = 0 \]
defines an involution on the conic \( \gamma \) whose linked points \( \alpha, \beta \) are the points of intersection of (26.2) with \( \gamma \) (Fig. 10). If:

\[
(26.3) \quad Z^1 = (\xi^2)^2, \quad Z^2 = (\xi^1)^2, \quad Z^1 = \xi^1 \xi^2
\]

are the parametric equations of \( \gamma \) then the linked points of the desired involution are given by:

\[
(26.4) \quad F_2 (\xi^1)^2 + F_1 (\xi^1)^2 + F_3 \xi^1 \xi^2 = 0,
\]

which one may write as:

\[
(26.5) \quad \Phi_{AB} \xi^A \xi^B = 0,
\]

with:

\[
(26.6) \quad \Phi_{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} F_2 & \frac{1}{2} F_3 \\ \frac{1}{2} F_3 & F_1 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and we recover the form (25.28). If \( \alpha_A, \beta_B \) are the coordinates of the tangents to \( \gamma \) at \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) for the linked points of the involution \( \Phi_{AB} \) then:

\[
(26.7) \quad \Phi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_A \beta_B + \alpha_B \beta_A).
\]

If the bivector is singular then the line (26.2) is tangent, the points \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) coincide, and one has precisely:

\[
(26.8) \quad \Phi_{AB} = \alpha_A \beta_B.
\]

Therefore, to any self-adjoint bivector one associates a pair of points of \( \gamma \). To any real bivector one associates the same pair of points of \( \gamma \) and their complex conjugates:

\[
(26.9) \quad \alpha_A, \beta_B; \quad \alpha^*_A, \alpha^*_B.
\]

Moreover, if one recalls that the points of \( g \) are rectilinear generators of the absolute of \( P_3 \) then the points of the absolute (the isotropic vectors) will be determined by a pair:

\[
(26.10) \quad \alpha_A, \alpha^*_A
\]
or by a singular Hermitian matrix:

\[
(26.11) \quad \psi_{BA} = \alpha_B \alpha_A^*.
\]

In the case of an arbitrary vector, the matrix:

\[
(26.12) \quad \psi_{BA}
\]
geometrically corresponds to a Hermitian bilinear form:

$$\psi_{BA} \xi^B \xi^A = 0.$$  

Indeed, let:

$$2 \chi^0 \chi^3 - 2 \chi^1 \chi^2 = 0$$

be the absolute of \( P_3 \), and let:

$$u_a \chi^a = 0$$

be the equation of the plane that is associated with the vector \( u_a \). The plane (26.15) intersects the absolute on a conic \# whose points each have a pair \( \xi^B, \xi^A \) as their image. Explicitly, if:

$$\chi^0 = \xi^1 \xi^3, \quad \chi^3 = \xi^2 \xi^3, \quad \chi^1 = \xi^2 \xi^1, \quad \chi^2 = \xi^1 \xi^2$$

are the parametric equations of (26.14) then on the plane section (26.15), or on \#, one has:

$$u_0 \xi^1 + u_1 \xi^2 + u_2 \xi^1 \xi^2 + u_3 \xi^3 = 0$$

or:

$$\psi_{BA} \xi^B \xi^A = 0.$$  

We thus recover the Hermitian form \( \psi_{BA} \) that was introduced in (25.10).

27. Spinorial representation of a tensor. – In general, a tensor such as \( T_{bc}^a \) is associated with a spinor with twice the number of indices by the formulas:

$$\psi_{CDEF} = T_{bc}^a \sigma^A_{cD} \sigma^B_{EF}.$$  

To the bivector \( F_{ab} \) one may associate the spinor:

$$\psi_{ABCD} = F_{ab} \sigma^d_{AB} \sigma^b_{CD}.$$  

If \( F_{ab} \) is real and anti-symmetric then one will have:

$$\psi_{ABCD} = \psi_{BADC};$$

$$\psi_{ABCD} = \psi_{CDAB};$$

from this, it results that:

