

Electromagnetic inductions in a relativistic anisotropic medium

Note (*) by PHAM MAU QUAN

Presented by Georges Darmois

Translated by D. H. Delphenich

The anisotropy of a medium is translated with the aid three automorphisms $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ of the tangent vector space at each point x of the space-time manifold V_4 , which represent the dielectric strength, the magnetic permeability, and the electric condition of the medium, resp. The Maxwell equations admit a triple system of characteristic manifolds that are each tangent to a cone of order two.

1. Let D be a domain in space-time V_4 that is referred to a local coordinate system (¹) (x^α) . One lets T_x denote the tangent vector space to V_4 at x . Let $ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta$ be the world-metric, and let u^α be the unit velocity vector that is attached to each point x of the medium that is occupied by D . One recalls that a proper frame at the point x is an orthonormal frame whose basic vector $\mathbf{V}^{(0)}$ that is oriented in time and coincides with the vector \mathbf{u} , and the other three vectors $\mathbf{V}^{(i)}$, which are oriented in space define the tri-plane Π_x that is orthogonal to \mathbf{u} and which one calls the *space associated* with the time direction \mathbf{u} .

The electromagnetic field is defined by the given on V_4 of two antisymmetric tensor fields of order 2, $H_{\alpha\beta}$ and $G_{\alpha\beta}$. The electric and magnetic induction and field vectors \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{H} , resp., are defined by (²):

$$(1.1) \quad D_\alpha = G_{\rho\alpha} u^\rho, \quad E_\alpha = H_{\rho\alpha} u^\rho, \quad B_\alpha = H_{\rho\alpha}^* u^\rho, \quad H_\alpha = G_{\rho\alpha}^* u^\rho,$$

in which one sets:

$$H_{\alpha\beta}^* = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\nu\gamma\delta} H^{\gamma\delta}, \quad G_{\alpha\beta}^* = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\nu\gamma\delta} G^{\gamma\delta},$$

in which $\eta_{\alpha\nu\gamma\delta}$ denotes the completely-antisymmetric tensor that is attached to the volume element form on V_4 .

(*) Session on 21 October 1957.

(¹) Cf., J. rat. Mech. Anal. **5**, no. 3 (1956), 473-538.

(²) Greek indices vary from 0 to 3, while Latin ones vary from 1 to 3.

In order to express the relations between inductions and fields, we given each point x of V_4 two automorphisms $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ of T_x ; i.e., two linear maps of T_x to itself:

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{D} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{H}.$$

We denote the representative matrices of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ by the same letters, and their elements $(\varepsilon_\beta^\alpha)$ and (μ_β^α) define the components of two mixed tensors on V_4 that they are associated with.

2. Since $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ are automorphisms, the matrices $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ will be invertible. A given field will define a well-defined induction, and conversely, if one knows an induction then it will correspond to one and only one field that is its induction. One lets $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ denote the inverse transformations to $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, resp., or their representative matrices.

One infers from (1.1) that:

$$(2.1) \quad E_\alpha u^\alpha = D_\alpha u^\alpha = H_\alpha u^\alpha = B_\alpha u^\alpha = 0.$$

The fields and induction vectors are orthogonal to the unit velocity vector u^α : viz., they are vectors in the tri-plane Π_x . The automorphisms $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ must make any vector in the tri-plane Π_x correspond to a vector in that tri-plane Π_x . We suppose, moreover, that \mathbf{u} is a proper vector of the corresponding matrices. It will then result that $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ leave \mathbf{u} and Π_x invariant. In a proper frame, those two conditions are equivalent to $\varepsilon_i^0 = \varepsilon_0^i = 0$, $\mu_i^0 = \mu_0^i = 0$, $\lambda_i^0 = \lambda_0^i = 0$, $\tau_i^0 = \tau_0^i = 0$. One will note that if the matrices $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ are symmetric then one of the preceding conditions will imply the other one.

One will be led to set:

$$(2.2) \quad \varepsilon_\beta^\alpha = \varepsilon \delta_\beta^\alpha + e_\beta^\alpha, \quad \mu_\beta^\alpha = \mu \delta_\beta^\alpha + m_\beta^\alpha,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda_\beta^\alpha = \lambda \delta_\beta^\alpha + l_\beta^\alpha, \quad \tau_\beta^\alpha = \tau \delta_\beta^\alpha + t_\beta^\alpha,$$

in which ε , μ , λ , τ are scalars ($\lambda = 1 / \varepsilon$, $\tau = 1 / \mu$) and e_β^α , l_β^α , m_β^α , t_β^α are such that:

$$e_\beta^\alpha u^\beta = l_\beta^\alpha u^\beta = m_\beta^\alpha u^\beta = t_\beta^\alpha u^\beta = 0.$$

