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I. Introduction. - In the first article ( ${ }^{1}$ ), following LIE, it was show that any contact transformation in the $2 n-1$ variables $\xi^{1}, \ldots, \xi^{n}, \zeta^{2}, \ldots, \zeta^{n}$ can be written as not only a homogeneous contact transformation in $2 n$ variables $\xi^{1}, \ldots, \xi^{n}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}$, but also as a doubly-homogeneous contact transformation in $2(n+1)$ variables $x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}, p_{0}, \ldots, p_{n}$ that satisfy the homogeneity conditions (I.25):

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x^{\kappa} \partial_{\kappa} x^{\kappa^{\prime}} & =x^{\kappa^{\prime}},  \tag{1}\\
p_{\lambda} \partial^{\kappa} x^{\kappa^{\prime}} & =0 \\
x^{\kappa} \partial_{\kappa} p_{\lambda^{\prime}} & =0 \\
p_{\lambda} \partial^{\lambda} p_{\lambda^{\prime}} & =p_{\lambda^{\prime}},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

the conditions (I.28):

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\rho^{\prime}} x^{\rho^{\prime}}=p_{\rho} x^{\rho}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $p_{\rho} x^{\rho}=0$, the condition (I.14, 16):

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
p_{\rho^{\prime}} d x^{\rho^{\prime}} & =p_{\rho} d x^{\rho}  \tag{3}\\
x^{\rho^{\prime}} d p_{\rho^{\prime}} & =x^{\rho} d p_{\rho}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and the conditions (I.24)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
p_{\rho^{\prime}} & \partial_{\lambda} x^{\rho^{\prime}} \\
p^{\prime} & p_{\lambda}, \\
p_{\rho^{\prime}} \partial^{\kappa} x^{\rho^{\prime}} & =0,  \tag{4}\\
x^{\rho^{\prime}} \partial_{\lambda} p_{\rho^{\prime}} & =0, \\
x^{\rho^{\prime}} \partial^{\kappa} p_{\rho^{\prime}} & =x^{\kappa}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

[^0](which do not follow from (3), only for $p_{\rho} x^{\rho}=0$ ). We will now show that we can always replace the doubly-homogeneous contact transformation thus-obtained with a transformation that satisfies condition (3), and therefore, also (4), in addition to (1) and (2), even for the general case of $p_{\rho} x^{\rho} \neq 0$, without changing the transformation of the elements (which are characterized by $p_{\rho} x^{\rho}=0$ ). We call the form that is obtained in that way a normal form for the doubly-homogeneous contact transformation. We then prove that in such a way that it implies the main theorem that relates to the most general form of a finite, doubly-homogeneous contact transformation, as well.
2. The normal form. - Following LIE $\left({ }^{1}\right)$, one obtains the most general form of a homogeneous contact transformation in $\xi^{1}, \ldots, \xi^{n}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}$ in the following way: One chooses any functions $\stackrel{1}{\Omega}, \ldots, \stackrel{q}{\Omega}$ of the $\xi^{h}$ and $\xi^{h^{\prime}}$ (in which $q$ is a number $\geq 1$ and $\leq n$ ) that are arranged so that the $(n+q)$-rowed determinant:
\[

\left|$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{i} \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Omega} & 0  \tag{5}\\
\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \partial_{i} \partial_{i^{\prime}} \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Omega} & \partial_{i^{\prime}} \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Omega}
\end{array}
$$\right| ; \quad \mathfrak{a}=1, ···, q ; \quad \partial_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{i}}, \quad \partial_{i^{\prime}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{i^{\prime}}}
\]

does not vanish identically in the $\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}$ as a result of $\stackrel{a}{\Omega}=0$, and then eliminates the ${\underset{a}{a}}$ from the $2 n+q$ equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Omega}\left(\xi^{h}, \xi^{h^{\prime}}\right)=0  \tag{6}\\
\text { a) } \eta_{i}=-\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \partial_{i} \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Omega}, \quad \text { b) } \quad \eta_{i^{\prime}}=+\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \partial_{i^{\prime}} \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Omega} . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