$$\psi_{ABCD} = \Phi_{BD} \epsilon_{AC} + \Phi_{BD} \epsilon_{AC},$$

with:

$$\Phi_{BD} = \Phi_{DB};$$

with the help of (27.5) and (27.6), one recovers formulas (25.30) and (25.31).
To the quantities $\sigma^{a}_{\alpha}$, which are the components of the connection in bivectorial variables, one may associate the spinor:

$$\sigma^{AB}_{\text{CD}} = s^{AB}_{\text{a}} \sigma^{a}_{\text{CD}} \sigma^{\prime}_{a} = \frac{1}{2} s^{AB}_{\text{cd}} \sigma^{a}_{\text{CD}} \Gamma^{\text{cd}}_{\text{a}}.$$  

The spinor $\sigma^{AB}_{\text{CD}}$ has 12 components: $\sigma^{AB}_{\text{CD}} = \sigma^{BA}_{\text{CD}}$; these are the spinorial coefficients of Newman and Penrose [8].

28. **Riemann tensor.** – One associates a spinor with 8 indices with the tensor $R_{abcd}$. At the basis for the decomposition that we studied in chapter III, one will have:

\[
C_{abcd} = \sigma^{AB}_{a} \sigma^{CD}_{b} \sigma^{EF}_{c} \sigma^{GH}_{d} \left( \psi_{ACDEFG} \epsilon_{BD} \epsilon_{FG} + \psi_{BDG} \epsilon_{AC} \epsilon_{FG} \right),
\]

in which:

\[
\psi_{ABCD}
\]

is a *completely symmetric* spinor with four indices. It is the image of the *four-point group* defined by the intersection of the conic $C_{\alpha\beta}$ with the conic $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$. On the other hand:

\[
E_{abcd} = \sigma^{AB}_{a} \sigma^{CD}_{b} \sigma^{EF}_{c} \sigma^{GH}_{d} \left( \Phi_{ACDEFG} \epsilon_{BD} \epsilon_{FG} + \Phi_{BDG} \epsilon_{AC} \epsilon_{FG} \right),
\]

in which:

\[
\Phi_{ABCD} = \Phi_{BACD} = \Phi_{ABDC} = \Phi_{CDAB}.
\]

The spinor $\Phi_{ABCD}$ associated with $E_{abcd}$ is also associated with the quartic that is the intersection of $R_{\alpha\beta}^\text{X} \chi^\beta$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}^\text{X} \chi^\beta$. This quartic establishes a *bilinear correspondence between pairs of points* of $\gamma$ and $\gamma$ or between pairs of rectilinear generators of the contrary mode of the absolute $g_{\alpha\beta}^\text{X} \chi^\beta = 0$. This 2-2 correspondence between pairs of points of $\gamma$ and points of $\gamma$ is defined by the spinor $\Phi_{ABCD}$. Finally, observe that the spinor that is associated with $C_{\alpha\beta}^\prime$, or with:

\[
C_{abcd} = C_{abcd} - \left( R/12 \right) g_{abcd}
\]

is:

\[
\Phi_{ABCD} + \left( \lambda/3 \right) \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD},
\]

in which:

\[
\lambda = - R/2.
\]
APPENDIX

Commutation rules for the Pfaffian derivatives. – They are obtained by starting with Poincaré’s theorem: If \( A = A_\alpha \theta^\alpha \) is an exact form then it is closed, i.e., \( dA = 0 \).