The medium considered is called *isotropic* if the transformations $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ are homotheties. One will then have (¹):

$$\varepsilon_\beta^\alpha = \varepsilon \delta_\beta^\alpha, \quad \mu_\beta^\alpha = \mu \delta_\beta^\alpha.$$

3. Starting from the constraint equations (1.2), which can be written explicitly as:

$$(3.1) \quad G_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha = \varepsilon_\beta^\rho H_{\alpha\rho} u^\alpha, \quad \overset{*}{H}_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha = \mu_\beta^\rho \overset{*}{G}_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha,$$

one can express the $G_{\alpha\beta}$ as functions of the $H_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \tau H_{\alpha\beta} + (\tau - 1)(H_{\sigma\alpha} u^\sigma u_\beta - H_{\sigma\beta} u^\sigma u_\alpha) + (e_\alpha^\rho u_\beta - e_\beta^\rho u_\alpha) u^\sigma H_{\rho\sigma} \\ + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} u^\gamma \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} u_\nu H_{\rho\sigma},$$

in which $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ are the Kronecker symbols.

4. The electromagnetic field $(H_{\alpha\beta}, G_{\alpha\beta})$ satisfy Maxwell's equations:

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{E}^\delta \equiv \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \nabla_\alpha H_{\gamma\delta} = 0,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{D}_\beta \equiv g^{\alpha\rho} \nabla_\alpha G_{\rho\beta} = J_\beta,$$

in which the electric current vector J_β verifies the hypothesis that:

$$(4.3) \quad J_\beta = \delta u_\beta + \sigma_\beta^\alpha H_{\rho\alpha} u^\rho,$$

in which δ is a scalar that represents the proper density of the electric charge, and (σ_β^α) is a new automorphism that introduces the electric conductivity of the medium, such that the conduction current $\Gamma_\beta = \sigma_\beta^\alpha H_{\rho\alpha} u^\rho$ will satisfy the generalized Ohm hypothesis $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \mathbf{\sigma} \mathbf{E}$. One remarks that equations (4.1) express the idea that there exists a local vector potential for $H_{\alpha\beta}$.

5. The ε_β^α , μ_β^α , σ_β^α are given functions of x^α , the field variables are the $g_{\alpha\beta}$, $H_{\alpha\beta}$, which verify the Maxwell-Einstein equations that correspond to the schema considered in the domain D . The Einstein equations must determine the $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and u^α ; in particular, consider the Maxwell equations, for which we study the Cauchy problem. We are given the values of $(H_{\alpha\beta})$ on the hypersurface S whose local equation is $x^0 = 0$, and we seek to determine the values of the oblique derivatives $\partial_0 H_{\alpha\beta}$ on S . The Maxwell equations are equivalent to the set of two systems:

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{E}^k \equiv \frac{1}{2} \eta^{0ijk} \partial_0 H_{ij} + \Psi^k = 0,$$

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{D}_i \equiv \frac{1}{\mu} \{ (g^{00} - (1 - \varepsilon\mu) u^0 u^0) \delta_i^j - \mu (e_i^j u^0 - e_i^0 u^j) u^0 + \\ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (g^{0\alpha} e_\alpha^0 u^j - g^{j\alpha} e_\alpha^0 u^0) u_i + \frac{1}{2} \mu g^{0\lambda} \varepsilon_{\lambda i \gamma \delta} u^\gamma t_\mu^\delta \varepsilon^{\mu\nu 0 j} u_\nu \} \partial_0 H_{0j} + \Phi_i$$

$$= \delta u_i + \sigma_i^\alpha H_{\rho\alpha} u^\rho$$

(in which Ψ^k and Φ_i are known quantities in S) and to the two identities that are verified on S :

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{E}^0 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \eta^{ijk0} \partial_i H_{jk} = 0,$$

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{D}^0 \equiv g^{0\beta} \mathcal{D}_\beta = \delta u^0 + g^{0\beta} \sigma_\beta^\alpha H_{\rho\alpha} u^\rho,$$

in which \mathcal{D}^0 does not depends upon $\partial_0 H_{\alpha\beta}$. One notes that (5.3) expresses the idea that the tensor H_{ij} that is induced on S is locally derived from a potential vector.

If the hypersurface S is not exceptional then equation (5.4) will provide a value for δ , equations (5.1) will determine the values of $\partial_0 H_{ij}$, and equations (5.2) will determine those of $\partial_0 H_{0j}$ on S . The calculations can be performed by means of successive derivations.

The characteristic manifolds of Maxwell's equations are necessarily such that:

$$(5.5) \quad \Omega \equiv \det (A_i^j) = 0,$$

in which the A_i^j represent the coefficients of $(1/\mu) \partial_0 H_{0j}$ in (5.2). An analysis of that equation will show that there generally exists a triple system of characteristic manifolds that are tangent to a second-order cone.

(Faculté des Sciences, Besançon)