The determinant condition guarantees that equations $(6,7)$ can be solved for $\xi^{h^{\prime}}, \eta_{i^{\prime}}$, and $\underset{\mathfrak{a}}{ } \lambda$, as well as for $\xi^{h}, \eta_{i}, \lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}$. After eliminating the ${\underset{a}{a}}_{\lambda}$, (6.7a) will give the $\xi^{h^{\prime}}$ as functions of the $\xi^{h}$ and $\eta_{i}$ that are homogeneous of degree zero in the $\eta_{i}$. If one substitutes those values in (7a) then that will yield the $\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}$ as functions of the $\xi^{h}$ and $\eta_{i}$ that are homogeneous of degree one in the $\eta_{i}$. Finally, substituting the $\xi^{h^{\prime}}$ and the $\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}$ in (7b) will yield the $\eta_{i^{\prime}}$ as functions of the $\xi^{h}$ and $\eta_{i}$ that are homogeneous of degree one in $\eta_{i}$. The same thing will be true when one switches the $\xi^{h^{\prime}}, \eta_{i^{\prime}}$ with the $\xi^{h}, \eta_{i}$, resp. (LIE, loc. cit., pp. 152). Eliminating the $\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}$ will then yield a system of $2 n$ equations that can be solved for $\xi^{h^{\prime}}, \eta_{i^{\prime}}$, as well as for $\xi^{h}, \eta_{i}$. Those equations represent a contact transformation that takes a point in general position to an $(n-q)$-dimensional manifold, and besides (6), there will be no further equations in the $\xi^{h}, \xi^{h^{\prime}}$ (LIE, loc. cit., pp. 158). The rank of the matrix $\partial \xi^{h^{\prime}} / \partial \eta_{i}$ will then be equal to $n-q$.
( ${ }^{1}$ ) Theorie der Transformationsgruppen, II, pp. 150.

We shall carry out the transition to the homogeneous coordinates $x^{\kappa}, p_{\lambda} ; \kappa, \lambda, \mu=0$, $1, \ldots, n$, so the element $\left(p_{\rho} x^{\rho}=0\right)$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x^{0}: x^{1}: \ldots: x^{n}=1: \xi^{1}: \ldots: \xi^{n},  \tag{8}\\
p_{0}: p_{1}: \ldots: p_{n}=-\left(\xi^{1} \eta_{1}+\cdots+\xi^{n} \eta_{n}\right): \eta_{1}: \ldots: \eta_{n}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

will go to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}\left(x^{\kappa}, x^{\kappa^{\prime}}\right)=\stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}\left(\frac{x^{\alpha}}{x^{0}}, \frac{x^{\alpha^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{\prime}}}\right)=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

under (6), in which the $\stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}$ are homogeneous of degree zero in $x^{\kappa}$ and $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}$, and (7) will go to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{p_{\alpha}}{p_{0} x^{0}}\left(\xi^{j} \eta_{j}\right)=-\underset{\mathrm{a}}{\lambda} \partial_{\alpha} \stackrel{\mathrm{a}}{\Phi},-\frac{p_{\alpha^{\prime}}}{p_{0^{0}} x^{0^{0}}}\left(\xi^{j^{\prime}} \eta_{j^{\prime}}\right)=+\lambda_{\mathrm{a}} \partial_{\alpha^{\prime}} \stackrel{\mathrm{a}}{\Phi}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma=1, \ldots, n, \\
& h, i, j=1, \ldots, n,  \tag{10}\\
& -\frac{1}{x^{0}}\left(\xi^{j} \eta_{j}\right)=-\lambda_{\mathrm{a}} \partial_{0} \stackrel{\mathrm{a}}{\Phi}, \quad-\frac{1}{x^{0^{\prime}}}\left(\xi^{j} \eta_{j^{\prime}}\right)=+\lambda_{\mathrm{a}} \partial_{0^{\prime}} \stackrel{\mathrm{a}}{\Phi}, \quad \partial_{\lambda}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}}, \quad \partial_{\lambda^{\prime}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda^{\prime}}}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\lambda}::-\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \partial_{\lambda} \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}, \quad p_{\lambda^{\prime}}::+\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \partial_{\lambda^{\prime}} \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conversely, equations (7) can be derived from those proportionalities. The $\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}$ can be determined as functions of the $\xi^{h}, \eta_{i}$ that are homogeneous of degree one in $\eta_{i}$ from ( 6 , 7). However, only the ratios of the $\lambda_{a}$ can be calculated from $(9,11)$, and indeed, as homogeneous functions of degree zero in $x^{K}$ and $p_{\lambda}$, since equation (8) does not allow one to express the $\eta_{i}$ in terms of $\xi^{h}$, but only to express the ratios of the $\eta_{i}$ in terms of the ratios of the $p_{\lambda}$. In addition, $\xi^{h^{\prime}}$ and $\eta_{i^{\prime}}$ can be calculated from $(6,7)$ as functions of $\xi^{h}$ and $\eta_{i}$ that are homogeneous of degree zero (one, resp.) in $\eta_{i}$. The $\frac{x^{\kappa^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{\prime}}}$ and $-\frac{\eta_{i^{\prime}}}{\xi^{j^{\prime}} \eta_{j^{\prime}}}$ (or, what amounts to the same thing, the $\frac{p_{\chi^{\prime}}}{p_{0^{\prime}}}$ ) then follow from (9.11) as functions of the $\frac{x^{\kappa}}{x^{0}}$ and the $\frac{p_{\lambda}}{p_{0}}$. Likewise, the $\frac{x^{\kappa}}{x^{0}}$ and the $\frac{p_{\lambda}}{p_{0}}$ can be calculated as functions of $\frac{x^{\kappa^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{\prime}}}$ and $\frac{p_{\chi^{\prime}}}{p_{0^{\prime}}}$. We then get all of the equations together as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}\left(x^{\kappa}, x^{\kappa^{\prime}}\right)=0 \quad(\mathfrak{a}=1, \ldots, q) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { a) } p_{\lambda}=-\lambda_{1}^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda_{1}^{\frac{a}{\lambda}} \underset{\Phi}{\Phi}}{\Phi}, \quad \text { b) } \quad p_{\lambda^{\prime}}=+\lambda_{1}^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda^{\prime}} \frac{\lambda_{1}^{a}}{\lambda_{1}^{a}} \Phi \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\lambda_{1}$ now plays the role of an undetermined parameter, and:
a) $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}=\alpha \varphi^{\kappa^{\prime}}\left(x^{\kappa}, p_{\lambda}\right)$,
a) $x^{K}=\gamma \varphi^{K}\left(x^{K}, p_{\lambda}\right)$,
b) $\quad p_{\lambda^{\prime}}=\beta \psi_{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(x^{\kappa}, p_{\lambda}\right)$,
b) $\quad p_{\lambda}=\varepsilon \psi_{\lambda}\left(x^{K}, p_{\lambda}\right)$.