One has, in turn:

\[
\begin{align*}
(A.1) \quad d\theta^0 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\sigma^3_2 Z^1 + (\sigma^3_0 + \sigma^3_1 + \sigma^3_2) Z^2 + (\sigma^3_0 + \sigma^3_1 + \sigma^3_2 - \sigma^0_2) Z^3 \\
&- \sigma^3_0 \overline{Z}^1 + (\sigma^3_2 + \sigma^3_4 + \sigma^3_6) \overline{Z}^2 + (\sigma^3_0 + \sigma^3_1 + \sigma^3_2 - \sigma^0_2) \overline{Z}^3 \right], \\
\quad d\theta^1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sigma^3_2 Z^1 + (\sigma^3_1 + \sigma^3_4 + \sigma^3_6) Z^2 + (\sigma^3_2 - \sigma^3_4 - \sigma^3_6) Z^3 \\
&- (\sigma^3_0 - \sigma^3_3 - \sigma^3_4) \overline{Z}^1 + (\sigma^3_1 + \sigma^3_2 + \sigma^3_6) \overline{Z}^2 + (\sigma^3_0 - \sigma^3_3 - \sigma^3_4) \overline{Z}^3 \right], \\
\quad d\theta^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\overline{\sigma}^3_3 - \sigma^3_4 + \sigma^3_6) Z^1 + \sigma^3_0 \overline{Z}^2 + (\sigma^3_2 + \sigma^3_6 - \sigma^3_4) Z^3 \\
&- \sigma^3_0 \overline{Z}^1 + (\sigma^3_2 - \sigma^3_4 + \sigma^3_6) \overline{Z}^2 + (\sigma^3_0 + \sigma^3_2 - \sigma^3_4) \overline{Z}^3 \right], \\
\quad d\theta^3 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\overline{\sigma}^3_3 - \sigma^3_4 + \sigma^3_6) Z^1 - \sigma^3_0 \overline{Z}^2 + (\sigma^3_2 + \sigma^3_6 - \sigma^3_4) Z^3 \\
&+ (\sigma^3_0 + \sigma^3_2 - \sigma^3_4) \overline{Z}^1 - \sigma^3_0 \overline{Z}^2 + (\sigma^3_0 + \sigma^3_2 - \sigma^3_4) \overline{Z}^3 \right], \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(A.2) \quad dA &= \left[ A_{32} - A_{23} - \sigma^3_2 A_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_3 A_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 - \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_2 \right) A_2 \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_1 \right) A_3 \right] Z^1 + \left[ A_{10} - A_{01} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 - \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_2 \right) A_0 \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_1 \right) A_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_4 A_2 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_4 A_3 \right] Z^2 \\
&+ \left[ (A_{30} - A_{03} - A_{21} + A_{12}) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_1 \right) A_0 \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_1 \right) A_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_4 A_2 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_4 A_3 \right] Z^3 + \left[ A_{31} - A_{13} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_3 A_0 \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 - \sigma^3_3 - \sigma^3_1 \right) A_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_2 A_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_1 \right) \right] \overline{Z}^1 \\
&+ \left[ A_{30} - A_{03} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_1 \right) A_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_4 A_1 \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 - \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_2 \right) A_2 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_4 A_3 \right] \overline{Z}^2 + \left[ (A_{30} - A_{03} - A_{21} + A_{12}) \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_1 \right) A_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_3 - \sigma^3_2 \right) A_1 \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_1 \right) A_2 \right. + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_3 + \sigma^3_2 \right) A_0 \left. \right] \overline{Z}^3.
\end{align*}
\]

If \( A \) is an exact form then it suffices to annul the coefficients of \( Z^\alpha, \overline{Z}^\alpha \) to obtain the commutation relations. One has:

\[
(A.3) \begin{align*}
\quad [dZ^\alpha &= (\sigma^3_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_1) \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 + (\sigma^3_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_1) \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_0 \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 \\
\quad dZ^\alpha &= -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_0 \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_0 \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_0 \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \\
\quad dZ^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_0 \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_0 \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3_0 \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3. \\
\end{align*}
\]

