

## On the curvature of non-holonomic manifolds

Note by **Z. HORÁK**

Translated by D. H. Delphenich

---

When one studies non-holonomic manifolds, one confirms that the usual method for arriving at curvature is no longer applicable to a  $X_n^m$ , due to the impossibility of constructing an infinitely-small, closed parallelogram <sup>(1)</sup>. In the present Note, I propose to generalize the usual method for a  $X_n^m$  by replacing the closed parallelogram with an infinitely-small closed cycle that is composed of an arbitrary parallelogram that is situated in  $X_n^m$  and the vector that joins its final point to its initial point, in such a way that one returns to the starting point.

Consider a  $X_n^m$  that is embedded in a  $X_n$  with a local  $(n - m)$ -direction and let  $x^\lambda$  ( $\lambda, \mu, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) be the holonomic parameters of  $X_n$ . If one introduces the non-holonomic parameters  $x^k$  ( $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) <sup>(2)</sup>:

$$dx^k = A_\lambda^k dx^\lambda, \quad dx^\lambda = A_k^\lambda dx^k,$$

and if one denotes:

$$\Delta_{12} = d d_{21} - d d_{12}$$

then one will have:

$$(1) \quad \Delta_{12} x^k = \Pi_{ij}^k dx_i^i dx_j^j + A_{i\lambda}^k \Delta_{12} x^\lambda,$$

in which:

$$\Pi_{ij}^k = 2A_\lambda^k \partial_{[i} A_{j]}^\lambda \quad \left( \partial_i = A_i^\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} \right),$$

in which the choice of the parameters  $x^k$  and the operation  $\Delta_{12}$  is completely arbitrary.

Now, one can choose the  $dx^k$  in such a manner <sup>(3)</sup> that the displacements in the  $X_n^m$  are coupled by the  $n - m$  conditions:

<sup>(1)</sup> J.-A. SCHOUTEN, “On non holonomic connections,” Proc. Kon. Akad. v. Wet. Amsterdam **31** (1928). See also G. VRANCEANU, “Sur quelques tenseurs dans les variétés non holonomes,” C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **186** (1928), 995-996.

<sup>(2)</sup> J.-A. SCHOUTEN, *loc. cit.*

<sup>(3)</sup> See my paper: “Ueber die Formeln für die allgemeine lineare Uebertragung...,” Nieuw Achif v. Wisk. **15** (1927), 193-201.

$$dx^r = 0 \quad (r = m + 1, \dots, n),$$

and that the other  $m$  differentials  $dx^a$  ( $a, b, c, d, e, f = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are situated in  $X_n^m$ . Having said that, we shall suppose that the displacements  $d_1, d_2$  that intervene in the operation are placed in  $X_n^m$  in such a way that:

$$(2) \quad d_1 x^r = 0, \quad d_2 x^r = 0, \quad \Delta_{12} x^r = 0.$$

Hence, the two displacements  $d_1, d_2$  generate a parallelogram in  $X_n^m$  whose final point can agree with its initial point by displacing along the vector:

$$- \Delta_{12} x^\lambda = \Delta_{21} x^\lambda.$$

It is precisely the vector that completes the parallelogram considered to a closed cycle to which we shall appeal for our definition of curvature. Finally, if we write:

$$- A_{\lambda}^k \Delta_{12} x^\lambda = - \Delta_{12}^k = \Delta_{21}^k$$

then, by virtue of (2), the equations (1) will become:

$$(3) \quad \Delta_{12} x^\lambda = \Pi_{bd}^c d_1 x^b d_1 x^d - \Delta_{21}^c, \quad \Delta_{21}^r = \Pi_{bd}^r d_1 x^b d_1 x^d.$$

Now suppose that one introduces an arbitrary linear connection in  $X_n$  that is defined by the coefficients  $\Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\nu, \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}'^\nu$ . If one denotes the coefficients of that connection by  $\Lambda_{ij}^k, \Lambda_{ij}'^k$ , when they expressed by means of the non-holonomic parameters  $x^k$  <sup>(1)</sup> – i.e., if one sets:

$$(4) \quad \nabla_i v_k = \partial_i v_k + \Lambda_{ij}^k v^j dx^j, \quad \nabla_i w_k = \partial_i w_k + \Lambda_{ij}'^k w_j dx^j,$$

then the non-holonomic connection that is induced <sup>(2)</sup> in the  $X_n^m$  by the given connection will be defined the coefficients  $\Lambda_{ab}^c, \Lambda_{ab}'^c$  <sup>(3)</sup>.

Having said that, we propose to calculate the change  $\mathcal{D}_{12} v^c$  that a vector  $v^c$  ( $v^r = 0$ ) that is situated in  $X_n^m$  experiences during a circulation around our closed cycle. If the symbol

<sup>(1)</sup> HORÁK, *loc. cit.*

<sup>(2)</sup> The covariant derivative of an affiner in  $X_n^m$  is equal to the  $X_n^m$ -component of the covariant derivative in  $X_n$ ; see Schouten (*loc. cit.*).

<sup>(3)</sup> See my Czech paper: “On a generalization of the notion of manifold,” Publication of the Science Faculty at the University of Masaryk, Brno, no. 86, 1927, pp. 2.

$D_{12} v^c$  denotes the change that the same vector experiences during displacement along the parallelogram that is generated by  $d_1 x^b$ ,  $d_2 x^b$  then one obtains the total change  $\mathcal{D}_{12} v^c$  by adding to  $D_{12} v^c$  the change that the vector  $v^c$  experiences upon returning from the final point of the parallelogram to its initial point along the vector  $\Delta_{12}^k$ . One will obviously have:

$$\mathcal{D}_{12} v^c = D_{12} v^c + \nabla_{21}^k v^c \Delta^k$$

then, from which one can easily infer by calculation and taking (3) and (4) into account:

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{12} v^c = R_{bda}^{\dots c} v^a d_1 x^b d_1 x^d, \\ R_{bda}^{\dots c} = 2\partial_{[d} \Lambda_{|ab]}^c + 2\Lambda_{f[d}^c \Lambda_{|ab]}^f + \Lambda_{ak}^c \Pi_{bd}^k. \end{cases}$$

We have then arrived at a definition of the affinator (5) as the *quantity of curvature* in  $X_n^m$ . By the same reasoning, when applied to a covariant vector  $w_a$ , we will arrive at the equation:

$$D_{12} w_a = -R_{bda}^{\dots c} w_c d_1 x^b d_1 x^d,$$

in which the quantity  $R_{bda}^{\dots c}$  results from (5) by replacing the  $\Lambda_{ab}^c$  with  $\Lambda'_{ab}{}^c$ .

If  $X_n$  becomes an  $A_n$  then (5) will reduce to the affinator that Schouten <sup>(1)</sup> defined to be the curvature of the  $A_n^m$ , and for a holonomic manifold, the  $R_{bda}^{\dots c}$ ,  $R'_{bda}{}^c$  will take the form that was given by the author <sup>(2)</sup>.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> *Loc. cit.*

<sup>(2)</sup> *Loc. cit.*