After eliminating the ratios of the $\lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda} \lambda_{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ will be a known function. The $\varphi^{\kappa^{\prime}}$ and $\psi_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ can be chosen to be homogeneous of degree zero in $x^{\kappa}$ and $p_{\lambda}$, and similarly, the $\varphi^{\kappa}$ and $\psi_{\lambda}$ can be chosen to be functions of degree zero in $x^{K^{\prime}}$ and $p_{\lambda^{\prime}}$. The functions $\varphi^{K^{\prime}}, \psi_{\lambda^{\prime}}$, $\varphi^{\kappa}, \psi_{\lambda}$ are now known, except that the coefficients $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \varepsilon$ are still undetermined. If $a$, $b\left(c, d\right.$, resp.) are the degrees of $\alpha\left(\beta\right.$, resp.) in $x^{\kappa}$ and $p_{\lambda}$, resp., and $c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ are the degrees of $\gamma\left(\varepsilon\right.$, resp.) in $x^{K^{\prime}}$ and $p_{\lambda^{\prime}}$, resp., then it will follow by substituting (14) in (15) that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime} \mathfrak{e}=d, \quad b^{\prime} \mathfrak{e}=-b, \quad c^{\prime} \mathfrak{e}=-c, \quad d^{\prime} \mathfrak{e}=a \quad(\mathfrak{e}=a d-b c), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it will follow from this that $\gamma$ and $\varepsilon$ are established by the choice of $a$ and $b$, when one assumes that $a d-b c \neq 0$. In addition, $\beta$ is determined in terms of $\alpha$ as a result of the requirement that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\rho^{\prime}} d x^{\rho^{\prime}}=p_{\rho} d x^{\rho} \quad \text { for } \quad p_{\rho} x^{\rho}=0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one substitutes (14b) and (14a) in the left-hand (right-hand, resp.) side of (13b) then $\lambda$ can be calculated from that equation as a homogeneous function of $x^{K}$ and $p_{\lambda}$ of degrees $a+c, b+d$, resp. The choice of $\alpha$ then establishes all coefficients. We would like to make that choice in such a way that $a=1, b=0$, and as a result, $c=0, d=1, a^{\prime}=$ $1, b^{\prime}=0, c^{\prime}=0, d^{\prime}=1$. In order to do that, we need only to choose $\alpha$ to be an arbitrary homogeneous function of $x^{K}$ and $p_{\lambda}$ of degree $+1,0$, resp. $\lambda_{1}$ then takes on the degrees + $1,+1$ in $x^{\kappa}$ and $p_{\lambda}$, resp., and the same degrees when one writes it out in terms of $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}$ and $p_{\lambda^{\prime}}$.