If:

\[
(A.4) \quad B = B_\alpha Z^\alpha, \quad C = C_\alpha \overline{Z}^\alpha
\]
then:
\begin{align*}
(dB) &= \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 \left[ B_{1,1} - \frac{1}{2} B_{3,3} + B_1(\sigma_1^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^3) - \frac{1}{2} B_2 \sigma_3^2 + \frac{1}{2} B_3 \sigma_1^2 \right] \\
&+ \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 \wedge \theta^0 \left[ B_{1,0} - \frac{1}{2} B_{1,0} + B_1(\sigma_0^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^3) - \frac{1}{2} B_2 \sigma_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} B_3 \sigma_2^2 \right] \\
&+ \theta^3 \wedge \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \left[ B_{2,3} - \frac{1}{2} B_{3,1} + \frac{1}{2} B_1 \sigma_1^3 - B_2(\sigma_3^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^3) - \frac{1}{2} B_3 \sigma_1^2 \right] \\
&+ \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \left[ B_{2,2} - \frac{1}{2} B_{3,0} + \frac{1}{2} B_1 \sigma_0^3 - B_2(\sigma_3^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^3) - \frac{1}{2} B_3 \sigma_2^2 \right]
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
(dC) &= \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 \left[ -C_{1,2} - \frac{1}{2} C_{3,3} + C_1(\sigma_2^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^3) - \frac{1}{2} C_2 \sigma_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} C_3 \sigma_2^2 \right] \\
&+ \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 \wedge \theta^0 \left[ C_{2,3} - \frac{1}{2} C_{3,2} + \frac{1}{2} C_1 \sigma_2^2 - C_2(\sigma_3^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^3) - \frac{1}{2} C_3 \sigma_3^2 \right] \\
&+ \theta^3 \wedge \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \left[ C_{1,0} - \frac{1}{2} C_{3,1} + C_1(\sigma_0^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^3) - \frac{1}{2} C_2 \sigma_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} C_3 \sigma_2^2 \right] \\
&+ \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \left[ -C_{2,1} + \frac{1}{2} C_{3,0} - \frac{1}{2} C_1 \sigma_0^3 + C_2(\sigma_3^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^3) + \frac{1}{2} C_3 \sigma_1^2 \right].
\end{align*}

**Calculation of the curvature.**

\[ \Sigma_1 = d\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 \wedge \sigma^3, \]

(A.6) \[ \Sigma_1 = \]
\begin{align*}
&Z^1 \left[ \sigma_{23}^1 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^3 \sigma_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (\sigma_3^3 - 3 \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_1^3) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^3 (3 \sigma_0^3 + \sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3) \right] \\
&+ Z^2 \left[ \sigma_{01}^2 - \sigma_{01}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (\sigma_1^3 - 3 \sigma_2^3 + \sigma_1^3) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^3 (3 \sigma_0^3 + \sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3) \right] \\
&+ Z^3 \left[ \sigma_{03}^2 - \sigma_{03}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (\sigma_1^3 - 3 \sigma_2^3 + \sigma_1^3) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^3 (3 \sigma_0^3 + \sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3) \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^3 (3 \sigma_0^3 + \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_1^3)
\end{align*}

(A.7) \[ \Sigma_2 = \]
\begin{align*}
&Z^1 \left[ \sigma_{23}^1 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^3 \sigma_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^3 \sigma_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (\sigma_3^3 + \sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^3 (3 \sigma_0^3 + \sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3) \right] \\
&+ Z^2 \left[ \sigma_{01}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (3 \sigma_3^2 + \sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^3 (\sigma_0^3 + \sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3 + 3 \sigma_0^3 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \sigma_0^3) \right] \\
&+ Z^3 \left[ -\sigma_{03}^2 + \sigma_{03}^2 + \sigma_{03}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0^2 (\sigma_1^3 + \sigma_3^2 - \sigma_2^3 + \sigma_1^3) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^3 (\sigma_0^3 + \sigma_1^3 - 3 \sigma_2^3 + \sigma_1^3) \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^3 (\sigma_1^3 + \sigma_3^2 - \sigma_2^3) + 3 \sigma_2^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^3 (3 \sigma_0^3 + \sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3) \right].
\end{align*}
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^1 (\sigma_3^1 + \sigma_0^2 - \sigma_2^1 - \sigma_1^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^1 (-\sigma_0^1 + \sigma_0^1 + \sigma_2^1 - \sigma_2^2) \],

\[ \Sigma_3 = -2 d \sigma^3 - \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^3, \]

(A.8) \[ \Sigma_3 = \]

\[ Z^1 [2(\sigma_{32}^1 - \sigma_{33}^1) + \sigma_0^3 \sigma_3^2 - \sigma_2^3 (\sigma_3^3 - \sigma_3^1 + \sigma_1^2) - \sigma_3^1 (\sigma_3^2 + \sigma_2^3 + \sigma_1^1) - \sigma_2^2 \sigma_0^2 + \sigma_0^1 \sigma_2^0] \]

\[ + Z^2 [2(\sigma_{01}^3 - \sigma_{10}^3) + \sigma_0^3 (\sigma_1^3 + \sigma_2^3 + \sigma_0^3) - \sigma_1^3 (\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_0^3 - \sigma_0^1) - \sigma_1^1 \sigma_0^1 - \sigma_3^3 \sigma_0^3] \]

\[ + Z^3 [2(\sigma_{03}^3 + \sigma_{30}^3 + \sigma_2^2 - \sigma_2^1) + \sigma_0^3 (\sigma_3^3 + \sigma_3^3 + \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_0^1) - \sigma_3^3 (\sigma_3^2 + \sigma_3^1 + \sigma_2^0) - \sigma_3^0 \sigma_0^0 + \sigma_0^1 \sigma_0^1] \]

\[ + \bar{Z}^1 [2(\sigma_{31}^3 - \sigma_{31}^3) + \sigma_0^3 \sigma_3^2 + \sigma_1^3 (\sigma_3^3 - \sigma_3^1 - \sigma_1^2) - \sigma_2^2 \sigma_0^2 - \sigma_3^3 \sigma_0^3 + \sigma_2^1 \sigma_2^1] \]

\[ + \bar{Z}^2 [2(\sigma_{20}^3 - \sigma_{02}^3) - \sigma_0^0 (\sigma_2^3 + \sigma_1^3 + \sigma_0^3) - \sigma_1^1 \sigma_0^1 - \sigma_3^3 (\sigma_3^2 + \sigma_3^1 + \sigma_0^3) + \sigma_0^0 \sigma_0^0] \]

\[ + \bar{Z}^3 [2(\sigma_{30}^3 - \sigma_{30}^3 + \sigma_0^3 (\sigma_2^3 + \sigma_1^3 + \sigma_0^3) - \sigma_0^0 (\sigma_2^3 + \sigma_3^3 + \sigma_2^0) - \sigma_2^2 \sigma_0^2 + \sigma_3^3 \sigma_0^3 + \sigma_2^1 \sigma_2^1] \]

One has:

(A.9) \[ R_{ab} - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} R = \begin{pmatrix} E_{22} & \frac{1}{2} E_{23} & \frac{1}{2} E_{32} & \frac{1}{4} E_{33} \\ \frac{1}{4} E_{23} & E_{2T} & \frac{1}{2} E_{13} & \frac{1}{2} E_{1T} \\ \frac{1}{2} E_{32} & \frac{1}{4} E_{33} & E_{12} & \frac{1}{2} E_{13} \\ \frac{1}{4} E_{33} & \frac{1}{2} E_{3T} & \frac{1}{2} E_{13} & E_{1T} \end{pmatrix}. \]

**Bianchi identities.** – If \( R = 0 \) then the Bianchi identities may be written:

(A.10) \[ D \Sigma_1 = D C_{\alpha \beta} \wedge Z^\beta + D E_{\alpha \beta} \bar{Z}^\beta = 0, \]

in which:

(A.11) \[ D C_{\alpha \beta} = d C_{\alpha \beta} - C_{\gamma \beta} \sigma'^\gamma \alpha - C_{\alpha \gamma} \sigma'^\gamma \beta = C_{\alpha \beta} \cdot \theta^c, \]