If we now substitute $(13 a)$ in (14a) then that will yield:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x^{\kappa^{\prime}} & =\alpha\left(x^{\kappa},-\partial_{\lambda} \lambda \underset{\mathfrak{a}}{ } \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}\right) \cdot \varphi^{\kappa^{\prime}}\left(x^{\kappa},-\partial_{\lambda} \lambda \underset{\mathfrak{a}}{ }{ }^{\mathfrak{a}}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& =\alpha\left(x^{\kappa},-\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \partial_{\lambda} \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}\right) \cdot \varphi^{\kappa^{\prime}}\left(x^{\kappa},-\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \partial_{\lambda} \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}\right),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and this will be a system of $n+1$ equations in $x^{\kappa}$ and $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}$ that contains $q$ parameters $\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}$ ( $\mathfrak{a}$ $=1, \ldots, q$ ), which is, in fact, homogeneous of degree zero. The ratios of those parameters
can be determined as functions of $x^{\kappa}$ and $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}$ from $q-1$ of those equations. If one substitutes those values in the remaining $n-q+2$ equations then it will result from the fact that the $\lambda_{a}$ occur homogeneously of degree zero that the right-hand side will be homogeneous of degrees $+1,0$ in $x^{\kappa}$ and $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}$, and will therefore yield $n-q+2$ equations of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\alpha}{X}\left(x^{\kappa}, x^{\kappa^{\prime}}\right)=1 \quad(\alpha=q-1, \ldots, n) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose left-hand sides will have degrees $+1,-1$ in $x^{\kappa}, x^{\kappa^{\prime}}$. Since the quotient of two $X$ represents a homogeneous function of degrees 0,0 in $x^{K}$ and $x^{K^{\prime}}$, resp., and there can be only $q$ homogeneous equations of degrees zero in $x^{\kappa}$ and $x^{K^{\prime}}$ [namely, (12)], one can certainly derive $n-q+1$ of equations (19) from the $(n-q+2)^{\text {th }}$ one and (12). That ( $n-$ $q+2)^{\text {th }}$ equation is, however, certainly independent of (12), since it has degrees $+1,-1$ in $x^{K}, x^{K^{\prime}}$, resp. We write that equation as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X\left(x^{K}, x^{K^{\prime}}\right)=1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$ homogeneous equations (12) associate every point in general position in $H_{n}$ with an $(n-q)$-dimensional manifold. Equation (20) changes nothing about that situation, since it only establishes the factor in the $x^{K^{\prime}}$. (20) has no meaning then for the geometric transformation of elements, which is also quite obvious, since it first arises when one establishes the choice of $\alpha$, which is likewise inessential for the geometric transformation of the elements. The rank of the matrix of $\partial x^{\kappa^{\prime}} / \partial p_{\lambda}$ will be $n-q$, and thus equal to the rank of the matrix of $\partial \xi^{h^{\prime}} / \partial \eta_{i}$.

Equation (20) makes it possible for us to resolve our problem now, and to replace the contact transformation $(14,15)$ with another one that acts upon the elements precisely as $(14,15)$ do, but also satisfies equations (1), (2), and (3) for $p_{\rho} x^{\rho} \neq 0$. Namely, if we introduce the equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\lambda}=-\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \partial_{\lambda} \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}+p_{\rho^{\prime}} x^{\rho^{\prime}} \partial_{\lambda} X, \quad p_{\lambda^{\prime}}=+\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \partial_{\lambda^{\prime}} \stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}-p_{\rho} x^{\rho} \partial_{\lambda^{\prime}} X, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of equations (13), and equations (21) are equivalent to (13) for elements, then we will first have:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x^{\rho^{\prime}} p_{\rho^{\prime}} & =0+p_{\rho} x^{\rho} X=p_{\rho} x^{\rho},  \tag{22}\\
x^{\rho} p_{\rho} & =0+p_{\rho^{\prime}} x^{\rho^{\prime}} X=p_{\rho^{\prime}} x^{\rho^{\prime}},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and secondly, if we consider (22):

$$
\begin{equation*}
-p_{\rho} d x^{\rho}+p_{\rho^{\prime}} d x^{\rho^{\prime}}=-p_{\rho} x^{\rho} d X=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(and indeed, this is true even for $p_{\rho} x^{\rho} \neq 0$ ) then it will follow from (22) and (23) (likewise in all cases) that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\rho^{\prime}} d p_{\rho^{\prime}}=x^{\rho} d p_{\rho} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call (21) a normal form for a doubly-homogeneous contact transformation. The normal form is not determined uniquely since the choice of $\alpha$ is arbitrary. Since the form of $X$ depends upon the choice of $a$, one can also proceed conversely, and choose $X$ arbitrarily as a function of $x^{\kappa}$ and $x^{K^{\prime}}$ of degree $+1,-1$, resp.