(A.12) \[ D E_{\alpha \beta} = d E_{\alpha \beta} - E_{\gamma \beta} \sigma'^\gamma \alpha - E_{\alpha \gamma} \sigma'^\gamma \beta = E_{\alpha \beta} \cdot \theta^c. \]

One finds:
\[
\begin{align*}
C_{a_11} - \frac{1}{2} C_{a_33} &= E_{a_12} - \frac{1}{2} E_{a_33}, \\
C_{a_10} - \frac{1}{2} C_{a_32} &= -E_{a_13} + \frac{1}{2} E_{a_33}, \\
C_{a_23} - \frac{1}{2} C_{a_33} &= E_{a_21} + \frac{1}{2} E_{a_33}, \\
C_{a_2,2} - \frac{1}{2} C_{a_30} &= E_{a_31} - \frac{1}{2} E_{a_33}.
\end{align*}
\]
(A.13)

\[
\begin{align*}
DC_{11} &= dC_{11} + 2C_{11} \sigma^3 + C_{12} \sigma^2, \\
DC_{12} &= dC_{12} - \frac{1}{2} C_{13} \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{2} C_{23} \sigma^2, \\
DC_{13} &= dC_{13} - 2C_{11} \sigma^3 + 3C_{12} \sigma^2 + C_{13} \sigma^3, \\
DC_{22} &= dC_{22} - 2C_{22} \sigma^3 - C_{23} \sigma^2, \\
DC_{23} &= dC_{23} - C_{23} \sigma^3 - 3C_{12} \sigma^1 + C_{22} \sigma^2, \\
DC_{33} &= dC_{33} - C_{33} \sigma^3 + C_{32} \sigma^2 - C_{33} \sigma^1 + C_{31} \sigma^2.
\end{align*}
\]
(A.14)

\[
\begin{align*}
DE_{11} &= dE_{11} + E_{11} (\sigma^3 + \bar{\sigma}^3) + \frac{1}{2} E_{11} \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{2} E_{13} \bar{\sigma}^3, \\
DE_{12} &= dE_{12} + E_{12} (\sigma^3 - \bar{\sigma}^3) + \frac{1}{2} E_{13} \sigma^3 - \frac{1}{2} E_{13} \bar{\sigma}^3, \\
DE_{13} &= dE_{13} + E_{13} \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{2} E_{13} \sigma^3 - E_{13} \bar{\sigma}^3 + E_{12} \sigma^2, \\
DE_{22} &= dE_{22} - E_{22} (\sigma^3 + \bar{\sigma}^3) - \frac{1}{2} E_{23} \sigma^3 - \frac{1}{2} E_{23} \bar{\sigma}^3, \\
DE_{23} &= dE_{23} - E_{23} \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{2} E_{23} \sigma^3 - E_{23} \bar{\sigma}^3 + E_{22} \sigma^2, \\
DE_{33} &= dE_{33} - E_{33} \sigma^3 + E_{33} \sigma^3 - E_{33} \bar{\sigma}^3 + E_{32} \sigma^2.
\end{align*}
\]
(A.15)

\[\Sigma_1 = 0 \text{ gives } d\Sigma_1 = -\Sigma_1 \wedge \sigma^3 - \Sigma_3 \wedge \sigma^2. \text{ Thus:}\]

\[
d(C_{1a} Z^a) + (C_{1a} Z^a) \wedge \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{2} (C_{3a} Z^a) \wedge \sigma^2
\]

\[= -d(E_{1a} Z^a) - (E_{1a} Z^a) \wedge \sigma^3 - \frac{1}{2} (E_{3a} Z^a) \wedge \sigma^2.
\]
(A.16)