## 3. The main theorem. - If we set:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rrr}
X & =\stackrel{0}{X}, & X(\stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}+1)=\stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{X},  \tag{25}\\
\lambda=X \underset{\mathfrak{a}}{\mu}, & p_{\rho} x^{\rho}+\underset{a}{\mu} \frac{\underset{a}{X}}{X}=-\underset{0}{\mu}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

then the $\stackrel{\mathfrak{p}}{X}(\mathfrak{p}=0,1, \ldots, q)$ will be homogeneous of degrees $+1,-1$ in $x^{\kappa}, x^{\kappa^{\prime}}$, resp., and equations (12), (20), and (21) can be written as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\stackrel{\mathfrak{p}}{X}\left(x^{\kappa}, x^{\kappa^{\prime}}\right)=1 & (\mathfrak{p}=0,1, \ldots, q), \\
p_{\lambda}=-\mu \partial_{\mathfrak{p}} \partial_{\lambda} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}}{X}, & p_{\lambda^{\prime}}=+\underset{\mathfrak{p}}{ } \partial_{\lambda^{\prime}} \stackrel{\mathfrak{p}}{X} . \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$

The contact transformation will be obtained by eliminating the $\mu$ and solving (26), (27) for $x^{K^{\prime}}, p_{\lambda^{\prime}}$, as well as for $x^{\kappa}, p_{\lambda}$.

One now asks whether one can, conversely, always get a doubly-homogeneous contact transformation with degrees $+1,0 ; 0,+1$ in $x^{K}, x^{K^{K}}$, resp., from $q+1$ arbitrary homogeneous functions of degrees $+1,-1$ in those variables. First of all, the equations must naturally be soluble for $x^{K^{\prime}}, p_{\lambda^{\prime}}, \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and likewise for $x^{\kappa}, p_{\lambda}, \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$; i.e., the determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{\lambda} \stackrel{p}{X} & 0  \tag{28}\\
\mu \partial_{\mathfrak{p}} \partial_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{p} & \partial_{\chi^{\prime}}{ }^{p}
\end{array}\right|
$$

must not vanish identically in ${\underset{p}{p}}^{\text {as a result of (26). If that requirement is met then, }}$ according to LIE, that will imply a homogeneous contact transformation in any case, and the degrees of $x^{K^{\prime}}$ and $p_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ in $p_{\lambda}$ will be $0(+1$, resp.). Since we started with functions that were homogeneous in $x^{K}, x^{K^{\prime}}$, the transformation will also be doubly-homogeneous, and all that must be proved is that the degrees of $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}$ and $p_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ in $p_{\lambda}$ are +1 and 0 , resp. If the equations that are obtained by solving for $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}, p_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ and their inverses are:
a) $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}=\varphi^{\kappa^{\prime}}\left(x^{\kappa}, p_{\lambda}\right)$,
a) $x^{K}=\varphi^{K}\left(x^{K^{\prime}}, p_{\lambda}\right)$,
b) $\quad p_{\lambda^{\prime}}=\psi_{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(x^{\kappa}, p_{\lambda}\right)$,
b) $\quad p_{\lambda}=\psi_{\lambda}\left(x^{\kappa^{\prime}}, p_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$
then it will follow from the fact that one can also write the left-hand sides of equations (26) homogeneously with degrees $-1,+1$ in $x^{K}, x^{K^{\prime}}$, resp., that the degrees of (29) and (30) must then be equal (cf., pp. 4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a^{\prime}, \quad b=b^{\prime}=0, \quad c=c^{\prime}, \quad b=b^{\prime}=1 . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It will then follow from (16) that either:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a^{\prime}=+1, \quad c=c^{\prime}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a^{\prime}=-1, \quad c=c^{\prime}=\text { freely chosen. } \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set $a=-1$ from now on then we will have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{\kappa^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{\prime}}} x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}=\varphi^{\kappa^{\prime}}\left(\frac{x^{\kappa}}{x^{0}}, p_{\lambda}\right), \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and eliminating the $p_{\lambda}$ can then give only equations that contain $\frac{x^{\kappa^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{\prime}}}, \frac{x^{\kappa}}{x^{0}}$, and $x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}$, and thus equations of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}} F\left(\frac{x^{\kappa^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{\prime}}}, \frac{x^{\kappa}}{x^{0}}\right)=1 . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

That system of equations must be equivalent to (26). However, those equations are homogeneous of degree zero in the $2 n+2$ variables $x^{\kappa}, x^{K^{\prime}}$, and as a result, a system of equations of the form (35) can never be equivalent to (26). Only the values (32) then remain.