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{11,1} + C_{11}(2 \sigma_1^0 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3^0) - \frac{1}{2} C_{13,3} + C_{13}(\sigma_3^0 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3^0) - \frac{1}{2} C_{12} \sigma_3^2 \\
= & E_{11,2} + E_{11}(\bar{\sigma}^0_1 + \sigma_3^0 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3^0) - \frac{1}{2} E_{13,3} + \frac{1}{2} E_{13} (\bar{\sigma}^3_1 - \sigma^3_3) + \frac{1}{2} E_{33} \sigma_3^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{4} E_{33} \sigma_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} E_{13} \bar{\sigma}^3_3.
\end{align*}
\]
(A.17)

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{11,0} + C_{11}(2 \sigma_0^3 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^3) - \frac{1}{2} C_{13,2} + C_{13}(\sigma_2^0 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^0) - \frac{1}{2} C_{12} \sigma_2^2 \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} E_{11,0} + \frac{1}{2} E_{11} - E_{13,3} + \frac{1}{2} E_{13} (\bar{\sigma}^0_1 + \sigma_3^0 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3^0) + E_{12} (\bar{\sigma}^3_3 - \sigma^3_3 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\sigma}^3_3) \\
& + \frac{1}{4} E_{33} \sigma_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} E_{13} \bar{\sigma}^3_3.
\end{align*}
\]
(A.18)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} C_{11} \sigma_1^0 - \frac{1}{2} C_{13,1} - \frac{1}{2} C_{13}(\sigma_3^0 + \sigma_3^0) + C_{12,3} - \frac{1}{2} C_{12} \sigma_3^2 + \frac{1}{2} C_{23} \sigma_3^2 - (R_3/6) \\
= & -E_{11,0} - E_{11}(\bar{\sigma}^0_1 + \sigma_3^0 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\sigma}^0_1) - \frac{1}{2} E_{13,3} - \frac{1}{2} E_{13} (\bar{\sigma}^3_3 - \sigma^3_3) - \frac{1}{2} E_{33} \sigma_3^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} E_{12} \bar{\sigma}^3_3 + \frac{1}{4} E_{33} \sigma_3^2.
\end{align*}
\]
(A.19)
\[
\Sigma_2 = 0 \text{ gives } d\Sigma_2 = \Sigma_2 \wedge \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_3 \wedge \sigma^1. \text{ Thus:}
\]
\[
d(C_{2\alpha} Z^\alpha) + (C_{2\alpha} Z^\alpha)^\wedge \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{2} (C_{3\alpha} Z^\alpha)^\wedge \sigma^1
\]
\[
= -d(E_{2\alpha} \bar{Z}^\alpha) + (E_{2\alpha} \bar{Z}^\alpha)^\wedge \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{2} (E_{3\alpha} \bar{Z}^\alpha)^\wedge \sigma^1,
\]
\[\text{(A.20)}\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} C_{12} \sigma_1^1 + C_{12,1} + \frac{3}{2} C_{12} \sigma_1^1 - \frac{1}{2} C_{23,3} + \frac{1}{2} C_{23} \left( \sigma_1^1 + \sigma_3^1 \right) - \frac{1}{2} C_{22} \sigma_3^2 - (R_{1/6})
\]
\[
= E_{2T,0} - E_{2T} (\sigma_1^1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3^1 - \sigma_0^1) + \frac{1}{2} E_{2T} (\sigma_3^1 + \sigma_0^1) + \frac{1}{2} E_{2T} \sigma_2^1
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} E_{2T} \sigma_3^1 - \frac{1}{2} E_{2T} \sigma_0^1,
\]
\[\text{(A.21)}\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} C_{13} \sigma_1^1 + C_{13,1} + \frac{3}{2} C_{13} \sigma_1^1 - \frac{1}{2} C_{23,3} + \frac{1}{2} C_{23} \left( \sigma_1^1 + \sigma_3^1 \right) - \frac{1}{2} C_{22} \sigma_3^2 - (R_{1/6})
\]
\[
= -E_{2T,0} + E_{2T} (\sigma_0^1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^1 - \sigma_3^1) + \frac{1}{2} E_{2T} (\sigma_2^1 + \sigma_3^1) + \frac{1}{2} E_{2T} \sigma_0^1
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} E_{2T} \sigma_1^1 - \frac{1}{2} E_{2T} \sigma_0^1,
\]
\[\text{(A.22)}\]