With that, we have proved the following theorem:

## Main theorem:

The most general doubly-homogeneous contact transformation of $x^{K}, p_{\lambda}$ into $x^{K^{\prime}}, p_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ that has degrees $1,0\left(0,1\right.$, resp.) in $x^{\kappa}$ and $p_{\lambda}$ will be obtained in normal form when one starts with $q+1(1 \leq q \leq n)$ equations of the form (26), in which the $\stackrel{p}{X}$ are homogeneous functions of the $x^{\kappa}, x^{\kappa^{\prime}}$ of degrees $+1,-1$, and chooses them such that the determinant (28) does not vanish identically in the $\mu$ as a result of (28), and then eliminates the $\mu$ from equations (26) and (27) and solves those equations for $x^{K^{\prime}}, p_{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(x^{\kappa}, p_{\lambda}\right.$, resp. $)$.

Since a complete duality exists between the $x^{K}$ and the $p_{\lambda}$, one can switch $x^{K}$ and $p_{\lambda}$ in the formulation of the main theorem. One can then just as well begin with functions of $p_{\lambda}, p_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ with degrees $+1,-1$, resp.
4. Example. - The path that goes from a homogeneous contact transformation to its associated doubly-homogeneous one is quite simple. One initially writes down the functions $\stackrel{\mathfrak{a}}{\Phi}$ in $x^{\kappa}$ and $x^{K^{\prime}}$, chooses an arbitrary function $X$ of degrees $+1,-1$ in $x^{\kappa}$ and $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}$, resp., and then constructs $\stackrel{p}{X}$.

As an example, we treat the transformation:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\xi^{1^{\prime}}=\xi^{1}-\xi^{a} \zeta_{a}, & \xi^{2^{\prime}}=\zeta_{2}, & \xi^{3^{\prime}}=\zeta_{3} \\
& \zeta_{2^{\prime}}=-\xi^{2}, & \zeta_{3^{\prime}}=-\xi^{3}
\end{array} \quad(a=2,3)
$$

Converting to the $\xi^{h}, \eta_{i}$ yields the transformation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi^{a^{\prime}}=-\frac{\eta_{a}}{\eta_{1}}, \quad \eta_{a^{\prime}}=\xi^{a} \eta_{1}, \\
& \xi^{1^{\prime}}=\frac{\xi^{j} \eta_{j}}{\eta_{1}}, \quad \eta_{1^{\prime}}=\eta_{1}, \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

which is established uniquely by the requirement that $\eta_{j^{\prime}} d \xi^{j^{\prime}}=\eta_{j} d \xi^{j}$. There is only one function $\Omega$, namely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \equiv \xi^{1^{\prime}}-\xi^{1}+\xi^{2} \xi^{2^{\prime}}+\xi^{3} \xi^{3^{\prime}}=0, \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

that goes to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \equiv \frac{x^{1^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{0^{\prime}}}}-\frac{x^{1}}{x^{0}}+\frac{x^{2} x^{2^{\prime}}}{x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}}+\frac{x^{3} x^{3^{\prime}}}{x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}}=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the transition to $x^{\kappa}, p_{\lambda}$. We then get the equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{0}=-\lambda \frac{x^{r^{\prime}}}{x^{0} x^{0^{0}}}+\lambda \frac{\Phi}{x^{0}}=-\lambda \frac{x^{r^{\prime}}}{x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}}, & p_{0^{\prime}}=-\lambda \frac{x^{1}}{x^{0} x^{0^{0}}}+\lambda \frac{\Phi}{x^{0}}=-\lambda \frac{x^{1}}{x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}}, \\
p_{1}=\lambda \frac{1}{x^{0}}, & p_{1^{\prime}}=\lambda \frac{1}{x^{0^{\prime}}}, \tag{40}
\end{array}
$$

a)
b)
(in which use has been made of the equation $\Phi=0$ in the first rows), and those equations imply that:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
x^{0^{\prime}}=\alpha, & p_{0^{\prime}}=-\beta \frac{x^{1}}{x^{0}}, & x^{0}=\gamma, & p_{0}=-\delta \frac{x^{1^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{\prime}}}, \\
x^{1^{\prime}}=-\alpha \frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}}, & p_{1^{\prime}}=\beta, & x^{1}=-\gamma \frac{p_{0^{\prime}}}{p_{1^{\prime}}}, & p_{1}=\delta, \\
& \text { b) } & & \tag{41}
\end{array}
$$

a)