\[
\Sigma_3 = 0 \text{ gives } d\Sigma_3 = \Sigma_1 \wedge \sigma^1 - \Sigma_2 \wedge \sigma^0. \text{ Thus:}
\]
\[
d(C_{3\alpha} Z^\alpha) + (C_{1\alpha} Z^\alpha)^\wedge \sigma^1 + \frac{1}{2} (C_{2\alpha} Z^\alpha)^\wedge \sigma^2
\]
\[
= -d(E_{3\alpha} \bar{Z}^\alpha) + (E_{3\alpha} \bar{Z}^\alpha)^\wedge \sigma^1 - (E_{3\alpha} \bar{Z}^\alpha)^\wedge \sigma^2,
\]
\[\text{(A.24)}\]
\[
= -C_{11} \sigma_1^1 + C_{13,1} + C_{13} (\sigma_2^1 + \sigma_0^1) - C_{12} \sigma_2^2 - C_{22} \sigma_3^2 - (R_{3/6})
\]
\[
= E_{3T,2} - E_{3T} (\sigma_1^1 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3^1 + \frac{1}{2} E_{3T} \sigma_2^2 + E_{3T} (\sigma_0^1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3^1) - E_{3T} \sigma_2^1
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} E_{3T} \sigma_3^1 - \frac{1}{2} E_{3T} \sigma_0^1 + \frac{1}{2} E_{3T} \sigma_2^1,
\]
\[\text{(A.25)}\]
\[
= -C_{11} \sigma_1^1 + C_{13,1} + C_{12} (\sigma_2^1 + \sigma_0^1) - 2C_{12} \sigma_2^2 - C_{23} \sigma_3^2 - (R_{2/6})
\]
\[
= -E_{3T,3} + E_{3T} (\sigma_1^1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3^1) + E_{3T} (\sigma_2^1 + \frac{1}{2} E_{3T} \sigma_3^1 - \frac{1}{2} E_{3T} \sigma_0^1 + \frac{1}{2} E_{3T} \sigma_2^1
\]
\[
- E_{3T} \sigma_3^1 + \frac{1}{2} E_{3T} \sigma_0^1.
\]
\[\text{(230)}\]
Gravitational radiation

\[ C_{13} \sigma^1_1 - 2C_{12,1} - 3C_{12} \sigma^2_2 + C_{23,3} - C_{23} (\sigma^3_3 + \sigma^2_1) + C_{22} \sigma^2_2 - (R_1/6) \]
\[ = -E_{37,0} - E_{37} (\sigma^3_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_0) + \frac{1}{2} E_{371} - \frac{1}{2} E_{33} \sigma^2_0 + \frac{1}{2} E_{32} \sigma^2_1 + E_{14} \sigma^1_0 - \frac{1}{2} E_{25} \sigma^1_0 \]
\[ -E_{27} \sigma^2_0 + \frac{1}{2} E_{15} \sigma^1_0 \] (301)

\[ C_{13} \sigma^1_1 - 2C_{12,0} - 3C_{12} \sigma^2_2 + C_{23,2} - C_{23} (\sigma^3_3 + \sigma^2_0) + C_{22} \sigma^2_2 - (R_0/6) \]
\[ = E_{32,3} - E_{32} (\sigma^3_2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_0) - \frac{1}{2} E_{33,0} - \frac{1}{2} E_{35} \sigma^1_0 - \frac{1}{2} E_{33} \sigma^1_0 - E_{12} \sigma^1_2 - \frac{1}{2} E_{25} \sigma^0_2 \]
\[ + E_{22} \sigma^1_0 + \frac{1}{2} E_{15} \sigma^0_1 \] (012)
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