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
x^{2^{\prime}}=-\alpha \frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}}, & p_{2^{\prime}}=\beta \frac{x^{2}}{x^{0}}, & x^{2}=\gamma \frac{p_{2^{\prime}}}{p_{1^{\prime}}}, & p_{2}=-\delta \frac{x^{2^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{\prime}}} \\
x^{3^{\prime}}=-\alpha \frac{p_{3}}{p_{1}}, & p_{3^{\prime}}=\beta \frac{x^{3}}{x^{0}}, & x^{3}=\gamma \frac{p_{3^{\prime}}}{p_{1^{\prime}}}, & p_{3}=\beta \frac{x^{3^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{\prime}}}
\end{array}
$$

$\gamma$ and $\delta$ can be determined as functions of $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}, p_{\lambda^{\prime}}$, as long as $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are given as functions of $x^{K}$ and $p_{\lambda}$, assuming that $a d-b c \neq 0$ (cf., pp. 4). We determine $\beta$ from $\alpha$ when we demand that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\rho^{\prime}} d x^{\rho^{\prime}}=p_{\rho} d x^{\rho}=-x^{\rho} d p_{\rho} \quad(\rho=0,1,2,3), \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

or (when one considers $p_{\rho} x^{\rho}=0$ ):

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\beta d \alpha\left(-\frac{x^{1}}{x^{0}}-\frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}}-\frac{p_{2} x^{2}}{p_{1} x^{0}}-\frac{p_{3} x^{3}}{p_{1} x^{0}}\right) & +\beta \alpha\left(-\frac{1}{p_{1}} d p_{0}-\frac{p_{0}}{\left(p_{1}\right)^{2}} d p_{1}\right) \\
-\frac{x^{2}}{p_{1} x^{0}} d p_{2}+\frac{p_{2} x^{2}}{\left(p_{1}\right)^{2} x^{0}} d p_{1}-\frac{x^{3}}{p_{1} x^{0}} d p_{3}+\frac{p_{3} x^{3}}{\left(p_{1}\right)^{2} x^{0}} d p_{1}  \tag{43}\\
& =-\frac{\beta \alpha}{p_{1} x^{0}} x^{\rho} d p_{\rho}=-x^{\rho} d p_{\rho}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

from which, it will follow that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \beta=+p_{1} x^{0} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

We likewise find that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \delta=+p_{1^{\prime}} x^{0^{\prime}}, \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and upon substituting (41.a, b) in (40b):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\alpha \beta, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and likewise upon substituting (41.c, $d$ ) in (40a):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\gamma \delta \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now choose $\alpha$ to be any function of $x^{\kappa}$ and $p_{\lambda}$ of degrees $1,0-$ e.g., $\alpha=x^{0}$ - then it will follow that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=x^{0}, \quad \beta=p_{1}, \quad \gamma=x^{0^{\prime}}, \quad \delta=p_{1}, \quad \lambda=p_{1} x^{0}=p_{1^{\prime}} x^{0^{\prime}}, \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
x^{0^{\prime}}=x^{0}, & p_{0^{\prime}}=-\frac{p_{1} x^{1}}{x^{0}}, & x^{0}=x^{0^{\prime}}, & p_{0}=-\frac{p_{1^{\prime}} x^{1^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{\prime}}}, \\
x^{1^{\prime}}=-\frac{p_{0} x^{0}}{p_{1}}, & p_{1^{\prime}}=p_{1}, & x^{1}=-\frac{p_{0^{\prime}} x^{0^{\prime}}}{p_{1^{\prime}}}, & p_{1}=p_{1^{\prime}}, \tag{49}
\end{array}
$$

a)
b)
c)
d)

Here, equation (20) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{0}}{x^{0^{\prime}}}=1, \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that we will arrive at the generalized transformation:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{0}=-\lambda \frac{x^{1^{\prime}}}{x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}}+p_{\rho^{\prime}} x^{\rho^{\prime}} \frac{1}{x^{0^{\prime}}}, & p_{0^{\prime}}=-\lambda \frac{x^{1}}{x^{0} x^{0^{0^{\prime}}}}+p_{\rho} x^{\rho} \frac{1}{x^{0^{\prime}}}, \\
p_{1}=\lambda \frac{1}{x^{0}}, & p_{1^{\prime}}=\lambda \frac{1}{x^{0}},  \tag{51}\\
p_{2}=-\lambda \frac{x^{2^{\prime}}}{x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}}, & p_{2^{\prime}}=-\frac{p_{1^{\prime}} x^{2^{\prime}}}{x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}}, \\
p_{3}=-\lambda \frac{x^{3^{\prime}}}{x^{0} x^{0^{0}}}, & p_{3^{\prime}}=\lambda \frac{x^{3^{\prime}}}{x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}} .
\end{array}
$$

Since $\lambda=p_{1} x^{0}$, that implies that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{0^{0}}=x^{0}, \quad p_{0^{\prime}}=p_{0}+\frac{p_{2} x^{2}+p_{3} x^{3}}{x^{0}}, x^{0}=x^{0^{0}}, \quad p_{0}=p_{0^{0}}+\frac{p_{2} \cdot x^{2^{0}}+p_{3} x^{3}}{x^{0^{3}}}, \\
& x^{r}=x^{1}+\frac{p_{2} x^{2}+p_{3} x^{3}}{p_{1}}, \quad p_{1}=p_{1}, \quad x^{1}=x^{r}+\frac{p_{2} x^{2}+p_{3} x^{3}}{p_{\mathrm{r}}}, \quad p_{1}=p_{1} \text {, }  \tag{52}\\
& x^{2^{\prime}}=-\frac{p_{2} x^{0}}{p_{1}}, \quad p_{2^{\prime}}=\frac{p_{1} x^{2}}{x^{0}}, \quad x^{2}=\frac{p_{2^{\prime}} x^{0^{0}}}{p_{1^{\prime}}}, \quad p_{2}=-\frac{p_{1} x^{x^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{\circ}}}, \\
& x^{3}=-\frac{p_{3} x^{2}}{p_{1}}, \quad p_{3^{\prime}}=\frac{p_{1} x^{3}}{x^{0}}, \quad x^{3}=\frac{p_{3^{\prime}} x^{0^{\prime}}}{p_{\mathrm{r}^{\prime}}}, \quad p_{3}=-\frac{p_{1} x^{3^{\prime}}}{x^{0^{0}}},
\end{align*}
$$

and equations (2), (3), (4), are, in fact, true, even for $p_{\rho} x^{\rho} \neq 0$, for that transformation, which will be identical to (49) when it is applied to elements. One also obtains the normal form that was just found when one solves the equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{0}{X} \equiv \frac{x^{0}}{x^{0^{\prime}}}=1, \quad \stackrel{1}{X} \equiv \frac{1}{\left(x^{0^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}\left(x^{0} x^{1^{\prime}}-x^{1} x^{0^{\prime}}+x^{2} x^{2^{\prime}}+x^{3} x^{3^{\prime}}+x^{0} x^{0^{\prime}}\right)=1, \\
& p_{0}=-\mu \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{x^{0^{\top}}}+\underset{1}{\mu} \frac{x^{0^{0^{\prime}}}+x^{1^{\prime}}}{\left(x^{0^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}, \quad p_{0^{\prime}}=-\frac{\mu}{x^{0^{\prime}}}+\underset{1}{\mu} \frac{x^{0}+x^{1}}{x^{0^{\prime}}}, \\
& p_{1}=-\mu \frac{1}{1} \frac{x^{0^{0^{\prime}}}}{}=\mu \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{x^{0^{0}}},  \tag{53}\\
& p_{2}=-\mu_{1} \frac{x^{2^{\prime}}}{\left(x^{0^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}, \quad \quad p_{2^{\prime}}=\mu \frac{x^{2^{\prime}}}{\left(x^{0^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}, \\
& p_{3}=-\mu \frac{x^{3^{\prime}}}{\left(x^{0^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}, \quad \quad p_{3^{\prime}}=\mu_{1} \lambda \frac{x^{3^{\prime}}}{\left(x^{0^{\prime}}\right)^{2}},
\end{align*}
$$

after eliminating $\underset{0}{\mu}$ and $\underset{1}{\mu}$ from $x^{\kappa^{\prime}}, p_{\lambda^{\prime}}$.


[^0]:    $\left({ }^{1}\right)$ "Zur Differentialgeometrie der Gruppe der Berührungstransformationen. I. Doppelthomogene Behandlung von Berührungstransformationen," Proc. Roy. Acad. Amsterdam 40 (1937), 100-107.

